

SRGM Decision Model Considering Cost-Reliability

Wenqian Jiang, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China

Ce Zhang, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China*

Di Liu, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China

Kaiwei Liu, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China

Zhichao Sun, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China

Jianyuan Wang, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China

Zhongyin Qiu, Harbin Institute of Technology, China

Weigong Lv, Harbin Institute of Technology (Weihai), China

ABSTRACT

Aiming at the current software cost model and optimal release research, which does not fully consider the actual faults in the testing phase, a cost-reliability SRGM evaluation and selection algorithm SESABCRC is proposed. From the perspective of incomplete debugging, introducing new faults, and considering testing effort, the imperfect debugging SRGM is established. The proposed SRGM can be used to describe the testing process of the software through the actual failure data set verification and is superior to other models. Based on the proposed SRGM, the corresponding cost function is given, which explicitly considers the impact of imperfect debugging on the cost. Furthermore, an optimal release strategy is proposed when given restricted reliability target requirements and when considering the uncertainty that the actual cost may exceed the expected cost. Finally, an experimental example is given to illustrate and verify the optimal publishing problem, and parameter sensitivity analysis is carried out.

KEYWORDS

Multiple-Attribute Decision Making, Optimal Distance, Software Reliability, Software Reliability Growth Model, Testing Effort

INTRODUCTION

SRGM (Software Reliability and Growth Model) is an important mathematical tool for modeling and predicting the reliability improvement process in software testing stages (Ahmad, Bokhari, Quadri & Khan, 2008; Dohi, Matsuoka & Osaki, 2002; Zhang, Meng & Wan, 2016). Accurately modeling software reliability and predicting its possible trends are essential to determine the reliability of the entire product (Yamada, 2014; Okamura, Etani & Dohi, 2011; Okamura & Dohi, 2014; Zhang, Meng, Kao, Lü, Liu, Wan, Jiang, Cui & Liu, 2014). The description of SRGM is mainly implemented by establishing a mathematical model describing the testing process and obtaining the expression

DOI: 10.4018/IJDCF.302873

*Corresponding Author

This article published as an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and production in any medium, provided the author of the original work and original publication source are properly credited.

for the number of failures $m(t)$ of cumulative testing. The study only from $m(t)$, which is used to describe SRGM, is implemented from the perspective of reliability. At the same time, the test cost needs to be considered, that is, the TE (testing effort) needs to be considered. It is closely related to cost (Zhang, Meng, Kao, Lü, Liu, Wan, Jiang, Cui & Liu, 2014). TE describes the consumption of test resources, which can be represented by TEF (testing effort function). The release of software must consider not only the reliability requirements but also the cost factor (Zhang, Cui, Liu, Meng & Fu, 2014; Huang & Lyu, 2005). That is, the software release must consider the comprehensive standard of “cost-reliability”. Therefore, TE has become an important branch of SRGM research and has achieved a series of results.

Counting from the G-O model (Ahmad, Khan & Rafi, 2010) in the late 1970s, SRGM research has spanned two centuries, with a research history of nearly 40 years. Hundreds of related models have been proposed. These results have enriched the connotation of the research, but at the same time, they have also brought difficulties to the evaluation and selection of SRGM. At present, the performance of SRGM is mainly evaluated from the perspective of fitting and prediction, that is, the fit of $m(t)$ to the real historical failure data and the prediction of future failures. For example, in the evaluation of the fitting between the model and historical data, *MSE*, *variation*, *MEOP*, *TS*, *RMS-PE*, *BMMRE* and *R-square* (Goel & Okumoto, 1979) are often used as metric choices. Among them, the closer the R-square standard is to 1, the better, but other standards are the different (the smaller these standards, the better); *RE* is used as the model's evaluation standard for future data prediction. The closer the RE is to 0, the better prediction. However, in fact, on different data sets, it is still difficult to find a model that performs well in the abovementioned fitting and prediction standards. In addition, it is difficult and nonquantitative to intuitively and singly judge the performance of the models from the level of these values.

Obviously, how to select and evaluate the performance of the model and make more objective and scientific decision-making has become an important aspect of SRGM research, and some progress has been made currently. Sharma K (Zhang, Cui, Mend, Liu & Wu, 2013) performs the optimal selection of SRGM based on Euclidean distance and determines the optimal one by calculating the shortest distance between the solution of the model and the ideal solution, but this method lacks TE and more evaluation performance standards, especially the consideration of predicting RE standards. The literature (Sharma, Garg, Nagpal & Garg, 2010) proposes a method for selecting SRGM in engineering practice from the perspective of decision-making software release, which selects SRGM by evaluating the accuracy of the model predicting the remaining faults in the software. The literature (Stringfellow & Andrews, 2002) evaluates SRGM from the MSE fitting standard and self-defined predictive index (relatively balanced RE) and gives a specific implementation process of SRGM selection. Lakshmanan (Rana, Staron, Berger, Hansson, Nilsson, Toerner, Meding & Hoeglund, 2014) proposed a feed-forward neural network-based method that selects SRGM by measuring the goodness of fitting of each model, but this method lacks a description of cost loss and model prediction performance.

These studies are implemented from different angles and promote the development of SRGM selection and decision-making research. The current research has the following shortcomings:

1. For existing SRGM models, when they compare, they often consider only a few evaluation criteria, such as *MSE* and *R-square*, ignoring the important position of the prediction standard RE in the model selection process, so these methods are very likely to select a model that does not conform to the engineering software.
2. In terms of fitting and prediction standards, different evaluation standards have different degrees of model performance measurement, so their weights should be considered.
3. Some existing SRGM models were proposed very early, and the actual factors were not fully considered at the beginning of the design. Therefore, only the failure data information was used as the modeling condition, and the proportion of TE in the modeling was not considered.

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/article/srgm-decision-model-considering-cost-reliability/302873

Related Content

Exploring Defense of SQL Injection Attack in Penetration Testing

Alex Zhuand Wei Qi Yan (2017). *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics* (pp. 62-71).

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-defense-of-sql-injection-attack-in-penetration-testing/188363

A Profile of the Demographics, Psychological Predispositions, and Social/Behavioral Patterns of Computer Hacker Insiders and Outsiders

Bernadette H. Schelland Thomas J. Holt (2012). *Cyber Crime: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 1461-1484).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/profile-demographics-psychological-predispositions-social/61021

An Overview on Passive Image Forensics Technology for Automatic Computer Forgery

Jie Zhao, Qiuzi Wang, Jichang Guo, Lin Gaoand Fusheng Yang (2020). *Digital Forensics and Forensic Investigations: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 27-38).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-overview-on-passive-image-forensics-technology-for-automatic-computer-forgery/252676

Efficient Forensic Analysis for Anonymous Attack in Secure Content Distribution

Hongxia Jin (2009). *International Journal of Digital Crime and Forensics* (pp. 59-74).

www.irma-international.org/article/efficient-forensic-analysis-anonymous-attack/1592

Security, Trust, and Privacy on Mobile Devices and Multimedia Applications

Edgar R. Weippland Bernhard Riedl (2012). *Cyber Crime: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools and Applications* (pp. 228-244).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/security-trust-privacy-mobile-devices/60951