Chapter 10 Socio-Scientific Issues and Model-Based Learning

Benzegül Durak https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1162-4658 Duzce University, Turkey

Mustafa Sami Topçu https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5068-8796 Yildiz Technical University, Turkey

ABSTRACT

This chapter aims to provide a literature analysis on socio-scientific issues and model-based learning. The position of socio-scientific issues in the process of raising science literate students is indisputable. On the other hand, modeling gives students opportunities to construct their own models and use them through the learning process to formulate hypothesis, make investigations, explain scientific phenomena, and communicate and justify their ideas. Therefore, embedding modeling practice to SSI-based instruction through a framework is an innovative tool for scientific literacy in science education.

SCIENTIFIC MODELS AND MODELING

For over fifty years, modeling—and how students learn with models—has been researched in terms of philosophy of science, epistemology, and classroom practices (Harrison & Treagust, 1998; 2000). The concept of a model can be defined in many ways, but for science education, it is best explained by evaluating it in terms of various categories. A model can take one or more physical forms when published,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-4558-4.ch010

even though it always exists differently in the mind of its inventor or any subsequent user. These forms can be represented in a variety of media, as stated by Gilbert and Justi (2016):

...for example, in the form of a gesture (e.g., of the relative position of objects), in a material form (e.g., a ball-and-stick representation of a crystal structure), in a visual form (e.g., as a diagram of a metabolic pathway), in a verbal form (e.g., an analogy for the structure of an atom based on that of the solar system), in a symbolic form (e.g., as a chemical equation), and in a virtual form (e.g., as a computer simulation). The range of entities that can be represented is wide: objects (e.g., of a virus), systems (e.g., of a blood circulation system), processes (e.g., of the liberation of energy from foodstuffs), events (e.g., of the attack of a white blood cell on a virus), ideas (e.g., of a vector of a force), and arrays of data about any of these entities (p. viii).

Specifically, scientific models may be a representation of a real object/process (like a model of the human skeletal system) or an abstract object/process (like an atom model) (Gilbert, 2004; Gobert & Buckley, 2000; Harrison & Treagust, 1998; Treagust, Chittleborough, & Mamiala, 2002). Therefore, scientific models can be used for different purposes in science lessons, such as to represent concrete or abstract objects/processes, to provide definitions or simplifications of complex scientific events, and to form the basis of both scientific explanations and predictions of phenomena (Gilbert, 2004; Gobert & Buckley, 2000; Harrison & Treagust, 2000).

Modeling, on the other hand, refers to a fundamental scientific practice that enables students to conceptualize, investigate, and explain natural phenomena, as well as convince others about their results (Gilbert, 2004; Harrison & Treagust, 2000). Hence, modeling is a process in which students create representations of their learning (Clement, 2000).

MODEL-BASED LEARNING

Model-based learning (MbL) is a learning and teaching approach in science education that supports learning via students' models (Louca & Zacharia, 2012). There are many studies about model-based learning from different perspectives (Louca & Zacharia, 2012). However, the authors take a constructivist perspective; they believe that learning requires individuals' creation of their own schema to assimilate new concepts (Yager, 1991). Thus, MbL approaches from constructivist learning theory are mentioned in the present chapter. The constructivist perspective argues that learning occurs through the interaction between experiences and ideas (Savin-Baden & Major, 2013). These can be externalized by the construction and transferring of models.

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-</u> <u>global.com/chapter/socio-scientific-issues-and-model-based-</u> learning/261682

Related Content

Application of Multiple Criteria Decision Analysis and Optimisation Methods in Evaluation of Quality of Learning Objects

Eugenijus Kurilovas, Irina Vinogradovaand Silvija Serikoviene (2011). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 62-76).* www.irma-international.org/article/application-multiple-criteria-decision-analysis/58663

Paradigm and Architecture of Computing Augmented Learning Management System for Computer Science Education

Ebenezer Anohah (2017). International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 60-70).

www.irma-international.org/article/paradigm-and-architecture-of-computing-augmented-learningmanagement-system-for-computer-science-education/176614

Prospect and Challenges of Mathematics Education in the Modern Globalized Curriculum

Faith Chidinma Nworahand Oragade Christy Dolapo (2019). *Globalized Curriculum Methods for Modern Mathematics Education (pp. 93-106).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/prospect-and-challenges-of-mathematics-education-in-themodern-globalized-curriculum/208773

The Interpretive Imagination Forum: A Hermeneutic Tagging Technique and Instructional Tool for Conducting Collaborative Video Research across the Social Sciences and Humanities

Karyn Cooper, Rebecca Hughesand Aliyah Shamji (2016). *International Journal of Online Pedagogy and Course Design (pp. 65-76).* www.irma-international.org/article/the-interpretive-imagination-forum/147746

Technology in the Technology Classroom

Lawrence A. Tomei (2003). *Challenges of Teaching with Technology Across the Curriculum: Issues and Solutions (pp. 240-271).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/technology-technology-classroom/6560