Chapter 7 Analysis of Vulnerabilities in IoT and Its Solutions

Puspanjali Mallik

b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3896-3457 Shailabala Women's Autonomous College, India

ABSTRACT

The internet of things (IoT) fulfils abundant demands of present society by facilitating the services of cutting-edge technology in terms of smart home, smart healthcare, smart city, smart vehicles, and many more, which enables present day objects in our environment to have network communication and the capability to exchange data. These wide range of applications are collected, computed, and provided by thousands of IoT elements placed in open spaces. The highly interconnected heterogeneous structure faces new types of challenges from a security and privacy concern. Previously, security platforms were not so capable of handling these complex platforms due to different communication stacks and protocols. It seems to be of the utmost importance to keep concern about security issues relating to several attacks and vulnerabilities. The main motive of this chapter is to analyze the broad overview of security vulnerabilities and its counteractions. Generally, it discusses the major security techniques and protocols adopted by the IoT and analyzes the attacks against IoT devices.

INTRODUCTION

Everyday information security brings new challenges because of it's wide availability in each field starting from personal to commercial lives. Data must be protected from interception, theft and attack caused by unauthorized persons or hackers (F.Meneghello et.al, 2019). Network security is one part of information security

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-9493-2.ch007

which may be challenged by Denial-of-Service attack and Cloning attack . Several countermeasures have been introduced to reduce the vulnerability risk and to strengthen the network security (M.Irshad et.al, 2016) Among the counter measures the best form is prevention action that includes monitoring control to detect threats. This network security expanding in dimension becomes complex every day as per as the varying mode of uses and applications. Traditionally, only the edge devices (J.W.Jones et.al, 2018) were included in the formation of network but towards the last part of twentieth century all devices within a proposed area became enable to communicate with each other. Fig 1. shows the types of devices integrated within a common area satisfying the communication criteria to form the IoT.

This newly formed network linked to Internet by following cloud technology



Figure 1. IoT Devices

and facilitates the automation of applications such as smart agriculture, smart healthcare, smart home, smart city, smart car, and smart transportation system etc. By the year 1999, this idea was first introduced by Kevin Asthon, founder of one automated organization at MIT to describe a system where the Internet is connected to the physical world via ubiquitous sensors. He successfully implemented the data connectivity and after this communication started between any two devices where as previously it was only limited between any two routing devices. Fig.2 includes the list of number of connected devices used in IoT . According to this, in the year 2015, there were only 3.8 billion IoT devices were connected, the number will reach to 9.9 billion in this year 2020 and the number is expected to reach by 21.5 billion by the year 2025.

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/analysis-of-vulnerabilities-in-iot-and-its-</u> solutions/262551

Related Content

Taxonomy of Computer Network Congestion Control/Avoidance Methods

Mirza Waseem Hussain, Sanjay Jamwal, Tabasum Mirzaand Malik Mubasher Hassan (2021). *Managing Resources for Futuristic Wireless Networks (pp. 178-212).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/taxonomy-of-computer-network-congestioncontrolavoidance-methods/262552

Impact of Frame Duration and Modulation Coding Schemes With WiMAX Bandwidth Asymmetry in Transmission Control Protocol Variants

Kailash Chandra Bandhuand Ashok Bhansali (2019). *International Journal of Wireless Networks and Broadband Technologies (pp. 35-45).* www.irma-international.org/article/impact-of-frame-duration-and-modulation-coding-schemeswith-wimax-bandwidth-asymmetry-in-transmission-control-protocol-variants/237190

GAIA Bus: Cloud Computing Services for Agro-Food Chain

Georgios Kormentzas (2015). International Journal of Wireless Networks and Broadband Technologies (pp. 16-28). www.irma-international.org/article/gaia-bus/125816

Link Failure Avoidance Mechanism (LFAM) and Route Availability Check Mechanism (RACM): For Secure and Efficient AODV Routing Protocol

Meeta Singhand Sudeep Kumar (2018). *International Journal of Wireless Networks* and Broadband Technologies (pp. 1-14).

www.irma-international.org/article/link-failure-avoidance-mechanism-lfam-and-route-availabilitycheck-mechanism-racm/209431

Node Localization: Issues, Challenges and Future Perspectives in Wireless Sensor Networks (WSNs)

Noor Zaman, Azween Abdullahand Muneer Ahmed (2012). *Wireless Sensor Networks and Energy Efficiency: Protocols, Routing and Management (pp. 223-235).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/node-localization-issues-challenges-future/62737