Chapter 77 Transforming Public Procurement Contracts Into Smart Contracts

Pauline Debono

Malta Information Technology Agency, Malta

ABSTRACT

The terms governing the provision of supplies, services, or works by an economic operator to a governmental entity are set into a public contract that is signed, following a procurement process. This article explores whether the public administration can utilise smart contracts to incorporate the terms governing the provision of supplies, services, or works. The fundamental elements of a contract are assessed, in order to determine whether a smart contract can be considered as fulfilling these requirements. Following this assessment, the main hurdles to the use of smart contracting are examined and a possible solution proposed. The case for utilising smart contracting within the realm of public procurement is finally advocated.

INTRODUCTION

Over the past months, the debate on smart contracts has gathered momentum, as attention on the use of smart contracts has increased globally. The definition of smart contracts which is most often considered is that Nick Szabo (1996) has created: "A set of promises, including protocols within which the parties perform on the other promises. The protocols are usually implemented with programs on a computer network, or in other forms of digital electronics, thus these contracts are "smarter" than their paper-based ancestors. No use of artificial intelligence is implied." Norton Rose Fulbright (NRF) and R3 (2016b) list the characteristics of smart contracts as follows:

- **Digital form:** Code, data and running programs;
- **Embedded:** Contractual provisions are embedded as computer code in software;
- **Performance:** Mediated by technological means;

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-5351-0.ch077

Transforming Public Procurement Contracts Into Smart Contracts

• **Irrevocable:** Once initiated, the outcomes for which a smart contract is encoded to perform cannot typically be stopped (unless an outcome depends on an unmet condition).

As with other technological innovations, the pace of the legal debate on the nature of smart contracts is relatively slower, compared to the more popular assertions on the economic benefits of smart contracts. As enthusiasts project smart contracts as the ultimate solution to the bureaucratic web which has been created by a state's administration, the legal profession threads carefully, in view of the inevitable impact that regulatory or judicial intervention can have on the development path of innovation (Adair, 2017; Werbach & Cornell, 2017).

The enthusiasts' proclamation that smart contracts will do away with both regulators and lawyers, together with the legal structures that support all human relationships, is very difficult to sustain. The capture of the legal system will be inevitable, as the operation of smart contracts requires either clarification, due to misunderstandings, or the resolution of disputes in human relationships. Although smart contracts are the currently most advanced stage of electronic contracting, the action underlying the smart contract is incepted by a human, the code is also written by a human, and humans are susceptible to misunderstandings and mistakes¹. Ultimately, it is human to err².

Considering that smart contracts will not be immune to government and court intervention, the counter proposal can be worth investigating – what if the government itself makes use of smart contracts in its administration of the state? Contracting by governments is a complex and sensitive subject in view of the fact that the majority of elected representatives have the power to utilise public money for state administration. Mishandling of public money is often the outcry of corrupt practices. Moreover, it is a well-known fact that public procurement is a key component of the global economy. The Public Procurement Directive 2014/24/EU (European Parliament and Council, 2014a), regulating public procurement within the European Union (EU), was intensely negotiated by Member States representatives in view of its inevitable impact on the recovery of the states from the late 2008 economic crisis.

For these reasons, public procurement is often put under the magnifying lens. A myriad of regulations and processes try to ensure that government achieves the best value for money, whilst at the same time applying fair competition and transparency between the participants in the process. The process for the selection of the economic operator until the final award of the public contract is governed by financial administration rules. The subsequent signature and execution of the public contract is regulated by the national contract law. Whereas it is not foreseen that smart contracts will impact the public procurement process leading to the selection of the economic operator, it is the objective of this article to consider whether public contracts, in their whole or part, can be transformed into smart contracts. Can the cost savings and efficiency gains which are often attributed to smart contracts be utilised to the advantage of both government, suppliers and ultimately the citizen within the context of public procurement?

A recently published report by IBM (2017) considers the counter façade of government's involvement in distributed ledger technology (DLT). The report hits the nail on the very issue which the author identified above and which is at the heart of public procurement – transparency. In the words of the Institute: "To build trust, most government organizations strive to be as open, transparent and collaborative as possible. Too often, they fall short of their own ambitions. Blockchain, the technology underlying distributed ledgers, offers a new approach to transparency and collaboration" (p.2).

Various governments already adopt some form of electronic contracting and cryptography. Although the Maltese government does not utilise electronic contracting yet to process its public contracts, it has adopted an electronic identity card which is based on a system of public and private keys. Cryptography is 13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/transforming-public-procurement-contracts-intosmart-contracts/268667

Related Content

Bregman Hyperplane Trees for Fast Approximate Nearest Neighbor Search

Bilegsaikhan Naidanand Magnus Lie Hetland (2012). *International Journal of Multimedia Data Engineering and Management (pp. 75-87).*

www.irma-international.org/article/bregman-hyperplane-trees-fast-approximate/75457

Unraveling the Complexity of Thyroid Cancer Prediction: A Comparative Examination of Imputation Methods and ML Algorithms

Hemlata Joshi, A. Vijayalakshmiand Sneha Maria George (2024). *Intersection of AI and Business Intelligence in Data-Driven Decision-Making (pp. 367-388).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/unraveling-the-complexity-of-thyroid-cancer-prediction/355861

An Umbrella Review of the Literature on Blockchain and Distributed Ledger Technology and Their Roles in Future Banking

Ankitaand Sonal Trivedi (2023). *Perspectives on Blockchain Technology and Responsible Investing (pp. 29-57).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/an-umbrella-review-of-the-literature-on-blockchain-and-distributed-ledger-technologyand-their-roles-in-future-banking/323020

Information Sharing for Manufacturing Supply Chain Management Based on Blockchain Technology

Kamalendu Pal (2020). Cross-Industry Use of Blockchain Technology and Opportunities for the Future (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/information-sharing-for-manufacturing-supply-chain-management-based-onblockchain-technology/254816

Detection of Bot Accounts on Social Media Considering Its Imbalanced Nature

Isha Y. Agarwal, Dipti P. Rana, Devanshi Bhatia, Jay Rathod, Kaneesha J. Gandhiand Harshit Sodagar (2021). *Data Preprocessing, Active Learning, and Cost Perceptive Approaches for Resolving Data Imbalance (pp. 162-176).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/detection-of-bot-accounts-on-social-media-considering-its-imbalanced-nature/280916