



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITP5065

A Research Design and a Methodological Approach to an Explanatory User Behavior Testing: Lessons Learnt

George Ditsa

School of Economics & Information Systems, University of Wollongong, Wollongong NSW 2522, Phone: +61 2 4221 4034, Fax: +61 2 4221 4474, E-mail: george_ditsa@uow.edu.au

ABSTRACT

This paper presents a research design and a research methodological approach to an explanatory user behavior testing for users of executive information systems (EIS). The study in questions used Triandis Theoretical Framework, a model from social psychology and organizational behavior, as a theoretical foundation to investigate the factors that explain users' behavior towards using EIS in organizational settings. Lessons learnt from the study are presented.

INTRODUCTION

Research designs and methodologies vary in any research study, including information systems (IS) research. Obviously this is supposed to be the case since a research design and a methodology should and must be appropriate to the research problem and questions under investigation, and research problems and questions vary and are quite unique. However, there are general research designs and methodologies that researchers adopt and tailor to suit their research needs and objectives. The selection and use of a research design and a methodology is very important because it is critical to the validity of the results declared in any research study. Inappropriate selection and use of a research design and a methodology will certainly invalidate the results declared in any study, no matter how eloquently the results have been presented. As a result of this, good researchers spend some time to think through research designs and methodologies for their studies.

This paper presents a research design and a research methodological approach to an explanatory user behavior testing for users of executive information systems (EIS). The study in questions used Triandis Theoretical Framework, a model from social psychology and organizational behavior, as a theoretical foundation to investigate the factors that explain users' behavior towards using EIS in organizational settings. The paper briefly looked at research frameworks generally available to IS researchers and then the research design, research methodology, data collection method, questionnaire design and the design of the questionnaire for the study in question. The rationale for the selection of the specific procedures and methods are explained. The pilot study and the lessons learnt from the study are presented. This is followed by the administration of the main questionnaire, the results and the lessons learnt from the study in question. The paper concludes with overall lessons learnt and suggestions to IS researchers.

RESEARCH FRAMEWORKS AVAILABLE TO IS RESEARCHERS

Information systems are social systems (e.g., Sauer, 1993; McBride, 1997), therefore, IS inquiries generally turned to be social science research in nature. The task of conducting an inquiry has been complicated by the fact that there is no overall consensus about how to conceptualize the doing of research. Generally there are two camps from which IS research is conducted – *qualitative* and *quantitative* – and there has been a sporadic warfare between these camps.

Ontology, Epistemology, Human nature and Methodology

Generally four sets of assumptions are used to conceptualize social science research - ontology, epistemology, human nature and methodology (Burell and Morgan, 1980). Ontological assumptions concern the very essence of the phenomena under investigation. That is, for example, basic ontological question of whether the "reality" to be investigated is external to the individual; whether "reality" is of an "objective" nature, or the product of individual cognition; or whether "reality" is given "out there" in the world, or the product of one's mind (Burell and Morgan, 1980). Epistemological assumptions are about the grounds of knowledge - about how one might begin to understand the world and to communicate this knowledge to fellow human beings. Assumptions relating to human nature concern the relationship between human beings and the environment. Ontological, epistemological and human nature assumptions for an investigation have direct implications for the methodological nature of the investigation (Burell and Morgan, 1980). There is a lot of debate about these assumptions, the discussion of which is beyond the intent of this paper.

Paradigms, Methodologies and Methods

A paradigm is "a set of beliefs, values and techniques which is shared by members of a scientific community, which acts as a guide or map, dictating the kinds of problems scientists should address and the types of explanations that are acceptable to them" (Kuhn, 1970, p. 175). A paradigms, thus in simple terms, is a set of prepositions that explain how the world is perceived, and it contains a world view, a way of breaking down the complexity of the real world, telling researchers and social scientists in general what is important, what is legitimate, and what is reasonable (Patton, 1990; Sarantakos, 2002). The three dominant paradigms in social science are: positivism, interpretivism and critical theory. The other two new additions in order of dominance are constructivism and participatory (Lincoln and Guba, 2000; Sarantakos, 2002). There are perceptions of reality, of human beings, of the nature of science and of the purpose of research distinctly held for each of these paradigms. For example, positivists' perceptions of human beings are that human beings are rational individuals obeying external laws without free will, while interpretivists perception of human beings are that human beings are creators of their world and make sense of the world they live in with no restriction from external laws, and create systems of meanings for themselves (see e.g. Sarantakos, 2002).

A *methodology* is a model, which entails theoretical principles as well as a framework that provides guidelines about how research is done in the context of a particular paradigm (see e.g., Cook and Fonow, 1990; Lather, 1992). In simple terms, a methodology translates the principles of a paradigm into a research language, and shows how the world can be explained, handled, approached and studied (Sarantakos, 2002). *Methods* refer to the tools or instruments employed by researchers to gather empirical evidence or to analyze data. Methods are chosen on the basis of criteria related to or even dictated by the major elements of the

methodology in which they are embedded, such as the perception of reality, definition of science, perception of human beings, purpose of research, type of research units and so on. In a sense, methods are atheoretical and a-methodological approach to carrying out research (Sarantakos, 2002).

Despite these "frameworks" suggesting to some extent how one can go about conducting a research, it is sometimes unclear and difficult when it comes to details and choice of suitable frameworks to suit one's research objectives. The next section presents the research design for the study in question.

RESEARCH DESIGN

A research design is a plan and structure of investigation used to obtain answers to research questions (Kerlinger, 1986). Research design enables researchers to answer research questions as validly, objectively, accurately and economically as possible. The research problem that the study in question sought to provide solutions to is: the failure of executive information systems (EIS) in organizations due to underutilization or non-usage of these systems and the research questions for the study are:

- What are the important social, cultural, political and organizational factors that explain the behavior of executives in using executive information systems in organizational settings?
- What is the relative importance of these factors in determining executive information systems use by executives in organizational settings?

A positivist's approach is adopted for this study. The research design for the study used the design guidelines provided by Babbie (2001). Table 1 describes the important research design and methodology aspects employed in the study. More specific details are discussed below.

Nature of Research

There are three common natures of research in social science (Babbie, 2001): exploratory, descriptive, and explanation. Exploratory research is generally conducted to develop initial rough understanding of some phenomenon. A descriptive research is undertaken to describe the precise measurement and reporting of the characteristics of some population or phenomenon under study. An explanatory research is conducted to discover and report some relationships among different aspects of the phenomenon under study.

The purpose the research in question is primarily to identify the important social, cultural, political and organizational factors that explain the behavior of executives towards using EIS in organizational settings, therefore, the nature of this study is both exploratory and explanatory.

Unit of Analysis

A unit of analysis refers to the primary empirical object, individual or group that a researcher wants to study (Davis, 1996). The unit of analysis should be accurately described for the conceptual and methodological operationalization of the research (Huck et al., 1974). An inappropriate unit of analysis may influence the researcher to choose erroneous tools, distorting the results and confounding the conclusions of the research. Units of analysis primarily investigated in social science are individuals, groups, organizations and social artifacts (Babbie, 2001). In studying human behavior, three facets of behavior should be consid-

Table 1: Summary of Research Design and Methodology

Attribute	Characteristics
Nature of Research	Exploratory and Explanatory
Unit of Analysis	Individuals
Time Dimension	Cross-sectional
Research Methodology	Field Study
Data Collection Method	Mail Questionnaire Survey

ered: (1) the actor or actors engaging; (2) behavior-toward-an-object; and (3) a setting or context (Runkel and McGrath, 1972). Actors, behaviors and objects exist in contexts.

Since the study in question is interested in the factors that may influence an individual's behavior to use EIS, the unit of analysis is an individual (actor). In this study the individual is an executive or a senior manager who uses EIS (object) in an organization (context). The individual's behavior can be influenced by elements, such as social factors and facilitating conditions, in the organizational context (Triandis, 1980). Therefore, any results derived from this study will have important implications for the whole organization.

Time Dimension

Time plays an important role on the design and execution of a research (Babbie, 2001). Researchers have basically two options in terms of the time dimension: cross-sectional and longitudinal. In a cross-sectional study, the unit of analysis is observed at only one point in time. On the other hand, in a longitudinal study the unit of analysis is investigated over a long period of time. A heavy cost in both time and money often precludes researchers from conducting a longitudinal study. In addition, unanticipated changes in the unit of analysis and the research environment threaten the generalizability of the study.

It is considered that a cross-sectional approach is most appropriate and feasible for the study in question. The study seeks to explain behavior towards the use of EIS but not to predict it and, therefore, a longitudinal study is not necessary (Bergeron et al., 1995).

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Four methodologies have been identified for empirical IS research studies, namely: case studies, field studies, field tests (quasi-experimental), and laboratory studies (experimental) (Kim, 1996). The study in question employs the field study approach because of the nature of the variables involved. That is, given the individual and the organizational variables in the research model, a field study in a real setting appears most appropriate. By using a field study, data can be gathered on a number of ongoing, uncontrolled situations. In addition, field study is usually deemed to be the most feasible and economical method to examine a complex phenomenon, and field study produces relatively strong effects of independent variables on dependent variables and thus enhances the statistical conclusion of the results (Cook and Campbell, 1979; Kim, 1996).

DATA COLLECTION METHOD

There are three main methods of administering survey questionnaires (Babbie, 2001):

- Self-administered questionnaires, in which respondents are asked to complete the questionnaire themselves. The most common form of self-administered questionnaires is mail survey. Traditionally, mail survey is administered by mailing the questionnaire through the ordinary traditional "snail" mail, and/or by personal delivery to respondents. However, mail survey nowadays can be electronic, ranging from email and web to Interactive Voice Response (IVR) (Dillman, 2000);
- Telephone survey, in which the survey questionnaire is read over the telephone by the researcher to the respondent for the respondent's verbal responses to the questions; and
- Face-to-face, where the survey questionnaire is administered by interviewing the respondent in a face-to-face encounter.

Choosing a specific method depends on financial resources and the circumstances of the research (Kerlinger, 1986; Kim, 1996). Mail survey is probably the best method available to collect original data from a sample population too large to observe directly (Babbie, 2001).

The mail survey method using ordinary mail was chosen for the study in questionfor three main reasons. First, because there has been no study on user behavior towards the use of EIS in Australia where the study was conducted, this study sought to collect data across a vast country in order to have a broad picture of user behavior towards EIS use.

764 2004 IRMA International Conference

Second, following the first reason, and given the required response rate for statistical analysis, data collection by the face-to-face method was considered economically infeasible for this study. Also, collecting data by telephone was ruled out due to the large sample size, the time required to complete the survey, and the high cost associated with it. Third, the very busy schedule of the survey participants involved in this study makes scheduling face-to-face or telephone survey very time consuming and economically infeasible.

The major disadvantages of mail survey are: response rates are typically low; there is lack of control over the survey administration; and the inability to examine vague responses (Babbie, 2001; Dillman, 2000). Knowing that response rates for mail surveys are typically low, the response rate from busy executives and senior managers is expected to be even much lower than usual. However, there are many benefits that far outweigh the drawbacks of mail survey. For example, it is easy for the researcher to administer a mail survey for a large sample of the population and to provide respondents with anonymity for frank responses. Also, the questionnaires are stable, consistent and uniform, and can be completed at the respondent's convenience (Sarantakos, 2002; Babbie, 2001; Dillman, 2000). In addition, studies have shown that executives favor mail surveys (Babbie, 2001; Broadbent, 2002).

Dillman (1978) views the process of sending questionnaires to prospective respondents, getting them to complete the questionnaires and return them as a special case of "social exchange". Applying the theory of social exchange as developed by Thibaut and Kelly (1959), Homans (1961) and Blau (1964), Dillman assumes that a person is most likely to answer a questionnaire when the perceived *costs* of doing so are minimized, the *rewards* are maximized, and the respondent *trusts* that the expected rewards will be delivered.

According to Dillman, respondents' costs can be reduced in many ways, such as packaging the questionnaire to look slim and easy to fill out, asking interesting, clear and concise questions, and including prepaid self-addressed return envelops. Social rewards can also be provided in various forms, including explaining how a study will be useful to the respondent, saying "Thank you", and offering copies of the study results. Trust may be established through sponsorship by trusted authorities, the use of letterhead from legitimate sponsor, inclusion of the name(s) of some prominent members of the trusted authorities, and so on. These views are shared by Wiersma (2000).

Exchange theory suggests that the three concepts of costs, rewards, and trust interact and may offset each other. For example, attempts to reduce costs (e.g., an easy to fill out questionnaire) may be offset by failure to offer rewards (e.g., not explaining the benefits of the study). Dillman believes that willingness to respond to a questionnaire is based on an overall evaluation of the survey rather than an isolated reaction to specific aspects of the survey. In other words, every aspect of the survey implementation must be planned in detail and integrated in order to encourage a good response.

Based on exchange theory, Dillman (1978) developed a set of survey procedures that may be applied to achieve higher response rates. Dillman based his approach on the premise that, "to maximize both the quantity and the quality of responses, attention must be given to every detail that might affect response behavior" (p. viii). Dillman called his approach the Total Design Method (TDM) and it consists of two parts:

- identifying and designing each aspect of the survey process that may affect either the quantity or the quality of response so as to maximize response rates;
- organizing the survey efforts in a way that the design intentions are carried out in complete detail.

The TDM relies on a theoretically based view of why people do not respond to questionnaires and a well-confirmed belief that attention to administrative details is essential to conducting successful surveys. In the latest edition of his book, Dillman (2000) goes further to describe additional shaping of procedures and techniques for particular surveys based on a more precise considerations of costs, rewards and trust associated with specific populations, sponsorship, and/or content.

TDM provides specific guidelines for constructing a questionnaire and implementing a survey. In questionnaire construction, detailed instructions govern the use of paper, typefaces, sequencing of questions, page layout, and so on. In survey implementation, comprehensive rules are given on the content and personalization of the cover letter, signing of the letter, the mailed out package, and follow-up procedures to non-respondents.

These procedures and guidelines were view to be appropriate in designing the questionnaire and the administration of the survey for this study. The next section presents the questionnaire and survey designs for the study in question.

QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN

Babbie (2001) defines a questionnaire as "an instrument specifically designed to elicit information that will be useful for analysis" (p. 239). The key word in this definition is "elicit". For a questionnaire to elicit and solicit the desired information, specific guidelines must be followed in designing the questionnaire.

There are two options available to researchers in posing questions in a questionnaire:

- Open-ended questions, in which case the respondent is asked to provide their own answers to the questions;
- Closed-ended questions, in which the respondent is asked to select an answer from among a list provided by the researcher.

Closed-ended questions are more popular in survey research because they provide a greater uniformity of responses and are more easily processed (Robson, 1996; Babbie, 2001). Open-ended questions must be coded before computer analysis can be done. The coding process often requires that the researcher interprets the meaning of the responses. This can lead to the possibility of misunderstanding and researcher bias. There is also the danger that some respondents will give answers that are essentially irrelevant to the researcher's intent. Closed-ended questions, on the other hand, can often be transferred directly into a computer format.

The main shortcoming of closed-ended questions lies in the researcher's structuring of responses. The researcher may overlook some important responses. Babbie (2001) gives two structural requirements that should guide the construction of closed-ended questions. First, the response categories provided should be exhaustive, that is, they should include all the possible responses that might be expected. Second, the answer categories must be mutually exclusive, that is, the respondent should not feel compelled to select more than one response. This can be achieved by carefully considering each combination of response categories and where there is still doubt that the respondent might not be selecting only one response then an instruction to select one best answer must be added.

THE QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN FOR THIS STUDY

In designing the survey questionnaire for the study in question, the procedures and guidelines provided by Sarantakos (2002), Babbie (2001), Dillman (1978, 2000), Wiersma (1986, 2000) and Robson (1996) were used as a guide. Some questions in the questionnaire were also adopted from other studies. The questionnaire was designed in two stages.

In stage 1, the questionnaire was pre-tested in full three times on six colleagues at their workplace. Each time, the questionnaire was refined with feedback received and pre-tested again on the six colleagues. Each time a pre-test was completed, a consultation is made with the statistician assigned to this project verified the statistical viability of the questionnaire. The statistical consultant involved with this research is engaged in the Statistical Consulting Service at the University where this research was conducted.

In stage 2, a pilot study was undertaken with the final questionnaire developed in stage 1. The pilot study was done for two main objectives.

1) To pre-test the questionnaire on a representative sample and to use the feedback from the pilot study to refine the questionnaire for the main survey.

2) To serve as an exploratory study in order to develop an initial understanding of which factors influence the use of EIS in organizations.

A general description of what this research is about was provided in the cover letter with the mailed out questionnaire. In addition, brief descriptions and definitions were provided at the beginning of each section of the questionnaire so the respondent would know exactly what was being asked. Instructions as to how to select responses to questions were also provided. The sections, statements and questions in the questionnaire were laid out so that the flow of the statements and questions kept on reminding the respondent what the research was about (Sarantakos, 2002; Babbie, 2001; Dillman, 2000; Wiersma, 2000; Robson,

A five-point Likert scale was used throughout the questionnaire for statements that required scaling in order to keep the respondent's mind more focused on the statements. Colored paper was used in printing the questionnaire mailed out to the respondents. This was done in order to make the questionnaire conspicuous and to subsequently assist to ensure a good response rate (Babbie, 2001; Dillman, 2000; Wiersma, 2000; Robson, 1996).

The codes for strongly agree (SA), agree (A), uncertain (U), disagree (D), and strongly disagree (SD) were used throughout the questionnaire where statements required respondents to choose one of these options, instead of any other code or symbol such as a box. This was done so as to make it easier and faster for the respondent to know the response they were circling without having to look back continually to check what the codes stand for (Babbie, 2001; Wiersma, 1986).

The section requiring personal information from the respondent was placed at the end of the questionnaire. This was done to assist the respondent to move straight to responding to questions related to the main purpose of the survey after reading the cover letter (Babbie, 2001; Dillman, 2000; Wiersma, 2000). On the very last page of the questionnaire the respondent was thanked for their valuable contribution made and asked to make any further comments they wished to contribute.

An application was initially made to the Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC) of the University where the research was conducted to approve the conduct of this survey as required by law in Australia. The cover letter to the questionnaire included a statement guaranteeing the confidentiality of the respondent and a statement of how the research had been reviewed and approved by the HREC. The HREC was provided with a copy of the cover letter in case of any concerns or complaints regarding the conduct of the research. The design of the cover letter followed the suggestions and guidelines provided by Sarantakos (2002), Babbie (2001), Wiersma (2000) and Robson (1996).

THE PILOT STUDY

A pilot study was undertaken using the final questionnaire obtained from the pre-tests. Data for the pilot study was collected from three large organizations in Australia identified as users of EIS. The respondents were CEOs, CFOs or equivalent and two other executives in the three selected organizations.

The cover letter to the questionnaire included a statement guaranteeing confidentiality and a statement that the research had been reviewed by the HREC and their contact for any concerns or complaints regarding the conduct of the research. The cover letter was personalized by using the respondent's full name, title and position at the top of the mailing address.

Twelve (12) questionnaires were mailed out and ten (10) were returned all of which were suitable for analysis. The questionnaire was further refined using the feedback received from the pilot survey in order to arrive at the final questionnaire for the main survey.

The feedback received include suggestions to number the questions in the questionnaire; swapping some of the questions to preserve a logical flow; including our e-mail address for contact and including additional sub-variables in the questionnaire to address some organizational issues. The last suggestion, for example, led to the inclusion of a variable which turned out to be one of the important variables that explained the behavior of users in using the systems.

The lesson learnt here was that pilot surveys can contribute to the remarkable improvement of main survey questionnaires.

MAIN SURVEY

In this section the administration of the main survey questionnaires, the organizations surveyed, the survey results and the lessons learnt from the study in questions are presented.

Administration of Survey Questionnaires

The administration of the survey questionnaires for the study involved the process undertaken in distributing the questionnaire packages to the respondents, monitoring of returned questionnaires, and the follow-up with non-respondents.

The basic method for collecting data through the mail was to send the questionnaire accompanied by a cover letter explaining the purpose of the survey, and a pre-paid self-addressed envelope for the return of the questionnaire. One of the common reasons why respondents fail to return questionnaires is the effort required on their part to complete and return the questionnaires (Babbie, 2001). This effort was reduced by making it easy for the respondent to put the completed questionnaire in the pre-paid self-addressed envelope without the respondent having to fold the questionnaire.

Survey Questionnaire Distribution and Return

The survey questionnaire packages were mailed out in batches through the ordinary "snail" mail to the respondents. The survey questionnaire packages were batched to facilitate a good administration of the survey. There were seven batches in all. The first batch was mailed out on November 9. The second and the third batches were mailed out on November 12 and 14 respectively. The fourth, fifth and the sixth batches were mailed out on November 19, and the last batch was mailed out on November 23.

The survey questionnaire package was contained in a pre-paid A-4 size envelope with the University where the research was conducted's name, emblem and the return address at the left-hand corner of the envelope. A package consisted of the questionnaire, a cover letter, and a pre-paid self-addressed A-4 size envelope having the University's name, emblem and return address at the left-hand corner as well.

The questionnaires were pre-numbered to help provide a record of returns and facilitate the mailing of follow-ups to non-respondents. A statement to this effect was provided in the cover letter. The cover letter also included the purpose of the study, how the name of the respondent was obtained, a guarantee of the confidentiality of the respondent, what the respondent needed to do, and by what date the completed questionnaire should be returned. The return dates were made two weeks from the date of the cover letter.

The cover letter concluded by thanking the respondent, a promise to inform the respondent of the findings of the study before any final publication, and an invitation to discuss any part of the research if they so wished. The cover letter was formatted to fit one page and was printed on the University letterhead and signed by the chief investigator.

Monitoring Questionnaire Returns

As the questionnaires were returned, the date on which each was received was recorded in the receipt date column against the respondent's name, using the pre-number on the questionnaire. This was done to facilitate follow-ups to non-respondents. Some questionnaire packages were returned for various reasons. Some respondents emailed, telephoned or wrote to inform us of their company policies not to participate in surveys. Some other respondents emailed and/or telephoned to discuss the research and offered additional valuable comments.

Follow-up Mailings to Non-respondents

The follow-up mailings to non-respondents were delayed until February 2002. This was done for the obvious reason that the months of December and January would be Christmas and New Year holiday breaks for most organizations we were surveying. It was observed from the pattern of the returns of the questionnaires from the first mail out that the timing of our mailing was not good. The mailings were too close to the end of year when business activities are usually at their peak, with executives being extra busy.

766 2004 IRMA International Conference

Each follow-up questionnaire package consisted of all items as in the first mail out plus a follow-up cover letter reminding the respondent of the first mail out and the date by which to return the questionnaire. Though the follow-up cover letter followed the practice of encouraging immediate response, as did the initial cover letter, the follow-up was firmer than the initial letter. This was done because studies (Jackson and Schuyler, 1984) show that fewer responses were received from respondents who received "cute" reminders than those whose reminders are more businesslike.

A total of 115 responses were received from the follow-up mailings which was higher than expected.

The 116 responses were not usable because there were too many missing values (53 responses), or they were returned with notes that the organizations were not using EIS (45 responses), or they were returned with notes expressing no interest to participate in the study (18 responses). The number of responses with missing values was relatively high and seemed to suggest that these respondents did not treat the survey questionnaires with the attention required.

Main Survey Results

A total of 700 questionnaires were mailed out for the initial main survey to mainly CEOs, CFOs, and CIOs in the 255 organizations using EIS in Australia. One hundred and forty five (145) responses were received, out of which 95 were usable for analysis. Follow-up questionnaires were sent to non-respondents and 115 responses were received out of which 49 were usable for analysis. This brings the overall response total to 260 (145 + 115) giving a gross response rate of 37.14% (260 \div 700), of which 144 (95 + 49) responses, that is, 20.57% (144 \div 700), were suitable for analysis. Table 3 shows the summary of the responses to the questionnaires.

The total number of responses and usable questionnaires received in this study is good compared with similar EIS studies in the US, the UK and Canada. In a recent study in the US, Singh et al. (2002) reported having received 51 responses from EIS users giving a response rate of 17%, while Leidner and Elam (1994) sent out 303 questionnaires to EIS users and received 97 responses giving a response rate of 32%. Kim (1996) sent out 400 questionnaires to EIS users in the US and received 112 giving a response rate of 28%. In the UK, Elkordy and Khalil (2002) sent out 960 survey questionnaires and received 216 giving a 22.5% response rate, whereas Bergeron et al. (1995) reported obtaining field data from 38 EIS users in nine organizations in Canada for their study. Based on the above and considering the comparative size of commerce in Australia, the number of participants and the total number of responses obtained for this study is satisfactory.

The lessons learnt here were that: 1. the timing of a survey is important if good response rate is to be achieved; 2. the cover letter for the follow-up to non-respondents should be worded in a manner to let the non-respondent feel the importance of the study and a prompt to respond urgently. The follow-up mailings should also be timed to warrant good response rate.

CONCLUSION

This paper presented a research design and a methodology for a study an explanatory user behavior testing for users of EIS. The research design and methodology has been chosen to suit positivists' perceptions. The nature of the research, the unit of analysis, the dimension, the research methodology, and data collection attributes and characteristics employed in this study were also presented. The data collection method has been elaborated and justified. The survey questionnaire design, based

Table 2: Summary Responses to Questionnaires

Total Number of Questionnaires Distributed	700
Total Number of Questionnaires Returned	260
Total Number of Unusable Questionnaires	116
Total Number of Usable Questionnaires for Analysis	144
Gross Response Rate	37.14%

on procedures and guidelines from previous studies, was presented. The pilot survey, the main survey, and the administration of the main survey were discussed.

The lessons learnt from this study were that a significant amount needs to devoted in understanding research "frameworks" and available to IS researchers and selection of the appropriate one(s) that suit one's investigation. The lesson was also learnt that the appropriate approach to the design of a research, especially the questionnaire, is vital for the success of the research. It was also learnt that pilot surveys contributed remarkably to the improvement of the main research. A conclusion was drawn that the timing of the administration of a survey is essential to ensure good response rate.

The lessons learnt from this study would helpful to other IS researchers. The suggestion from this study to IS researchers is that information systems are social systems and therefore IS research mostly fall in the domain of social science. Attempts should be made to understand the research frameworks in social science that are appropriate to their studies.

REFERENCES

Babbie, E. (2001). The Practice of Social Research, 9th edn, Wadsworth

Bergeron, F., Raymond, L., Rivard, S. and Gara, M (1995). Determinants of EIS use: Testing a behavioral model, *Decision Support Systems*, 14 131-146.

Blau, P.M. (1964). Exchange and Power in Social Life, John Wiley and Sons.

Broadbent, M. (2002). *Creating Effective IT Governance*, Keynote Address at the 2002 Australasian Conference on Information Systems (ACIS), Melbourne, Dec. 3-6, 2002.

Burell, G. and Morgan, G. (1980). Sociological Paradigms and Organizational Analysis, Heineman.

Cook, T.D. and Campbell, D.T. (1979). Quasi-Experimentation: Design and Analysis Issues for Field Settings, Houghton Mifflin Co., Boston MA.

Davis, D. (1996). Business Research for Decision Making, 4^{th} edn, Duxbury Press.

Dillman, D.A. (1978). Mail and Telephone Surveys - The Total Design Method, John Wiley and Sons.

Dillman, D.A. (2000). Mail and Internet Surveys – The Tailored Design Method, 2nd edn, John Wiley and Sons.

Elam, J.J. and Leidner, D.G. (1995). EIS adoption, use, and impact: the executive perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 14(2) 89-103.

Elkordy, M.M. and Khalil, O.E.M. (2002). EIS Information: Use and Quality Determinants, in *Proceedings of 2002 IRMA International Conference*, Seattle, USA, 1156-1157.

Homans, G.C. (1961). Social Behavior: Its Elementary Forms, Harcourt, Brace and World.

Huck, S.W., Cormier, W.H., and Bounds, W.G., Jr. (1974). Reading Statistics and Research, Harper & Row.

Jackson, E.E. and Schuyler, N.B. (1984). *Practice makes perfect?* Skills gained in seven years of questionnaires. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Education Research Association, San Francisco.

Kerlinger, F.N. (1986). Foundations of Behavioral Research, 3rd edn, Holt Rinehart & Winston.

Kim, J. (1996). An Empirical Investigation of Factors Influencing the Utilization of Executive Information Systems, Doctoral Dissertation, University of Nebraska.

Kuhn, T.S. (1970). The Structure of Scientific Revolutions, 2^{nd} edn, The University of Chicago Press.

Leidner, D.G. and Elam, J.J. (1994). Executive Information Systems: Their Impact on Executive Decision making. *Journal of MIS*, Winter 1993 - 94, 139-155.

McBride, N. (1997). The rise and fall of an executive information system: a case study. *Information Systems Journal*, 7, 277-287.

Patton, M. (1990). Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods, Sage.

Robson, C. (1996). Real World Research: A Resource for Social Scientists and Practitioner-Researchers, Blackwell.

Runkel, P.J. and McGrath, J.E. (1972). Research on Human Behavior: A Systematic Guide to Method, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.

Sarantakos, S. (2002). Social Research, 2nd edn, MacMillan Publishers Australia Pty Ltd.

Sauer, C. (1993). Why Information Systems Fail: A Case Study Approach. Alfred Waller, UK.

Thibaut, J.W. and Kelley, H.H. (1959). The Social Psychology Groups, John Wiley and Sons.

Triandis, H.C. (1980). Values, Attitudes, and Interpersonal Behavior, in 1979 Nebraska Symposium on Motivation: Beliefs, Attitudes, and Values, University of Nebraska Press, 195-259.

Wiersma, W. (1986). Research Methods in Education: An Introduction, 4th edn, Allyn and Bacon.

Wiersma, W. (2000). Research Methods in Education: An Intro- $\mathit{duction},\ 7^{th}\ edn,\ Allyn\ and\ Bacon.$

0 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/proceeding-paper/research-design-methodological-approach-explanatory/32475

Related Content

Invariant Measure: Power Laws

(2013). Boundedness and Self-Organized Semantics: Theory and Applications (pp. 88-107). www.irma-international.org/chapter/invariant-measure-power-laws/70275

Indexing and Compressing Text

Ioannis Kouris, Christos Makris, Evangelos Theodoridisand Athanasios Tsakalidis (2015). *Encyclopedia of Information Science and Technology, Third Edition (pp. 1800-1808).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/indexing-and-compressing-text/112585

Light-Weight Composite Environmental Performance Indicators (LWC-EPI): A New Approach for Environmental Management Information Systems (EMIS)

Naoum Jamous (2013). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 20-38).*

www.irma-international.org/article/light-weight-composite-environmental-performance/75785

A Sentiment Analysis Model Based on Attention Map Convolutional Network

Wanjun Changand Shaohui Ma (2024). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-14).*

www.irma-international.org/article/a-sentiment-analysis-model-based-on-attention-map-convolutional-network/348658

Exploration on the Operation Status and Optimization Strategy of Networked Teaching of Physical Education Curriculum Based on Al Algorithm

Yujia Wang (2023). *International Journal of Information Technologies and Systems Approach (pp. 1-15)*. www.irma-international.org/article/exploration-on-the-operation-status-and-optimization-strategy-of-networked-teaching-of-physical-education-curriculum-based-on-ai-algorithm/316892