

# Chapter 2.1

## Systems Model of Educational Processes

**Charles E. Beck**

*University of Colorado at Colorado Springs, USA*

**Gary R. Schornack**

*University of Colorado at Denver, USA*


### **INTRODUCTION**

Distance education involves a wide range of elements, including students, instructors, institutions, classroom technology, state agencies and accrediting boards. The educational process model provides a conceptual framework to integrate these diverse elements. The following discussion begins with a brief background on the systems and communication basis of the new model. Then it elaborates the model's elements, including the inputs (resources and philosophy), purpose (intentions and audiences), methods (technological genre and educational process); integration (pedagogy); outputs (product and interpretation); and assessment (institutional and research).

### **BACKGROUND ON PREHENSIVE MODELS**

While distance education has expanded rapidly over the past few decades, academic study is just beginning to address this phenomenon. To organize research, Shih et al. suggest a starting point based on recent history (2003). Watkins and Schlosser examine the educational foundation of such research, defining guidelines for the alternative research approaches (2003). Lihua and Smaldino use instructional design elements as a means of organizing research in distance processes (2003). Toward a comprehensive model, Willis and Locke outline a pragmatic design model (2004). However, these approaches lack

*Figure 1. Basic systems model*



a comprehensive means of integrating the elements of distance education. Although Chien et al. present a “model-based system” for distance education, their model serves as a template for course development rather than a comprehensive system.

The educational process model integrates theoretical, research and practice in distance education by creating a new model that begins with a basic systems model (Figure 1).


With distance education as a human communication process, the new model builds on the rhetorical process model, shown in Figure 2.

The rhetorical process model divides the systems model horizontally into subjective and objective domains (Beck, 1999). It also elaborates the process into purpose (intentions and audience) and method (genre and process). These elaborations convert a mechanical basic system into a purposive human process.

### **EDUCATIONAL PROCESS MODEL**

Building on the rhetorical process, the educational process model consists of inputs, an integrative process, outputs and feedback. The objective process includes resources, method and experiences; the subjective process involves philosophy, purpose and outcomes. The integration elements of purpose and method further divide: objectives and audiences; and instructional technology and methodology. These four integration elements

*Figure 2. Rhetorical process model*



8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/systems-model-educational-processes/27427](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/systems-model-educational-processes/27427)

## Related Content

---

### Technology Supported Assessment in Distance Education: Promises, Pitfalls and Prospects

Pradeep Kumar Misra (2012). *Meta-Communication for Reflective Online Conversations: Models for Distance Education* (pp. 233-248).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-supported-assessment-distance-education/58540](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-supported-assessment-distance-education/58540)

### Automatic Organization and Generation of Presentation Slides for E-Learning

K. Sathiyamurthy and T. V. Geetha (2012). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 35-52).

[www.irma-international.org/article/automatic-organization-generation-presentation-slides/68014](http://www.irma-international.org/article/automatic-organization-generation-presentation-slides/68014)

### Enabling Enhanced Learning in an Open University Environment: A Grid-Aware Architecture

Bill Vassiliadis, Michalis Xenos and Antonia Stefani (2009). *International Journal of Information and Communication Technology Education* (pp. 59-73).

[www.irma-international.org/article/enabling-enhanced-learning-open-university/3985](http://www.irma-international.org/article/enabling-enhanced-learning-open-university/3985)

### A New Diagnostic Mechanism of Instruction: A Dynamic, Real-Time and Non-Interference Quantitative Measurement Technique for Adaptive E-Learning

Pi-Shan Hsu, Te-Jeng Chang and Ming-Hsiung Wu (2009). *International Journal of Distance Education Technologies* (pp. 85-91).

[www.irma-international.org/article/new-diagnostic-mechanism-instruction/3921](http://www.irma-international.org/article/new-diagnostic-mechanism-instruction/3921)

### Quality Function Deployment in Training Design

Arthur B. Jeffery and Mary F. Bratton-Jeffery (2009). *Encyclopedia of Distance Learning, Second Edition* (pp. 1714-1722).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/quality-function-deployment-training-design/11978](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/quality-function-deployment-training-design/11978)