

# Chapter 24

## Privacy Preserving Data Mining as Proof of Useful Work: Exploring an AI/Blockchain Design

**Hjalmar K. Turesson**  
*York University, Canada*

**Henry Kim**  
*blockchain.lab, York University, Canada*

**Marek Laskowski**  
*blockchain.lab, York University, Canada*

**Alexandra Roatis**  
*Aion Network, Canada*

### **ABSTRACT**

*Blockchains rely on a consensus among participants to achieve decentralization and security. However, reaching consensus in an online, digital world where identities are not tied to physical users is a challenging problem. Proof-of-work provides a solution by linking representation to a valuable, physical resource. While this has worked well, it uses a tremendous amount of specialized hardware and energy, with no utility beyond blockchain security. Here, the authors propose an alternative consensus scheme that directs the computational resources to the optimization of machine learning (ML) models – a task with more general utility. This is achieved by a hybrid consensus scheme relying on three parties: data providers, miners, and a committee. The data provider makes data available and provides payment in return for the best model, miners compete about the payment and access to the committee by producing ML optimized models, and the committee controls the ML competition.*

## **INTRODUCTION**

Bitcoin (Nakamoto, 2009) presented a workable solution to the problem of double spending of electronic cash without a controlling central entity such as a bank. Launched in 2009, the Bitcoin network implements a peer-to-peer network of computers that maintains a distributed ledger, tracking all the network participants' cryptocurrency balances. In an open network of pseudonymous participants, reaching consensus about what transactions to include in the ledger is challenging – a simple voting scheme won't work since an individual can get an unfair influence by pretending to be an arbitrarily large number of individuals in a "Sybil attack" (Douceur, 2002). For Bitcoin, Sybil-resistance was achieved by requiring participants to expend real-world resources for a chance to append new transactions to the ledger, a scheme known as Proof-of-Work (PoW) (Back, 2002; Nakamoto, 2009; Dwork & Naor, 1993).

## **BACKGROUND**

PoW "proves" that the important task of appending the next block to the ledger is given to someone – a miner – who is "rich" enough that they cannot be corrupted to tolerate a Sybil attack. Wealth is proxied by the miner's access to resources; for Bitcoin, that is the abundant amount of electricity and computational resources required to solve a very difficult mathematical puzzle before others do. However, for every block, it follows that the vast amounts of energy expended by the winning miner and the numerous losing miners are wasted (Vries, 2018; O'Dwyer & Malone 2014; Budish 2018). There have been some attempts at ameliorating this shortcoming by instead securing the blockchain with useful work via a Proof-of-Useful-Work (PoUW) scheme. PoW requires miners to collectively expend vast computational resources to solve a mathematical problem whose solution has no other purpose. PoUW entails solving a mathematical problem whose solution is useful to a third-party external to the blockchain. Early examples were Primecoin (King, 2013), where the work required was to search for chains of prime numbers, and Permacoin (Miller et al., 2014), intended to direct mining resources to distributed storage of archival data. However, these efforts have failed to reach wide adoption possibly due to the limited utility of the work performed. More recent efforts have attempted to solve the orthogonal vectors problem useful for graph theory analysis (Ball et al., 2017) or perform computational tasks for executing Software Guard eXtensions (SGX) instructions on Intel chips (Zhang et al., 2017).

Here we take a different approach and focus on a specific, but common, task: privacy-preserving data mining. Our approach also results in work towards providing a dual-purpose scheme that is useful for domains of blockchain (consensus mechanism) and AI (data mining).

## **WHY PRIVACY-PRESERVING DATA MINING**

The application of machine learning (ML) to important problems in medicine and finance often results in an apparent contradiction: Training the models requires access to large and varied data sets under industry or regulatory expectation that security and privacy will be preserved, even though the size and scope of the data collected makes it attractive to hackers and increases likelihood of malicious or even unintended privacy breaches. Recent news reports have highlighted data security and privacy failures (Armeding, 2018; Cameron, 2017; Subramanian & Malladi, 2020). To mitigate this seeming contradic-

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/privacy-preserving-data-mining-as-proof-of-useful-work/310460](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/privacy-preserving-data-mining-as-proof-of-useful-work/310460)

## Related Content

---

### Digital Transformation and Its Effects on Various Sectors: Indian Perspective

Hima Bindu P., John Samuel K. and Bhaskar Reddy T. (2020). *Impact of Digital Transformation on Security Policies and Standards* (pp. 1-12).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-transformation-and-its-effects-on-various-sectors/251945](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/digital-transformation-and-its-effects-on-various-sectors/251945)

### Successful Computer Forensics Analysis on the Cyber Attack Botnet

Kavisankar Leelasankar, Chellappan C. and Sivasankar P. (2018). *Handbook of Research on Network Forensics and Analysis Techniques* (pp. 266-281).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/successful-computer-forensics-analysis-on-the-cyber-attack-botnet/201615](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/successful-computer-forensics-analysis-on-the-cyber-attack-botnet/201615)

### Why Doesn't Information Systems Vision Exist in the Healthcare Sector?

Matthew Guah (2011). *Ethical Issues and Security Monitoring Trends in Global Healthcare: Technological Advancements* (pp. 207-217).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/doesn-information-systems-vision-exist/52446](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/doesn-information-systems-vision-exist/52446)

### PKI Deployment Challenges and Recommendations for ICS Networks

Nandan Rao, Shubhra Srivastava and Sreekanth K.S. (2017). *International Journal of Information Security and Privacy* (pp. 38-48).

[www.irma-international.org/article/pki-deployment-challenges-and-recommendations-for-ics-networks/178644](http://www.irma-international.org/article/pki-deployment-challenges-and-recommendations-for-ics-networks/178644)

### Exploring Secure Computing for the Internet of Things, Internet of Everything, Web of Things, and Hyperconnectivity

Maurice Dawson (2017). *Security Solutions for Hyperconnectivity and the Internet of Things* (pp. 1-12).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-secure-computing-for-the-internet-of-things-internet-of-everything-web-of-things-and-hyperconnectivity/164690](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-secure-computing-for-the-internet-of-things-internet-of-everything-web-of-things-and-hyperconnectivity/164690)