# Chapter 8.3 Activity-Oriented Computing

### João Pedro Sousa

George Mason University, USA

### **Bradley Schmerl**

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

### **Peter Steenkiste**

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

### **David Garlan**

Carnegie Mellon University, USA

### ABSTRACT

This chapter introduces a new way of thinking about software systems for supporting the activities of end-users. In this approach, models of user activities are promoted to first class entities, and software systems are assembled and configured dynamically based on activity models. This constitutes a fundamental change of perspective over traditional applications; activities take the main stage and may be long-lived, whereas the agents that carry them out are plentiful and interchangeable. The core of the chapter describes a closed-loop control design that enables activity-oriented systems to become self-aware and self-configurable, and to adapt to dynamic changes both in the requirements of user activities and in the environment resources. The chapter discusses how that design addresses challenges such as user mobility, resolving conflicts in accessing scarce resources, and robustness in the broad sense of responding adequately to user expectations, even in unpredictable situations, such as random failures, erroneous user input, and continuously changing resources. The chapter further summarizes challenges and ongoing work related to managing activities where humans and automated agents collaborate, human-computer interactions for managing activities, and privacy and security aspects.

### INTRODUCTION

Over the past few years, considerable effort has been put into developing networking and middleware infrastructures for ubiquitous computing, as well as in novel human-computer interfaces based on speech, vision, and gesture. These efforts tackle ubiquitous computing from two different perspectives—systems research and HCI research—hoping to converge and result in software that can support a rich variety of successful ubiquitous computing applications. However, although examples of successful applications exist, a good understanding of frameworks for designing ubiquitous computing applications is still largely missing.

A key reason for the lack of a broadly applicable framework is that many research efforts are based on an obsolete application model. This model assumes that ubiquitous computing applications can support user activities by packaging, at design time, a set of related functionalities within a specific domain, such as spatial navigation, finding information on the Web, or online chatting. However, user activities may require much diverse functionality, often spanning different domains. Which functionalities are required to support an activity can only be determined at runtime, depending on the user needs, and may need to evolve in response to changes in those needs. Examples of user activities targeted by ubiquitous computing are navigating spaces such as museums, assisting debilitated people in their daily living, activities at the office such as producing reports, as well as activities in the home such as watching a TV show, answering the doorbell, or enhancing house security.

This chapter introduces activity-oriented computing (AoC) as a basis for developing more comprehensive and dynamic applications for ubiquitous computing. Activity-oriented computing brings user activities to the foreground by promoting models of such activities to first class primitives in computing systems.

In the remainder of this chapter, the section on background presents our vision for activityoriented computing and compares it with related work. Next we discuss the main challenges of this approach to ubiquitous computing. Specifically, we discuss user mobility (as opposed to mobile computing), conflict resolution and robustness, mixed-initiative control, human-computer interaction, and security and privacy.

The main body of the chapter presents our work towards a solution. Specifically, we discuss software architectures for activity-oriented computing and how to address the challenges of mobility and robustness, as well as the options to model user activities. The chapter ends with a discussion of future directions concerning human-computer interactions, and the tradeoff between ubiquity and security and privacy.

### **BACKGROUND**

The vision of AoC is to make the computing environment aware of *user activities* so that resources can be autonomously managed to optimally assist the user. Activities are everyday actions that users wish to accomplish and that may be assisted in various ways by computing resources in the environment. Done right, AoC will allow users to focus on pursuing their activities rather than on configuring and managing the computing environment. For example, an AoC system could reduce overhead by automatically customizing the environment each time the user wishes to resume a previously interrupted long-lived activity, such as preparing a monthly report, or organizing a party.

To help make this vision concrete, the following examples illustrate possible applications of AoC:

Elderly care: Rather than relying on hardcoded solutions, AoC enables domain experts such as doctors and nurses to "write prescriptions" for the activities of monitoring the health of the elderly or outpatients. Such descriptions enable smart homes to take charge of those activities, collaborating

# 25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/activity-oriented-computing/29558

### **Related Content**

### **Emerging Real-Time Methodologies**

Giorgio C. Buttazzo (2013). Embedded Computing Systems: Applications, Optimization, and Advanced Design (pp. 140-159).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/emerging-real-time-methodologies/76955

### Context Inference Engine (CiE): Classifying Activity of Context using Minkowski Distance and Standard Deviation-Based Ranks

Umar Mahmudand Muhammad Younus Javed (2014). Systems and Software Development, Modeling, and Analysis: New Perspectives and Methodologies (pp. 65-112).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/context-inference-engine-cie/108811

# Information System Model for Global Emergency-Response System in the Context of Natural Disaster Recovery Management System

Salem Al-Marriand Muthu Ramachandran (2010). *Handbook of Research on Software Engineering and Productivity Technologies: Implications of Globalization (pp. 460-467).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-system-model-global-emergency/37048

### The Case for Privacy Awareness Requirements

Inah Omoronyia (2016). *International Journal of Secure Software Engineering (pp. 19-36)*. www.irma-international.org/article/the-case-for-privacy-awareness-requirements/152245

## A Smart IoT Solution for Reducing Carbon Levels in Computer Labs Through Hanging Plant Pots: GreenLab

K. Amshakala, Mariammal, Hemalathaand R. Saveeth (2024). *The Convergence of Self-Sustaining Systems With AI and IoT (pp. 1-22).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-smart-iot-solution-for-reducing-carbon-levels-in-computer-labs-through-hanging-plant-pots/345503