


## Chapter 93

# New Factors Affecting Productivity of the Software Factory

**Pedro Castañeda**

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1865-1293>

*Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas (UPC), National University of San Marcos (UNMSM),  
Lima, Peru*

**David Mauricio**

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9262-626X>

*National University of San Marcos, Lima, Peru*

### ABSTRACT

*Productivity is very important because it allows organizations to achieve greater efficiency and effectiveness in their activities; however, it is affected by numerous factors. While these factors have been identified for over two decades, all of the previous works limited the software factory to the programming work unit and did not analyze other work units that are also relevant. 90% of a software factory's effort is absorbed by the software production component, 85% of which is concentrated in the efforts of the analysis and design, programming, and testing work units. The present work identifies three new factors that influence the software factory, demonstrating that the use of rules and events influences analysis & design, team heterogeneity negatively affects analysis and design and positively affects programming; and the osmotic communication affects programming. An empirical study on software factories in Peru, determined that 95% of the influence came from these factors, which corroborated as well that team size and trust within the team influences in software production.*

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-6684-3702-5.ch093

## INTRODUCTION

Cusumano (1989) defines a software factory as a company whose characteristics include large-scale software production, task standardization, control standardization, labor division, mechanization, automation, and the systematic application of the good practices of software engineering. The software factory offers great advantages, such as the ability to decrease production costs per product up to 60%, the time savings of putting a product on the market up to 98%, labor requirement reductions by up to 60%, the improvement of productivity by approximately 10 times, and the quality of each product with 10 times fewer of the errors. This increases the portfolio of products and services offered and the possibility of winning new markets (Clements & Northrop, 2001).

When measuring productivity, a software factory has indicators that allow for it to be compared in the market in a way that helps with the consideration of actions to increase the overall efficiency, which will allow for the use of all resources in an effective and efficient way in order to obtain the best possible results. A business needs to know how the organization is performing in relation to previous periods and its competitors and must ask questions such as the following. Is it increasing, decreasing, advancing, or receding? What is the magnitude of this progress or setback? Are the implemented strategies effective?

All models that measure productivity in a software factory consider various elements such as the processes, resources, units of measurement, etc., but often do not consider what affects people and how it impacts on the results of the productivity measurement. For example, the motivation and confidence in the team are factors that could have positive impacts on productivity, while in a demotivated team it could have the opposite effect (Yilmaz & O'Connor, 2011). This is the reason why studies are being conducted to identify the factors that affect productivity. However, the following is evident. (i) The factors identified are oriented to the Programming work unit, which could not be generalized to the software factory, given that it contemplates other work units and each unit has its own particularities. (ii) There are factors that influence productivity in other knowledge domains, but they have not been analyzed in the software factory.

In addition, previous studies have not considered that productivity is dependent upon various factors beyond inputs and outputs that influence the processes and context, among others (Arcudia-Abad, Solís-Carcaño & Cuesta-Santos, 2007; Nomura, Spinola, Hikage & Tonini, 2006).

In this article, the authors introduce new factors that affect productivity in software factories that are supported by theories including language action perspective, transactive memory theory, and good agile practices, such as time-boxing. In addition, we study how these factors affect the work units: Analysis & Design, Programming and Testing. To validate the influence of the introduced factors, 150 responses were collected and assessed of one survey published. The present work is part of a research study on productivity models for software factories.

This article is divided into seven sections. Section 2 presents the literature review about the software factory, productivity, and the factors that affect it. Section 3 details the proposed conceptual model and the elements that comprise it. Section 4 describes the research methodology and includes the strategy applied to obtain the information and analyze the results. Section 5 presents the results of the study. In Section 6, a discussion is established about the findings found in the validation. Finally, the conclusions are presented in Section 7.

27 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/new-factors-affecting-productivity-of-the-software-factory/294552](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/new-factors-affecting-productivity-of-the-software-factory/294552)

## Related Content

---

### Combining Requirements Engineering and Agents

Angélica de Antonio and Ricardo Imbert (2005). *Requirements Engineering for Sociotechnical Systems* (pp. 68-83).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/combining-requirements-engineering-agents/28403](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/combining-requirements-engineering-agents/28403)

### Understanding the State of Quality of Software

(2018). *Enhancing Software Fault Prediction With Machine Learning: Emerging Research and Opportunities* (pp. 67-78).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-the-state-of-quality-of-software/189684](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/understanding-the-state-of-quality-of-software/189684)

### Cloud Service Brokerage: A Conceptual Ontology-Based Service Description Framework

Frank Fowley, Claus Pahl and Li Zhang (2014). *Handbook of Research on Architectural Trends in Service-Driven Computing* (pp. 613-639).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/cloud-service-brokerage/115446](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/cloud-service-brokerage/115446)

### Recognition and Implementation of Cyber Physical Systems in the Development of Smart Factories in Industry 4.0 Through Optimization Techniques

L. Natrayan (2023). *Cyber-Physical Systems and Supporting Technologies for Industrial Automation* (pp. 337-350).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/recognition-and-implementation-of-cyber-physical-systems-in-the-development-of-smart-factories-in-industry-40-through-optimization-techniques/328508](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/recognition-and-implementation-of-cyber-physical-systems-in-the-development-of-smart-factories-in-industry-40-through-optimization-techniques/328508)

### Collective Relocation for Associative Distributed Collections of Objects

Daisuke Fujishima and Tomio Kamada (2017). *International Journal of Software Innovation* (pp. 55-69).

[www.irma-international.org/article/collective-relocation-for-associative-distributed-collections-of-objects/176667](http://www.irma-international.org/article/collective-relocation-for-associative-distributed-collections-of-objects/176667)