



Chapter 22

Funds of Perezhivanie: Creating Cracks in the Walls of Oppression


Fernanda Liberali

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7165-646X>
*Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo,
Brazil*


Larissa Mazuchelli

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5253-7593>
Federal University of Uberlandia, Brazil

Rafael da Silva Tosetti Peção

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0707-0709>
*Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo,
Brazil*


Daniela Vendramini-Zanella

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0331-545X>
University of Sorocaba, Brazil

Valdite Pereira Fuga

*Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo,
Brazil*

Luciana Modesto-Sarra

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7597-6484>
*Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo,
Brazil*

ABSTRACT

This chapter discusses the development of funds of perezhivanie in participants of the Brincadas Project, a response to the appalling experiences of COVID-19 in Brazil organized by the Research Group Language in Activities in School Contexts. The project, grounded on critical collaborative research, decolonial studies, and Vygotskian and Freirean's body of works, involves participants' critical, intentional, and engaged actions to individually and collectively recreate ways of "producing life" and research together. The authors focus on two activities for this work: a cine club with the indigenous community Tekoa Pyau and a workshop session on ag(e)ing. Both activities exemplify the development and expansion of participants' funds of perezhivanie while expressing how these resources for "talking back" may significantly impact society.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-5022-2.ch022

INTRODUCTION

This chapter discusses the development of funds of perezhivanie in participants of the Brincadas Project, organized by the Research Group Language in Activities in School Context (Grupo de Pesquisa Linguagem e Atividade em Contexto Escolar, in Portuguese), as a response to the dreadful living conditions experienced during the COVID-19 pandemic in Brazil.

In Brazil, the pandemic crisis has highlighted the necropolitics¹ (Mbembe, 2003) of a government that despises its population's death. Among several examples, the authors stress the incitement not to use masks and the contempt for adopting distancing measures; the absence of a national policy for buying vaccines and the government's questioning of their efficacy; the encouragement of medication and treatment without scientific evidence; and the lack of financial support for families in need.

In 2022, for example, around 130,000 families are at risk of being evicted. Between March 2020 and February 2022, more than 27,600 families left their homes, representing a rise of circa 300% in the last two years (Despejo Zero, 2022). Data on food insecurity also indicate the increase in despair of families who cannot feed themselves. Although hunger is a historical problem in Brazil, as is access to housing, in the last two years, the number of people experiencing food insecurity has increased from 10.3 million to 19.1 million (Rede PENSSAN, 2021). In this regard, it is worth noting that in 2019 the National Council for Food and Nutrition Security was terminated by the Bolsonaro Government in the form of a Provisional Measure (PM N. 870, Jan 1, 2019). The return of Brazil to the United Nations Hunger Map is not due only to the recent health crisis, but to the political mechanisms that have been annihilating social advances.

In this terrifying political helplessness and health crisis scenario, the authors highlight the struggling experiences to survive of two population groups—indigenous communities and the ag(e)ing² population—that live in destitution, suffering from discrimination and systemic violence. The former has been resisting, since the Portuguese colonization, the violence that reduced its population from around 3 million to 250 thousand—currently distributed in only 200 ethnic groups and circa 170 languages. The latter resists being blamed for the “overburden” on the health and pension systems. In the context of the pandemic, besides the health crisis, the indigenous population faces increased hunger and violence in the dispute over land for monoculture and livestock. In turn, the ag(e)ing population was ridiculed and accused of not understanding the gravity of the pandemic. At the same time, their lives were considered disposable by politicians who regard it inconceivable that “now everyone wants to live to 100, 120, 130 years” and doctors who have decided that the lives of older people in Intensive Care Units are not worth the treatment³.

Alongside other initiatives, the Brincadas Project has responded to this reality by offering financial support, education and play, and psychological aid⁴. As discussed in previous works (Liberali, Mazuchelli & Modesto-Sarra, 2021; Liberali et al., 2021a), the project has involved working with “the disposable lives” of the deaf, the LGBTQIAP+ community, the quilombolas, the indigenous groups, the migrants, the afro-Brazilians, the ag(e)ing people, women, and people with disability so that they can “talk back” (hooks, 1989) and transform their struggling experiences into potent resources to create cracks (Walsh, 2019) in the walls of oppression. As suggested by hooks (1989), talking back involves raising one's voice to speak as an equal to an authority figure in a daring attitude of disagreement, simply having an unsolicited opinion or speaking out, which may be viewed as an act of courage.

In this chapter, the authors focus on two activities developed in 2021 that exemplify how the participants' critical, voluntary, and engaged actions may foster the expansion of their funds of perezhivanie⁵,

21 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/funds-of-perezhivanie/313542

Related Content

Common Errors in Teacher-Made Test Design

Michael Fields (2019). *Handbook of Research on Assessment Literacy and Teacher-Made Testing in the Language Classroom* (pp. 328-346).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/common-errors-in-teacher-made-test-design/217160

Common Trends in Frameworks for Analyzing Intercultural Content in Language Teaching Course Books

Chau Thi Hoang Hoa and Vien Truong (2024). *Teacher and Student Perspectives on Bilingual and Multilingual Education* (pp. 81-97).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/common-trends-in-frameworks-for-analyzing-intercultural-content-in-language-teaching-course-books/341775

Modified Road Traffic Signs in the South African Linguistic Landscape

Lorato Mokwena (2022). *Research Anthology on Applied Linguistics and Language Practices* (pp. 1352-1368).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/modified-road-traffic-signs-in-the-south-african-linguistic-landscape/303079

Promoting Global Virtual Teams across the Globe: Cross-Cultural Challenges and Synergies

Norhayati Zakaria and Ghadeer Al Safi (2014). *Cross-Cultural Interaction: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 591-600).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/promoting-global-virtual-teams-across-the-globe/97491

Book Review: Extending the Scope of Corpus-Based Translation Studies

Xiaowan Qin and Keming Peng (2023). *International Journal of Translation, Interpretation, and Applied Linguistics* (pp. 1-6).

www.irma-international.org/article/book-review/317105