Chapter 19

Entrepreneurial Leadership in a Malaysian Public University: Competency and Behavior in the Face of Institutional Adversity

Noorlizawati Abd Rahim

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5805-5499

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Zainai Mohamed

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Astuty Amrin

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Zaidatun Tasir

Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Habibah Norehan Haron

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3079-9119

Razak Faculty of Technology and Informatics, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Haliyana Khalid

Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Nina Diana Binti Nawi

Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

Ana Haziqah A. Rashif

Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Based on case studies of top senior leaders (vice-chancellors) of Malaysian public universities, this chapter explores the characteristics of challenging experiences they encountered that require them to embrace entrepreneurship in their leadership. The complexity of diverse stakeholders, the multiplicity of academic disciplines, the lack of familiarity leading different and broader roles, leading in new directions, and creating change in high velocity and uncertain environments are among the entrepreneurial situations that characterize institutional adversities. Learning agility, opportunity recognition, and bridging capability are among their exemplary entrepreneurial leadership competencies in the face of adversity. This chapter concludes that entrepreneurial leaders in public universities as those leaders capable of bridging the gap between diverse stakeholders to achieve a unified vision of innovative public value creation and dynamic education marketplace adaptation.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-4605-8.ch019

Introduction

"A true measure of an entrepreneur and successful person is how they deal with adversity" - Noah Kagan

Entrepreneurial leadership is a concept formed from the intersection between leadership and entrepreneurship (Reid et al., 2018). From the behavioral perspective, entrepreneurial leadership describes a leader leading with an entrepreneurial style or an entrepreneur who exhibits leadership traits (Leitch & Volery, 2017). There has been a rising demand for entrepreneurial leaders who can navigate evolving organizational missions in a dynamic environment (Huang, 2014, Harrison et al., 2016) since entrepreneurialism is complementary to conventional leadership approaches that advance organizational performance (Anju & Mathew, 2017; Paudel, 2019). Entrepreneurial leadership competency has been studied in various organizational contexts such as new venture emergence (Sklaveniti, 2017), performance (Huang, 2014; Sklaveniti, 2017), small and medium enterprises (Al Mamun, 2018; Bagheri, 2017; Hasan, 2020; Maladzhi, 2015; Paudel, 2019; Quaye 2019), family-controlled business (Kansikas et al., 2012, Ng & Thorpe, 2010), healthcare (Bagheri & Akbari, 2018; Harrison, 2016), maritime (Kandil, 2016), and social enterprises (Newman, 2018). A greater emphasis has been placed on assessing the impact on business organizational success, which includes the strategic management of resources, wealth creation, innovation performance (Hejazi et al., 2012), and sustainability (Rae, 2017).

The role of leaders in a wider range of entrepreneurial circumstances has emerged as a salient opportunity for impending research (Leitch & Volery, 2017; Ram et al., 2019). Apart from profit-driven sectors, the need for the public sector to increase competitiveness and efficiency demonstrates the need for entrepreneurial leaders' governance (Currie et al., 2008) and intrapreneurship (Kearney et al., 2008). In the education sector, much of the literature on entrepreneurial leadership views the topic from the business-lens, particularly regarding its roles of addressing enrolment and financial challenges (Cleverley-Thompson, 2016), increasing self-sustainability (Hashim et al., 2019), and upholding the national education business (Batunable et al., 2019). Some authors have established the significance of entrepreneurial leadership for organizational and job performance in schools (Batunable et al., 2019; Kasim & Zakaria, 2019) and universities (Wahab & Tyasari, 2020; Bakar & Mahmood, 2014). Nonetheless, a recent review on the evolution of leadership research in higher education criticizes that despite the growing number of leadership models, insights on the conceptualization of those models in the higher education context are still lacking (Esen et al., 2020).

Founded on the theory of skill acquisition (Kanfer & Ackerman, 1989; DeKeyser, 2007) that posits challenging experiences as the source of motivation for leaders to put forth a concerted effort to acquire skills demanded of them (Kanfer & Ackerman, 1989, DeRue et al., 2009), this chapter develops the idea that institutional adversity (i.e. challenging work experiences) present the entrepreneurial circumstances that drive the content and acquisition of entrepreneurial leadership competency development among academic administrators in public higher education institutions (HEI). Experiential learning has been a topic of interest in the leadership development field (Hezlett, 2016). Other than participating in training and development programs, tackling a significant workplace challenge is the most highly rated experience type that develops leadership capabilities (Boak & Crabbe, 2019), which reinforces the increasingly popular '70-20-10' distribution emphasis rule (McCauley et al., 2014). Despite this popular implementation of developmental job experiences as managerial and leadership development interventions (Srikanth, 2018; Dragoni et al., 2011), prior research offers limited insight into the characteristics of challenging work experiences that promote entrepreneurial leadership competency development. Moreover, the ways

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/entrepreneurial-leadership-in-a-malaysian-public-university/312891

Related Content

Framework Entrepreneurship Process

José Adriano Gomes Pires, Francisco José García Peñalvo, Jorge Humberto Marinho Sampaioand Rosa María Martínez Vázquez. (2013). *Academic Entrepreneurship and Technological Innovation: A Business Management Perspective (pp. 228-254).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/framework-entrepreneurship-process/69435

Academic Entrepreneurship and Knowledge Transfer Networks: Translation Process and Boundary Organizations

Hugo Pinto, Ana Rita Cruzand Helena de Almeida (2017). *Entrepreneurship: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1051-1080).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/academic-entrepreneurship-and-knowledge-transfer-networks/179700

Innovative Behaviour of Rural Small Enterprises

Karl W. Sandbergand Gerth Öhman (2011). *International Journal of E-Entrepreneurship and Innovation* (pp. 23-34).

www.irma-international.org/article/innovative-behaviour-rural-small-enterprises/58354

Economic and Ecologic Analysis of Wind Energy as Steps in Starting a New Business

(2018). Entrepreneurship and Business Development in the Renewable Energy Sector (pp. 133-159). www.irma-international.org/chapter/economic-and-ecologic-analysis-of-wind-energy-as-steps-in-starting-a-new-business/192854

How to Succeed as an Entrepreneur in Africa: A Practical Guide and Cases

Gabriel Kabanda (2022). *Journal of Media Management and Entrepreneurship (pp. 1-13)*. www.irma-international.org/article/how-to-succeed-as-an-entrepreneur-in-africa/310935