Chapter 4 Improving Literacy Instruction Through University and P12 Partnerships: Using the SPICE Early Literacy Grant to Foster Literacy Instruction

Charles William Kemp Shawnee State University, USA

Sandra Lee Beam https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9910-0631 Shawnee State University, USA

Kimberly D. Cassidy https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0698-5539 Shawnee State University, USA

ABSTRACT

The Strategic Partnering for Integrated Comprehensive Systems in Education (SPICE Early Literacy Grant) focused on supporting authentic, meaningful, and sustainable university-school district partnerships to promote inclusive models of preparation and personnel development for educators, improving equitable access to high-quality instruction and equitable outcomes for struggling learners and funding development efforts that supported shared inquiry into common problems of practice related to improving reading results for all learners. A Midwestern university's School of Education partnered with a local low-resourced school district whose reading scores based-upon the district report card system in Ohio continue to fall below proficient. By providing Language Essentials for Teachers of Reading and Spelling (LETRS), training to inservice teachers and providing the theory of LETRS during teacher preparation training to preservice teacher candidates, a strong partnership takes place during the clinical field experience.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3443-7.ch004

INTRODUCTION

Poke your head into any P12 school located near a university and you will likely find a preservice teacher participating in some kind of activity within the classroom, be it observing to learn, working with a small group of students to practice a skill, or full-on teaching as a teacher candidate.

The partnership between the university teacher education department and the local P12 schools has long been recognized as vital for both institutions (Cole & Knowles, 1993; NCATE, 2010; CAEP, 2013). The teacher preparation program must partner with the local P12 schools for field and student teaching experiences. It is in the local P12 schools where preservice teachers begin to practice what is being learned in the university classroom. It is at the local school where preservice teachers work alongside experienced, mentor (inservice) teachers who guide, encourage, and model for novice preservice teachers. It is this partnership where the university site-supervisor also guides, encourages, and models high quality instructional practices with these same preservice teachers. Conversely, the local schools need the university to continue to train, develop and ready the next generation of classroom teachers to replace retiring teachers are needed. Goodlad (1993) referred to this partnership as a symbiotic relationship; a relationship that has existed for years.

Perhaps the potential for another somewhat symbiotic relationship has existed, and has maybe even explored to a greater or lesser degree (Ahlgrim-Delzell et al., 2016; Fisher & Rogan, 2012; Zenkov, Shiveley, & Clark, 2016) between the university and the local schools; the scenario where the university provides professional development to the faculty of the local schools. This partnership provides two opportunities. First, the research-informed, evidence-based professional development provided to local school district inservice teachers by university faculty in the teacher preparation program based upon data-driven needs of the local schools. Second, the field experiences and student teaching opportunities in classrooms where theory to practice happens in greater ways for preservice teachers who have learned the same material as the local school faculty during professional development. It was those two types of opportunities that sparked the partnership for the Strategic Partnering for Integrated Comprehensive Systems in Education (SPICE) grant and subsequent work.

BACKGROUND

For several years the Ohio Deans Compact on Exceptional Children (Deans) has offered incentive grants to university teacher preparation programs to support the 12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/improving-literacy-instruction-through-

university-and-p12-partnerships/309338

Related Content

Accessibility Implementation for Disabled Students in PMBOLD Environments

Henry C. Alphin (2013). *Project Management Approaches for Online Learning Design* (*pp. 114-136*).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/accessibility-implementation-disabled-students-pmbold/73277

Examining the Factors that Influence how Instructors Provide Feedback in Online Learning Environments

Susan S. Conradand Nada Dabbagh (2015). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 47-66).*

www.irma-international.org/article/examining-the-factors-that-influence-how-instructors-provide-feedback-in-online-learning-environments/129966

Developing a Socially Responsible Approach to IT Research

Justin Marquis (2008). Handbook of Research on Instructional Systems and Technology (pp. 913-926).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-socially-responsible-approach-research/20838

The LOLA Strategy and E-Learning Knowledge Management

Patricia Lupion Torresand Rita de Cassia Veiga Marriott (2008). *Handbook of Research on Instructional Systems and Technology (pp. 653-669).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/lola-strategy-learning-knowledge-management/20820

Interactive Online Learning: Solution to Learn Entrepreneurship in Sparsely Populated Areas?

Rauno Rusko (2017). International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 54-69).

www.irma-international.org/article/interactive-online-learning/181812