

Chapter 11

Critical Analysis of the Relocation Strategy of Production Between National Protectionist Policies, Global Supply, and Value Chains

José G. Vargas-Hernández

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0938-4197>

University Center for Economic and Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Mexico

ABSTRACT

This chapter aims to critically analyze the implications that the national protectionist policies have on the global supply and value chains and the relocation of production. The analysis is based on the assumptions that the global economy is facing the possibility of decoupling of many trade connections, and this trend favors deglobalization processes that have long been promoted by populism, nationalism, and economic protectionism. It is concluded that global supply, production, and value chains, although being economically efficient, are no longer any more secure under national protectionist policies, and therefore, the relocation of production processes is mainly due to the increase in the level of income and wages of the developing countries that are the destinations, which reduce the advantages of relocating.

INTRODUCTION

This analysis shows expansive periods of free trade alternate with other periods in which protectionist measures and the relocation of production are part of a process that is called deglobalization and is characterized by a reduction in export growth that is compensated with increased consumption of the domestic market to defend national interests. Some developed economies have trade imbalances with negative effects on less developed countries.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-7513-0.ch011

The current environment of economic, social, political and health instability has intensified the increase in the economic costs of transaction and coordination of the subsidiaries of multinational companies located in other international host territories, making relocation processes unviable, for which they have initiated processes of repression or de-globalization to return its production to the countries of origin. This situation has meant stagnation, and in some cases a reversal in business strategy to deepen the globalization processes of companies.

The level of economic integration in globalization processes is well advanced, as it has been shown that when production in a province of China is paralyzed, the supply of inputs to other companies from other nations has affected, a situation of vulnerability that has motivated deglobalization as a response that makes relocation more profitable with the repatriation of factories.

This paper first makes a critical analysis of the national protectionist policies and its implications on the global supply and value chains and how these two factors determine the strategy of the relocation of production. Finally, it is offered a discussion on these issues.

NATIONAL PROTECTIONISM POLICY

Protectionism has returned, and it will be having to think about it if it is wanted to reap all the benefits and implement this reasoned “deglobalization” that it called for and that now seems inevitable (Sapir, 2016). The term deglobalization was coined by Sapir (2011) to refer to the protectionism of countries that have a similar level of development and economic growth limited by the commercial and financial dimensions of globalization than through outsourcing and relocation processes of large companies. groups of production centers. Deglobalization processes are characterized by the recovery of the sovereignty of nations, reduction of their interdependence, implementation of automatic and protectionist policies to reduce economic and commercial relations.

In a protectionist policy, countries increase restrictions on the free flow of trade, finance and people, reinforcing their national borders and are oriented towards deglobalization processes that threaten the internationalization of higher education, for example, which remains confined, although It is already advised to deepen the advancement of online education through platforms that reach all places, including the most remote places in the world. The concern about these changes is the depth in which they must occur to save and overcome the advances of globalization, so it can be considered that the advances will be different and different as it had been advancing before, although it is necessary that competition be promoted at the same time that cooperative and collaborative relationships are fostered.

Deglobalization is an inverse process to globalization that is manifested by the protectionist and regulatory economic and trade policies of the nation states as well as the trade wars that are carried out between the great economic and commercial powers. To protect national production, domestic markets increase tariff barriers with the intensification of trade wars between western and eastern markets. What the health crisis has done is accelerate the process.

The international competition on economic systems in the world economy is reduced to economic structures and enterprises mechanisms of macroeconomic players supported by the strengthen functions of the state to stabilize macroeconomics, protect intellectual property rights, to ensure legality and enforcement of contracts, infrastructure provision, and other micro economic policies to stablish incentives and mechanisms for corporate governance, stimulating research and development, investments in

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/critical-analysis-of-the-relocation-strategy-of-production-between-national-protectionist-policies-global-supply-and-value-chains/281450

Related Content

The Future of Maritime Business Management and Leadership in Global Business Sustainability and Remote Work

Nihan Senbursa (2021). *Remote Work and Sustainable Changes for the Future of Global Business* (pp. 262-283).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-future-of-maritime-business-management-and-leadership-in-global-business-sustainability-and-remote-work/281454

The Dissemination of Industry 4.0 Across Global Value Chains: An International Business Perspective

Mark Schofield and Aniekan Emmanuel Essien (2021). *Remote Work and Sustainable Changes for the Future of Global Business* (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-dissemination-of-industry-40-across-global-value-chains/281440

Virtual Collaboration in Remote Project Management: Challenges and Recommendations

Cynthia M. Montaudon-Tomas, Ingrid N. Pinto-López and Anna Amsler (2021). *Remote Work and Sustainable Changes for the Future of Global Business* (pp. 73-95).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/virtual-collaboration-in-remote-project-management/281445

Mitigating Risks and Barriers for Promotion and Implementation of Technologies in E-Healthcare

Ram Prasad K., Nishal M. and Arunram S. P. (2021). *Remote Work and Sustainable Changes for the Future of Global Business* (pp. 199-218).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mitigating-risks-and-barriers-for-promotion-and-implementation-of-technologies-in-e-healthcare/281451

Business Model Innovation and Gender: The Relationship With Digital Broadband and Sustainability in the Next Normal

Juan Mejía-Trejo (2021). *Remote Work and Sustainable Changes for the Future of Global Business* (pp. 161-184).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-model-innovation-and-gender/281449