Chapter 94 Continuous Improvement Maturity Models: How to View Them Effectively

Brian J. Galli

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9392-244X

Assistant Professor and Graduate Program Director, Master of Science in Engineering Management Industrial Engineering, Hofstra University, USA

ABSTRACT

Maturity models seek to enhance a business with the passage of time. The purpose is to attain a competitive advantage. Various maturity models are accessible, including the continuous improvement maturity model (CIMM). The model offers outstanding techniques and practice models in addition to tools, skill sets, and a proper mindset to process improvement. This article analyzes the need for Continuous Improvement Maturity Models in a company. Hence, the current maturity of a company cannot be indicated by this model. There is a need for progress and knowledge to combine and improve the company's level of maturity. The model assessment refers to an instrument that is research-based and assists the users to set a goal assessment of the maturity level. The purpose of designing this model is for it to be utilized by any manufacturing company. A series of repetitive phases are required by this instrument, and its moderations and validation are based on various case-studies and semi-structured interviews conducted with experts.

INTRODUCTION

For the past decade, there has been growing pressure for companies to attain and maintain a competitive advantage. To do so requires reducing time to the market, cutting cost, improving quality, and more. Therefore, companies ought to enhance processes on a continuous basis (Agrawal & Sharma, 2014; De Bruin et al., 2005). Organizations must make various changes in their programs and software to enhance their capacity to work and deal with increasing expectations and requirements of stakeholders and the market (Lepmets et al., 2012).

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-7297-9.ch094

Development models are ordinarily acknowledged to cultivate a competitive advantage by both businesses and scholars. They delineate the present development level of a part of the association with the goal that partners can distinguish qualities, change focuses, and organize what they can do to achieve higher development learning and demonstration. For instance, in IT, outsourcing or project management are two areas. The partners will be empowered when the results authenticate the action required to accomplish increased amounts and results in a superior business and spending improvement.

The demand for maturity models is increasing among companies since they support the achievement of company goals. An important fact that needs to be considered is that there is no actual description or definition of the maturity model. Additionally, there is no shortage of the model (Bitici et al., 2015). Regardless, it is noted that these models are utilized for comparison and evaluation so that further improvements can be made (Fisher, 2004). Another and more apparent purpose are to provide a clear approach through which a company can improve its performance (Galli, 2017; Ahern et al., 2004).

The Continuous Improvement Maturity Model (CIMM) provides a proper framework and wide range of practice methods and strategies for companies to achieve various maturity levels. These levels demonstrate onsets and mature organizations (Lockamy III & McCormack, 2004). The Capability Maturity Model (CMM) was developed by the Institute of Software Engineering at Carnegie Mellon University (Agrawal & Sharma, 2014; Paulk et al., 1993). Various development models are created to explore fields like business process administration, data innovation, execution management, learning administration, and undertaking administration.

BACKGROUND

McDermid and Bennet (1999) contended that the human variables to Software Process Improvement (SPI) had been overlooked, which harms their adequacy. Hall & Wilson (1997), likewise, proposed that involvements, feelings, and impressions of programming experts are affected because of the nature of programming created. This suggests such properties impact how programming experts carry on towards SPI execution approaches. In this manner, it is vital to distinguish the perspectives and views of diverse professionals about components that play a positive or negative part in the usage of the SPI program (Galli, 2017). These perspectives and encounters may furnish specialists with adequate information about the idea of issues that play a positive or negative role in the usage of SPI programs. Undoubtedly, this would help them in arranging SPI execution techniques.

Various empirical studies considered the aspects that negatively or positively impact SPI (for example, El-Emam et al., 1999; Rainer & Hall, 2003). A study of 138 people in 56 programming associations (Goldenson & Herbsleb, 1995) recognized the components vital for executing an effective SPI program. The creators distinguished related variables to effective as well as unsuccessful SPI programs (Goldenson & Herbsleb, 1995). Research of 56 programming associations that either executed an ISO 9000 quality framework or led a CMM-based process change activity. Based on this research, there were ten factors that influence hierarchical change in SPI (Stelzer & Mellis, 1998).

El-Emam et al. (1999) researched a portion of the essential achievement variables and obstructions for SPI. This examination is a subsequent report to Goldenson & Herbsleb (1995). They utilized information from 14 organizations engaged in SPICE trials, bearing in mind the end goal of distinguishing which components are most emphatically identified with achieving SPI endeavors and which have no effect. A questionnaire survey was conducted containing participants from 85 UK organizations (Rainer & Hall,

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/continuous-improvement-maturity-models/270382

Related Content

Exploring the Inherent Growth of e-Tailing via e-Personalization and Technological Innovations Alan D. Smith (2017). *International Journal of Innovation in the Digital Economy (pp. 19-46).*

www.irma-international.org/article/exploring-the-inherent-growth-of-e-tailing-via-e-personalization-and-technological-innovations/165402

A Study on Privacy and Security Aspects of Facebook

Syed Hassan Faraz, Syed Hassan Tanvirand Saqib Saeed (2012). *International Journal of Technology Diffusion (pp. 48-55).*

www.irma-international.org/article/study-privacy-security-aspects-facebook/84162

Disruptive Technology, Value Proposition, and Business Model Change Management in a Multi-Faceted SME: Towards an Analytical Framework

Phillip J. Turner (2022). Handbook of Research on Digital Transformation, Industry Use Cases, and the Impact of Disruptive Technologies (pp. 291-319).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/disruptive-technology-value-proposition-and-business-model-change-management-in-a-multi-faceted-sme/288654

A Suggested Curriculum in Career Education to Develop Business Secondary Schools Students' Career Knowledge Management Domains and Professional Thinking

Faten Abdelmagied Elsoudy Fouda (2016). *International Journal of Technology Diffusion (pp. 42-62).*https://www.irma-international.org/article/a-suggested-curriculum-in-career-education-to-develop-business-secondary-schools-students-career-knowledge-management-domains-and-professional-thinking/159127

Self-Driving Robotic Cars: Cyber Security Developments

Jelena L. Pisarovand Gyula Mester (2022). Research Anthology on Cross-Disciplinary Designs and Applications of Automation (pp. 969-1001).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/self-driving-robotic-cars/291676