<div style="text-align:center">

Chapter X

How to Represent the World:
Ontology-Controlled
Natural Languages

</div>

Introduction

As far as human knowledge about the world is commonly given in NL expressions and as far as universal ontology is a general science of the world, the examination of its impact on natural language science and technology is among the central topics of many academic workshops and conferences. Ontologists, knowledge engineers, lexicographers, lexical semanticists, and computer scientists are attempting to integrate top-level entity classes with language knowledge presented in extensive corpora and electronic lexical resources. Such a deep quest is mostly motivated by high application potential of reality-driven models of language for knowledge communication and management, information retrieval and extraction, information exchange in software and dialogue systems, all with an ultimate view to transform the World Wide Web into a machine-readable global language resource of world knowledge, the Onto-Semantic Web.

One of the practical applications of integrative ontological framework is to discover the underlying mechanisms of representing and processing language content and meaning by cognitive agents, human and artificial. Specifically, to provide the formalized algorithms or rules, whereby machines could derive or attach significance (or signification) from coded signals, both natural signs obtained by sensors and linguistic symbols.

Of all the valuable outputs, the most significant application of ontological knowledge seems to be computer processing of natural languages, as man-made systems of linguistic forms and categories expressing thoughts and representing reality in all its complexity. The reason why language engineering has been failing to produce intelligent computer systems understanding and generating human language is because of the underestimation of the role

of fundamental ontology in the study of natural language (theoretical linguistics), and its main parts: grammar, syntax, and semantics.

One of the misconceptions widely spread both in the KR communities and NLP interest groups is that a human's knowing of the world is basically performed without natural language, and that linguistic communication only marginally involves thinking, "language is froth on the surface of thought," as J. McCarthy states. This view resounds another bad confusion: natural language cannot be knowledge and reasoning representation language, but only an interface, for it is non-algorithmic, ambiguous, vague, and unsystematic. However, such a serious misunderstanding has been met with stiff opposition: "natural language is the ultimate knowledge representation language," (Sowa, 2000a) and that "the richest source of ontological categories is the vocabulary of natural languages" (Sowa, 1995).

Besides, interesting works have appeared promoting NL as a knowledge representation and reasoning system, attributing to it both representational and inferential features and so introducing a new class of large-scale, general-purpose knowledge systems based on natural language (Iwanska & Shapiro, 2000). This NL paradigm of intelligent systems has made the special tracks of international conferences devoted to the theme "NL based Knowledge Representation" (NL, 2005, 2006). Endorsing the language role in human and machine acquisition and processing of information about the world, we support this natural position by devising a formal unified theory of language as a single body of formal rules of grammar and semantics and ontology.

Within the general semantic framework provided by the ontological entities, the formal description of natural language, its elements and structure, is to be based on a consistent set of linguistic expressions and rules:

- Morphologic formulas for the formation of words as linguistic signs and symbol strings expressing content and real meanings
- Phrasal rules for the formation of word strings denoting concrete individuals
- Syntactic rules (or sentential functions) for forming linguistic strings mapping associations and relationships of things
- Linguistic rules of inference forming sentence sequences according to a real logic or ordering of entities

Suggesting a general theory of signs and languages within the ontological paradigm, we establish the following: (1) human language in its nature is tractable algorithmically and systematically; (2) it is expressive and precise; (3) NL is a natural (material) edition and variation of thought; (4) NL is a variant representation of the world knowledge. As much as ontological primitives are knowledge standards, NL symbols and signs are primitives for various knowledge constructions. When considered as a general knowledge and representation language, an opportunity for various NL-driven meaningful machines opens up: NL reasoning applications, NL representation systems, NL answering systems, NL Web search engines, and many other linguistic intelligent programs.

Any powerful knowledge system or intelligent application will be employing a dynamic global model of reality, serving as a common code of real meanings and fundamental axioms,

Related Content

A Lyapunov Theory-Based Neural Network Approach for Face Recognition
Li-Minn Ang, King Hann Lim, Kah Phooi Sengand Siew Wen Chin (2010). *Intelligent Systems for Automated Learning and Adaptation: Emerging Trends and Applications (pp. 23-48).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/lyapunov-theory-based-neural-network/38449

Reality Classification System: A Product Line of the EIS UFO
Azamat Abdoullaev (2008). *Reality, Universal Ontology and Knowledge Systems: Toward the Intelligent World (pp. 305-320).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/reality-classification-system/28320

Proposed Heuristics Model Optimizing the Risk on RTS
PL Pradhan (2017). *International Journal of System Dynamics Applications (pp. 31-51).*
www.irma-international.org/article/proposed-heuristics-model-optimizing-the-risk-on-rts/176381

Analysis of SSIM based Quality Assessment across Color Channels of Images
T. Chandrakanthand B. Sandhya (2015). *International Journal of System Dynamics Applications (pp. 30-42).*
www.irma-international.org/article/analysis-of-ssim-based-quality-assessment-across-color-channels-of-images/135244

Financial Trading Systems: Is Recurrent Reinforcement Learning the Way?
Francesco Bertoluzzoand Marco Corazza (2008). *Reflexing Interfaces: The Complex Coevolution of Information Technology Ecosystems (pp. 246-256).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/financial-trading-systems/28382