

Chapter 7.14

Technology–Mediated Progressive Inquiry in Higher Education

Hanni Muukkonen

University of Helsinki, Finland

Minna Lakkala

University of Helsinki, Finland

Kai Hakkarainen

University of Helsinki, Finland

INTRODUCTION

In higher education, students are often asked to demonstrate critical thinking, academic literacy (Geisler, 1994), expert-like use of knowledge, and creation of knowledge artifacts without ever having been guided or scaffolded in learning the relevant skills. Too frequently, universities teach the content, and it is assumed that the metaskills of taking part in expert-like activities are somehow acquired along the way. Several researchers have proposed that in order to facilitate higher level processes of inquiry in education, cultures of education and schooling should more closely correspond to cultures of scientific inquiry (Carey & Smith, 1995; Perkins, Crismond, Simmons &

Under, 1995). Points of correspondence include contributing to collaborative processes of asking questions, producing theories and explanations, and using information sources critically to deepen one's own conceptual understanding. In this way, students can adopt scientific ways of thinking and practices of producing new knowledge, not just exploit and assimilate given knowledge.

BACKGROUND

The best practices in the computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) paradigm have several features in common: consideration, in an interrelated manner, of the development of

technological applications, use of timely pedagogical models, and attention to the social and cognitive aspects of learning. Emphasis is placed on creating a collaborative community that shares goals, tools, and practices for taking part in an inquiry process.

Synthesizing these demands, Kai Hakkarainen and his colleagues at the University of Helsinki have developed a model of *progressive inquiry* as a pedagogical and epistemological framework. It is designed to facilitate expert-like working with knowledge in the context of computer-supported collaborative learning. It is primarily based on Carl Bereiter and Marlene Scardamalia's (Scardamalia & Bereiter, 1994) theory of knowledge building, on the interrogative model of scientific inquiry (Hakkarainen & Sintonen, 2002; Hintikka, 1999), and on the idea of distributed expertise in a community of learners (Brown & Campione, 1994). The model has also been implemented and studied in various educational settings from elementary to higher education (see e.g., Hakkarainen, Järvelä, Lipponen & Lehtinen, 1998; Lakkala, Ilomäki, Lallimo & Hakkarainen, 2002; Lipponen, 2000; Veermans & Järvelä, in press).

THE PROGRESSIVE INQUIRY MODEL

In progressive inquiry, students' own, genuine questions and their previous knowledge of the phenomena in question are a starting point for the process, and attention is drawn to the main concepts and deep principles of the domain. From a cognitive point of view, inquiry can be characterized as a question-driven process of understanding; without research questions, there cannot be a genuine process of inquiry, although in education, information is frequently conveyed or compiled without any guiding questions. The aim is to explain the phenomena in a deepening question-explanation process, in which students and teachers share their expertise and build new

knowledge collaboratively with the support of information sources and technology.

The progressive inquiry model specifies certain epistemologically essential processes that a learning community needs to go through, although the relative importance of these elements, their order, and actual contents may involve a great deal of variation from one setting to another. As depicted in Figure 1, the following elements have been placed in a cyclic, but not step-wise succession to describe the progressive inquiry process (Hakkarainen, 2003; Muukkonen, Hakkarainen, & Lakkala, 1999; 2004).

- a. *Distributed expertise* is a central concept in the model. Progressive inquiry intends to engage the community in a shared process of knowledge advancement, and to convey, simultaneously, the cognitive goals for collaboration. Diversity in expertise among participants, and interaction with expert cultures, promotes knowledge advancement (Brown et al., 1993; Dunbar, 1995). Acting as a member of the community includes sharing cognitive responsibility for the success of its inquiry. This responsibility essentially involves not only completing tasks or delivering productions on time, but also learners' taking responsibility for discovering what needs to be known, goal-setting, planning, and monitoring the inquiry process (Scardamalia, 2002). There should be development of students' (and experts') social metacognition (Salomon & Perkins, 1998)—students learning to understand the cognitive value of social collaboration and gaining the capacity to utilize socially distributed cognitive resources.
- b. The process begins by *creating the context* to anchor the inquiry to central conceptual principles of the domain or complex real-world problems. The learning community is established by joint planning and setting up common goals. It is important to create

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/technology-mediated-progressive-inquiry-higher/27621

Related Content

Learning Styles in the e-Learning Environment: The Approaches and Research on Longitudinal Changes

Pavel Doulik, Jiri Skoda and Ivana Simonova (2017). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 45-61).

www.irma-international.org/article/learning-styles-in-the-e-learning-environment/177260

Students' Difficulties in Identifying the Use of Ternary Relationships in Data Modeling

Rami Rashkovits and Ilana Lavy (2020). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 47-58).

www.irma-international.org/article/students-difficulties-in-identifying-the-use-of-ternary-relationships-in-data-modeling/247081

Online Collaborative Learning and Leadership Development

Tony W. Day (2009). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning, Second Edition* (pp. 1488-1492).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-collaborative-learning-leadership-development/11942

Strengthening the Internal Quality Assurance Mechanisms in Open and Distance Learning Systems

Felix Kayode Olakulehin (2009). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning, Second Edition* (pp. 1916-1922).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strengthening-internal-quality-assurance-mechanisms/12010

Developing Server-Side Infrastructure for Large-Scale E-Learning of Web Technology

Neil Simpkins (2010). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 54-68).

www.irma-international.org/article/developing-server-side-infrastructure-large/40328