ChatGPT Is Powerful, but Does It Have Power Distance? A Study of Culturally Imbued Discourse in Al-Generated Essays

Andrew Schenck, Fort Hays State University, USA*

ABSTRACT

Power distance (PD), a cultural value denoting acceptance of asymmetrical power relationships, influences the force of rhetoric used by a writer to address their reader. However, AI technologies such as ChatGPT lack an explicit awareness of PD, which could affect the quality of AI-generated persuasive texts used for language learning. To investigate this issue, 200 persuasive essays written by ChatGPT were compared to 200 essays written by L1-English university learners. Three elements of formulaic language related to PD were examined: stances, modals, and pronoun deixis. Differences in stances (z = -3.411; p = .001) and modals (z = -2.100; p = .036) were both significant according to the Wilcoxon signed ranks formula, whereas differences in pronoun deixis were nearly significant (z = -1.917; p = .055). Overall, language of ChatGPT appears generic and incomplete, suggesting that consistent and uniform expressions are being borrowed from an LLM training corpus to mimic aspects of PD. Limitations of AI highlight a need for pedagogical emphasis of culturally imbued discourse.

KEYWORDS

ChatGPT, Corpus, Discourse, English, LLM, Modals, Power Distance, Pronoun Deixis, Stances, Writing

INTRODUCTION

Social media outlets like Twitter and TikTok have recently been abuzz with posts about AI platforms like ChatGPT (Haensch et al., 2023; Li et al., 2023). Despite concerns expressed by some educators concerning possible violations of academic integrity and adverse consequences to learning outcomes (Li et al., 2023; Yan, 2023), attitudes about AI technology remain largely positive (Haensch et al., 2023; Liu & Ma, 2023). The potential of AI to enhance English instruction has also been acknowledged (Jeon & Lee, 2023; Mohamed, 2023). ChatGPT may serve as an interlocutor, teaching assistant, content provider, or evaluator (Jeon & Lee, 2023). It may also allow students to create texts from diverse perspectives, enhancing inductive forms of learning.

LLMs may have a plethora of applications in writing instruction. Recent research suggests that ChatGPT is a valuable tool for correcting surface-level errors related to grammar or structure

DOI: 10.4018/IJAET.338219

*Corresponding Author

This article published as an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and production in any medium, provided the author of the original work and original publication source are properly credited.

(Algaraady & Mahyoob, 2023). The Modern Language Association and the National Council of Teachers of English also point out that teachers may use LLMs in the following ways to support aspects of style and rhetoric:

- To enhance students' rhetorical knowledge, critical thinking, and knowledge of conventions.
- To offer a practical demonstration of some critical rhetorical concepts that have influenced writing and rhetoric studies, especially related to questions of process, praxis, and the construction of meaning.
- To provide modals of written prose that can be used to highlight differences in genre, tone, diction, literary style, and disciplinary focus. Teachers can use LLMs to offer new processes for students developing multimodal writing genres since LLMs can process multimodal inputs and outputs. (MLA-CCCC Joint Task Force on Writing and AI, 2023, pp. 8-9)

As suggested above, LLMs like ChatGPT have the potential to help students learn more sophisticated techniques for constructing rhetoric, which may lead to responses that vary in genre, grammar, and style. However, little research has been conducted to ensure that LLMs live up to these lofty expectations. More research is needed to understand how teachers may effectively use AI technology to promote better writing (Jeon & Lee, 2023; Mohamed, 2023). Albeit limited, some research suggests that ChatGPT cannot adequately construct or interpret complex rhetoric, which may hamper efforts to integrate technology in English writing classrooms (Algaraady & Mahyoob, 2023; Fan & Jiang, 2023). These preliminary findings are intriguing, yet more research is needed to concretely identify and address the limitations of AI technology, thereby ensuring that the benefits of LLMs like ChatGPT can be fully realized.

LITERATURE REVIEW

While LLMs can potentially transform teaching and assessment of writing, the complexity of human discourse or rhetoric cannot easily be replicated by AI technologies. Using an essay prompt that examined the difference between corpus linguistics and discourse analysis, a professor from the University of Murcia put ChatGPT to the test, finding that AI-generated essays were well structured and showed a cogent argument yet lacked clear appeals to authority (Pérez-Paredes, 2023). Inadequacies of ChatGPT were further exposed by Ian Bogost (2022) in his article entitled "ChatGPT is Dumber than You Think"; he found the enthusiasm for ChatGPT to be "misplaced," writing that:

ChatGPT cannot truly understand the complexity of human language and conversation. It is simply trained to generate words based on a given input, but it cannot truly comprehend the meaning behind those words. This means that any responses it generates are likely to be shallow and lacking in depth and insight. (para. 2)

Because LLMs rely on frequent linguistic structures to replicate a human's writing, they may not have the complex understanding of human nature needed to comprehend or replicate discourse. Although it has become apparent that AI-generated texts lack a human touch, the degree to which LLMs like ChatGPT can or cannot use aspects of human discourse is not well known.

If LLMs are to be used in the classroom, educators must understand how elements of natural human discourse are replicated, along with deficiencies that require pedagogical intervention. Effective writing requires more than just a knowledge of structure or morphosyntax, which may put ChatGPT at a distinct disadvantage. According to Hyland (2018), a knowledge of meta-discourse is required. Meta-discourse is defined as:

15 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/article/chatgpt-is-powerful-but-does-it-have-</u> power-distance/338219

Related Content

Identifying Predictors of Academic Success for Part-Time Students at Polytechnic Institutes in Malaysia

Norhayati Ibrahim, Steven A. Freemanand Mack C. Shelley (2013). *Technological Applications in Adult and Vocational Education Advancement (pp. 106-121).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/identifying-predictors-academic-success-part/69444

Kazakhstani E-Learning Practice in Higher Education: The Key Trends and Challenges

Kamchat Yessenova, Judith Parker, Zuhra Sadvakasova, Akmaral Syrgakbaevaand Gainiya Tazhina (2020). *International Journal of Adult Education and Technology (pp. 24-44).*

www.irma-international.org/article/kazakhstani-e-learning-practice-in-higher-education/245816

The Promise of Protocols in the Virtual Classroom: Using Microstructures to Enhance Adult Learning

Harriette Thurber Rasmussen, Amy Baeder, Margaret A. Hunterand Jane Chadsey (2021). *Research Anthology on Adult Education and the Development of Lifelong Learners (pp. 812-836).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-promise-of-protocols-in-the-virtual-classroom/279760

Experiences of Adult Learners Engaged in Online Learning During the COVID-19 Pandemic in Ghana

Isaac Kofi Biney (2022). International Journal of Adult Education and Technology (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/experiences-of-adult-learners-engaged-in-online-learningduring-the-covid-19-pandemic-in-ghana/310075

From Critical Practice to Response: The Outcome of a Singular College Strike

Howard A. Doughty (2021). International Journal of Adult Education and Technology (pp. 12-36).

www.irma-international.org/article/from-critical-practice-to-response/288787