IDEA GROUPPUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com **ITB10790**

Chapter XIII

Similarity Learning in GIS:

An Overview of Definitions, Prerequisites and Challenges

Giorgos Mountrakis, University of Maine, USA

Peggy Agouris, University of Maine, USA

Anthony Stefanidis, University of Maine, USA

Abstract

In this chapter we review similarity learning in spatial databases. Traditional exact-match queries do not conform to the exploratory nature of GIS datasets. Non-adaptable query methods fail to capture the highly diverse needs, expertise and understanding of users querying for spatial datasets. Similarity-learning algorithms provide support for user preference and should therefore be a vital part in the communication process of geospatial information. More specifically, we address machine learning as applied in the optimization of query similarity. We review appropriate definitions of

This chapter appears in the book *Spatial Databases: Technologies, Techniques and Trends* by Yannis Manalopoulos, Apostolos Papadopoulos and Michael Gr. Vassilakopoulos. Copyright © 2005, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

similarity and we position similarity learning within data mining and machine learning tasks. Furthermore, we outline prerequisites for similarity learning techniques based on the unique characteristics of the GIS domain. A description of specific methodologies follows based on the highly diverse attributes of GIS datasets (for example, text, images, video), and application examples are presented. We summarize previously set requirements and present future trends expected to emerge in the coming years.

Introduction

Databases containing geographic information have been through substantial changes in the past decade. Improvements in acquisition methods coupled with increased information dissemination through the Internet have altered substantially their characteristics. Nowadays geospatial databases are increasingly incorporating temporal information in order to enable novel analysis capabilities through spatiotemporal modeling. They may also incorporate diverse information types like video, text and sound, in addition to the traditional raster, vector and thematic types. All these changes are expanding the applicability of geographic information systems (GIS), while at the same time increasing the difficulty in modeling and managing their content.

Problem Description

The increase in geospatial information availability has been matched by an expansion of the relevant user community, and an increase in the complexity of demands by such users. Thus, even though there exist increasing volumes of geospatial information, there are very good chances that a GIS query may not have an exact match in a corresponding database. To address this problem, *similarity* algorithms have been developed. The goal of a similarity algorithm is to compare each query to the available information in the database and produce a metric (or ranking). This metric expresses how close (appropriate) each of the available answers is to the query. For example, when a user requests an aerial photograph from 1956, the similarity algorithm will compare the request (Time = 1956) to the temporal footprint of the aerial photographs available in a database, and will rank these photographs based on their similarity to the user request. A popular example of such a similarity algorithm is nearest neighbor: The photograph that is closest (temporally) to 1956 would be the best choice.

Traditional similarity algorithms have been widely accepted because their simplicity allows fast answers to user queries, accompanied by preference

26 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart"

button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-

global.com/chapter/similarity-learning-gis/29669

Related Content

Normalizing Multimedia Databases

Shi Kuo Chang, Vincenzo Deufemiaand Giuseppe Polese (2005). *Encyclopedia of Database Technologies and Applications (pp. 408-412).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/normalizing-multimedia-databases/11181

The Effects of Construct Redundancy on Readers' Understanding of Conceptual Models

Palash Beraand Geert Poels (2017). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 1-25).* www.irma-international.org/article/the-effects-of-construct-redundancy-on-readersunderstanding-of-conceptual-models/189136

Improving the Domain Independence of Data Provenance Ontologies: A Demonstration Using Conceptual Graphs and the W7 Model

Jun Liuand Sudha Ram (2017). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 43-62).* www.irma-international.org/article/improving-the-domain-independence-of-data-provenanceontologies/181669

Ensuring Correctness, Completeness, and Freshness for Outsourced Tree-Indexed Data

Tran Khanh Dang (2009). *Database Technologies: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 2204-2222).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ensuring-correctness-completeness-freshnessoutsourced/8031

Understanding the Role of Use Cases in UML: A Review and Research Agenda

Brian Dobingand Jeffrey Parsons (2000). *Journal of Database Management (pp. 28-36).*

www.irma-international.org/article/understanding-role-use-cases-uml/3256