

## Chapter 6.6

# Stakeholders in Web-Based Education

**A.K. Aggarwal**

*University of Baltimore, USA*

### INTRODUCTION

As we move from a focus on data acquisition to a knowledge-based society, just-in-time and just-in-place education is becoming a necessity. Web-based education (WBE), an innovation of the twentieth century, provides anytime, anyplace education to anybody—irrespective of time, social status or distance. WBE extends from same-time-same-place (synchronous) to anytime-anyplace (asynchronous) environments (Aggarwal & Legon, 2003; Zhang, 2004). With advances in the Internet and the Information and Communications Technologies (ICT), the traditional emphasis on classroom instruction is disappearing and the particular media used in instruction is becoming less relevant (Alavi & Leidner, 2001; Benbunan-Fich, 2002; Berghel & Sallach, 2004). Learning can be accomplished through face-to-face class meetings or using the radio, CDs, television, or the Web. Just as in face-to-face education, it is becoming feasible to develop learning skills and critical thinking through the Web (Zhang, 2004). Many research-

ers are suggesting a “blended” approach, where part of the class is offered online and another part of the class is face-to-face (Turoff & Aggarwal, 2004). This gives the stakeholders, the nuclei of WBE, flexibility in selecting the medium of instruction.

Stakeholders are entities that have an interest in a product and/or expectations from a process. Stakeholders are like suppliers or customers that are either providing or demanding online conveniences. In the case of Web-based education (WBE), the goal is to provide seamless education from a learner’s inquiry to graduation. Stakeholders can be external and internal. External groups develop plans, set policies, and develop products. The internal groups develop and facilitate the process. WBE is meaningful if it is well designed, 24/7 accessible, teacher- and learner-centered, efficient, effective, flexible, and occurs within a supportive learning environment.

This chapter has two specific purposes: to identify the stakeholders in WBE and to discuss their roles. This chapter should be useful worldwide for universities planning to start WBE and looking to

develop a seamless and efficient system.

## **WBE AND STAKEHOLDERS**

The following section provides a summary and the evolution of WBE.

### **WBE**

WBE started in the late 1990s and continues to proceed rapidly through major evolutionary stages: innovation—first stage/phase, 1990-2000; to growth—second stage 2001-2005; to stabilization—third/future stage 2005+ (Turoff & Aggarwal, 2004). Each of these stages is not mutually exclusive. There is a continuous movement from one stage to another as stakeholders master stages. However, a point of caution is needed—not every stakeholder is in the same stage; some who are just venturing into WBE are still in Stage 1, whereas innovators may be experimenting and beginning Stage 3. One of the big issues is “seamless” WBE. Seamless Web-based education is defined as a smooth flow of information on the Web without human interference. Students are assuming more of the characteristics of customers and demanding online education with online convenience. Universities are recognizing this and differentiating their program offerings by providing a seamless educational environment from admission to graduation.

WBE is now available anytime, anyplace, to anybody—irrespective of time and distance. Typically, two dimensions are used to describe Web-based teaching: time and place. The scenarios for WBE extend from same time-same place (synchronous) to anytime-anyplace (asynchronous) environments. While WBE grows in size and diffuses worldwide, many different experiments, interpretations, and models are emerging. Aggarwal and Bento (2000) have suggested three models of “Internetizing” courses at a traditional university: (a) Web support for

information storage, dissemination, and retrieval, (b) Web support for two-way teaching, and (c) Web-based teaching. The nature of Web support and usage increases from Model (a) to Model (c). In Model (a), web usage is primarily for “informational” purposes, while Model (c) requires a complete Web-based environment. The Web is included as part of the educational experience in the first model, whereas it is the only medium of instruction in the third model. It is this third model that provides time and place independence and is of interest here.

The following section describes various stakeholders and their role in WBE.

### **Stakeholders**

Developing and delivering Web-based education depends on the collective effort of a number of stakeholders. Many stakeholders are involved—key players that help to create and provide a “seamless” environment. Table 1 gives examples of stakeholders in WBE. Stakeholders are both external and internal to a WBE system.

*Table 1. Stakeholders in WBE*

External:
• Government Officials
• Education policy committees
• Federal
• State
• City
• Grant commissions
• External agencies
• UNESCO
• Fulbright Association etc.
• Businesses
• CMS software developers
• Venture financiers
Internal:
• Administration
• Librarians
• Technical personnel
• Faculty
• Student

5 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/stakeholders-web-based-education/27587](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/stakeholders-web-based-education/27587)

## Related Content

---

### Keep Me Connected: No Cost Solutions for Access to Remote Instruction

Alexander James Reid and Elaine Correa (2021). *Handbook of Research on Inequities in Online Education During Global Crises* (pp. 224-239).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/keep-me-connected/278477](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/keep-me-connected/278477)

### Technological Supports for Onsite and Distance Education and Students' Perceptions of Acquisition of Thinking and Team-Building Skills

Jennifer D.E. Thomas and Danielle Morin (2010). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 1-13).

[www.irma-international.org/article/technological-supports-onsite-distance-education/42091](http://www.irma-international.org/article/technological-supports-onsite-distance-education/42091)

### New Design Approaches and a Comparative Study of Taps Packages for Engineering Education

Manji Singh Sindhu (2009). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 38-52).

[www.irma-international.org/article/new-design-approaches-comparative-study/2364](http://www.irma-international.org/article/new-design-approaches-comparative-study/2364)

### An HCI-Based Cognitive Architecture for Learning Process Observation

Guimin Shi, Sheng Yang, Changyong Liu, Shimin Meng, Zhiming Luo and Shaozi Li (2020). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 1-18).

[www.irma-international.org/article/an-hci-based-cognitive-architecture-for-learning-process-observation/240224](http://www.irma-international.org/article/an-hci-based-cognitive-architecture-for-learning-process-observation/240224)

### Bridging of Digital Divide in Africa

S.E. Igun (2013). *Learning Tools and Teaching Approaches through ICT Advancements* (pp. 338-347).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/bridging-digital-divide-africa/68598](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/bridging-digital-divide-africa/68598)