



Chapter 3

Beyond Instruction: Developing Online Culturally Relevant Learning Environments

Derrick Raphael Pacheco

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0409-3809>
Florida State University, USA

Brittany Devies

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3025-5613>
University of Maryland, College Park, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter will focus on creating culturally relevant online learning environments through a critical investigation of the culturally relevant leadership learning model. Within this chapter, there will be a discussion and explanation of the model and its continued use from the perspectives of both course instructors and students who co-create the online learning environment. This chapter will highlight testimony from chapter authors and offer reflective questions for readers to gain insight into effective practices of creating online culturally relevant learning environments in their unique perspectives. While this chapter focuses heavily on higher education learning environments, connections and applications to all educational environments are made for applicability across various contexts.

INTRODUCTION

Throughout the history of instruction and formalized educational systems, one concept exists at the forefront of these entities: innovation. Innovation has evolved

DOI: 10.4018/979-8-3693-0268-2.ch003

from centering the advancement of technology and concepts for those the educational system originally intended to serve, to those who have contributed to changing our original notions and applicability of theories and practices in today's times. Many theories and concepts have been created to allow educators and students to engage in this innovation process in myriad ways. One of those ways is through a critical investigation of the leadership process, which we all engage with daily. As we have come to learn, due to various world events, especially those related to public health, the safety of marginalized individuals, and the spread of misinformation, the online environment that has been socially constructed, and educational institutions often utilize this space to distribute knowledge. This practice of utilizing the online learning environment as a space for education has become increasingly accessible to all students. By using an online learning environment, instructors can engage with students and create a space for a culturally relevant learning process to occur. At times, however, this presents unique challenges that are different from those found in traditional brick-and-mortar classrooms. One of the challenges many online instructors and students wrestle with is the way in which these online educational environments can become spaces that emphasize culturally relevant and socially just practices. Students often seek additional information and develop a critical and multicultural lens as they progress through their education, and at times, the online learning environment does not produce these intended outcomes (Montelongo & Eaton, 2020). As a result, it is now more important than ever to critically integrate models and theories that promote culturally relevant education. Only then can we shift our perspectives to discover and offer best practices in education.

From the institutional lens, leadership development is often at the core of higher education instruction. As outlined in a study conducted by Davies and Guthrie (2022), there is an inherent priority placed on higher education institutions to develop leaders, as outlined in their institutional mission statements. As demographics and institutional priorities shift across the field of higher education, it is also critical to understand how we need to reframe our mindset as educators moving forward. This reframing must be geared towards embracing online educational environments, especially as we are tasked with creating and developing the societal leaders of tomorrow. With this in mind, and given the continuous shifts in course modality across all institution types, it has become a priority to ensure all individuals involved in the learning process gain the skills necessary to aid their development, both in their positional roles and as individuals. "Leadership development is holistic human development" (Pacheco, under contract – 2024), and with institutional mission statements signaling this need to create leaders, higher education institutions are inherently tasked with shaping the future of our shared society.

After all, "leadership processes generally enable groups of people to work together in meaningful ways" (Day, 2001, p. 582). Given the call on higher education

22 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/beyond-instruction/338431

Related Content

Fast Track to Full Online Education in the Medical Field: Evaluating Effectiveness and Identifying Problems From the COVID-19 Experience

Vladimir Beketov, Irina Menshikova and Anastasiya Khudarova (2022). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-24).

www.irma-international.org/article/fast-track-to-full-online-education-in-the-medical-field/315824

Student Engagement and Educational Benefits of Web GIS-Based Projects

Thomas A. Sofias and Christos J. Pierrakeas (2023). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/student-engagement-and-educational-benefits-of-web-gis-based-projects/317089

E-Learning System of Asia Through Open Courseware (OCW) and Educational Resources (OER) for Universal Access to Knowledge and Information

Soumen Kayal and Baisakhi Das (2017). *International Journal of Web-Based Learning and Teaching Technologies* (pp. 43-54).

www.irma-international.org/article/e-learning-system-of-asia-through-open-courseware-ocw-and-educational-resources-oer-for-universal-access-to-knowledge-and-information/177900

Understanding Roles Within Technology Supported Teaching and Learning: Implications for Staff, Academic Units, and Institutions

Lori Lockyer and Sue Bennett (2006). *Technology Supported Learning and Teaching: A Staff Perspective* (pp. 210-223).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-roles-within-technology-supported/30239

Online Life Sciences

Kevin F. Downing and Jennifer K. Holtz (2008). *Online Science Learning: Best Practices and Technologies* (pp. 265-289).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-life-sciences/27772