

Chapter 9

The Effect of Product Modularity on Supplier Integration: A Multi-Objective Approach

Metehan Feridun Sorkun

Izmir University of Economics, Turkey

Özgür Özpeynirci

Izmir University of Economics, Turkey

ABSTRACT

This chapter seeks to identify the set of conditions under which the mirroring hypothesis holds, proposing that modular product architecture leads to organizational modularity (i.e., supplier disintegration). The contradictory results on the mirroring hypothesis in the extant literature call for a more holistic analysis of the issue. To this end, this chapter develops a multi-objective mathematical model, allowing for the simultaneous examination of potentially influential factors, including those claimed to be neglected by the mirroring hypothesis. The findings reveal that modular product architecture does not necessarily lead to supplier disintegration, but that its effect is contingent on a firm's priorities.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-7793-6.ch009

INTRODUCTION

Operational factors indicating an ideal supplier integration level has been under investigation for a while. Modularity theory (Baldwin, 2008) explains this issue at task level; accordingly, firms should integrate with their suppliers to the extent that their tasks are interdependent with the tasks of their suppliers. Since technical interdependencies across components largely determine the degree of task interdependencies with suppliers, product design architecture is an important determinant of the ideal supplier integration level.

Product modularity (PM) is the design property of products showing the decoupling degree between the product components (Schilling, 2000). Modular product (high PM level) contains few spatial, structural, and material interdependencies across components (Sosa et al., 2003) because each component is responsible for one separate product function (Ulrich, 1995). The standardized interfaces embed the remaining interdependencies across components in the codified form (Sanchez & Mahoney, 1996). In contrast, integral product (low PM level) is composed of tightly coupled components. Components are jointly responsible for implementing each product function. Thus, the design change in one component entails the significant changes in other components (Ulrich, 1995).

Organizational modularity (OM) indicates the decoupling degree between organizational units to perform organizational functions. Colfer and Baldwin (2016) define three analysis levels for OM, which are within-firm, across-firm, and open and community-based projects. The second one, across-firm, refers to the organizational decoupling degree (OM level) when product is developed by at least two firms. Therefore, the decoupling degree between a firm and its suppliers is analyzed at across-firm level. Likewise, many previous studies (Furlan et al., 2014; Sorkun, 2016; Zirpoli & Becker, 2011) used the supplier integration level to measure the OM level at across-firm level. Accordingly, while supplier integration indicates low OM, supplier disintegration indicates high OM.

“The mirroring hypothesis” establishes a positive link between PM and OM (Colfer & Baldwin, 2016). The reduced technical interdependencies in modular products decrease the coordinative needs across firms, enabling them to form modular organizations (high OM) (Cabigiosu & Camuffo, 2012; Sanchez & Mahoney 1996). Fine et al. (2005) show the positive effect of PM on OM in supply chains, stating that modular products tend to be produced by modular supply chains.

However, the mirroring hypothesis has received criticisms because of its overlooking other relevant operational factors. According to the papers assuming critical position, the relationship between PM and OM is not straightforward as hypothesized. Modular product may encourage supplier disintegration for reducing coordination costs but other operational factors such as logistics (Jacobs et al., 2007;

24 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/the-effect-of-product-modularity-on-supplier-integration/295139

Related Content

Information and Communication Technology (ICT) Research Output and Utilization in Selected Southern African Universities

Luckson M. Kaino, Choshi D. Kasandaand David Mtetwa (2013). *Strategic Role of Tertiary Education and Technologies for Sustainable Competitive Advantage* (pp. 248-266).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-communication-technology-ict-research/78444

ICT as "Knowledge Management" for Assessing Sustainable Consumption and Production in Supply Chains

Surbhi Uniyal, Sachin Kumar Mangla, Pappu R. S. Sarma, Ming-Lang Tsengand Pravin Patil (2022). *Research Anthology on Measuring and Achieving Sustainable Development Goals* (pp. 889-925).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ict-as-knowledge-management-for-assessing-sustainable-consumption-and-production-in-supply-chains/290949

Finding the Sweet Spot of Sustainability in the Energy Sector: A Systems Approach to Managing the Canadian Oil Sands

Nancy Higginsonand Harrie Vredenburg (2014). *Sustainable Practices: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 713-730).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/finding-the-sweet-spot-of-sustainability-in-the-energy-sector/94962

Novel Bioremediation Methods in Waste Management: Novel Bioremediation Methods

Charu Guptaand Dhan Prakash (2020). *Waste Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1627-1643).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/novel-bioremediation-methods-in-waste-management/242781

How to Develop the Competitive Agriculture of Kosovo to Compete in the Regional Markets

Mustafa Pllana, Sali Aliu, Halim Gjergjizi, Iliriana Rahoveanu Miftari, Muje Gjonbalajand Njazi Bytyci (2012). *International Journal of Sustainable Economies Management* (pp. 49-57).

www.irma-international.org/article/develop-competitive-agriculture-kosovo-compete/69957