

# Chapter 7

## Risk and Uncertainty on Technology and Science Under Bayes and Popper's Statements View

**Vicente Gonzalez-Prida**

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5257-8224>

*University of Seville, Spain & Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain*

**Jesus Zamora Bonilla**

*Universidad Nacional de Educación a Distancia, Spain*

**Christopher Nikulin Chandia**

*University Federico Santa María, Chile*

**Antonio Guillén**

*University of Seville, Spain*

### ABSTRACT

*The overall perspective of this study is related to the concept of risk and uncertainty in the world today. In this sense, it considers Popper's contributions together with the deductive method, contrasted Bayes' contributions with the inductive method. On one hand, induction allows to generate results considered probabilistically true. This is basically the method used by supervised predictive methods of machine learning, where a general rule is inferred from particular examples in which solutions are known, inducing consequently to possible results for new inputs. On the other hand, deduction is a process in which general hypotheses are proposed, and from them, particular statements are obtained. These particular statements can obviously generate the rejection of those initial hypotheses. Under these considerations, Bayes' and Popper's postulates should not be understood as opposed methods. With this, the specific objectives of this chapter states on an overview about technology and its relationship with science, being analyzed from the Popperian and Bayesian perspective.*

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-3246-1.ch007

## **INTRODUCTION**

The scientific method applies a set of methodological rules. These rules or principles can be summarized as the fact of proposing assumptions in order to test them by experience. The logic allows deducing from the hypothesis a series of results or predictions that shall be confronted with facts or evidences (Rojas et al., 2014). That means, in the scientific method, hypotheses are proposed as plausible solutions to a problem, by confronting the results deduced from the hypothesis with the true experience, the hypothesis may be rejected or not by the facts (Banegas et al., 2000). In this line, the proposed theory will remain true if it resists the attempt to reject it. The concept of contrasting raised by Popper lays therefore in the criticism or attempts of falsification, which is in other words, the review of errors within a theory, in order to reject it in case of being false. Those theories that pass the process of falsification, can be regarded as true (Renovell, 2015).

In few words, from general statements to particular facts, refers to a scientific method that uses deductive arguments (Rosales, 2002). On the contrary, induction goes in the other direction, from particular facts to general statements. That refers when particular data are used to state hypotheses, reaching a series of overall conclusions. Hence, induction is applied to evaluate if a deductive hypothesis is false or may be true. To be false can be demonstrated with just one case. On the other hand, the complete verification of general hypotheses or theories would require to explore all the possible situations.

In order to consider if a theory is maintained as true, causality criteria appear as rules of the method designed to eliminate or reduce the error (random biases) to contrast a specific hypothesis (Popper, 1959). Causal inference, which is the step from particular evidence to a general theory, can be considered therefore as a process of decision-making based on the hypothesis assessment performed by logic rules (causality criteria).

With all these aspects taken into account, the objective of this chapter relies in the logical sequence of considerations addressed towards the description of those aspects provided by Popperian and Bayesian statements, in order to introduce the link between risk and technology and its connection to deductive or inductive analyses, combining when possible philosophical aspects with others much more practical. It is important to reiterate that this chapter provides a conceptual view on the topic related to risk and technology, and does not lead to tools with immediate applications in an industrial environment. In other words, this document discusses different theories associated with diagnostic or prognostic techniques and the basis of the concepts that they convey. In fact, theorists will refresh throughout the following sections already known concepts which are connected to the concerns of many technology end-users.

## **BACKGROUND**

### **On Deductive and Inductive Scientific Methods**

In accordance to inductive thought, natural science is characterized by a method that starts from long series of observations and experiments, in order to set general behaviors or theories (Popper, 1972). That means inductive method can provide a criterion of demarcation between science and speculation, establishing or verifying those theories as almost sure or very likely to be sure. In other words, induction is a method of trial and error from a hypothesis raised by scientists in order to explain a problem to resolve (Howson & Urbach, 1991), (Popper, 1972). If evidences obtained are in favor of the hypothesis,

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:  
[www.igi-global.com/chapter/risk-and-uncertainty-on-technology-and-science-under-bayes-and-poppers-statements-view/261316](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/risk-and-uncertainty-on-technology-and-science-under-bayes-and-poppers-statements-view/261316)

## Related Content

---

### Cybersecurity Risk: Assessment and Management

Natasha Cecilia Edehand Ibiene Idu (2022). *Global Risk and Contingency Management Research in Times of Crisis* (pp. 173-192).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/cybersecurity-risk/306571](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/cybersecurity-risk/306571)

### Challenges in Valuation by Using Discounted Free Cash Flow Method

Orhan Gokerand Sinem Derindere Köseolu (2020). *Valuation Challenges and Solutions in Contemporary Businesses* (pp. 60-78).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/challenges-in-valuation-by-using-discounted-free-cash-flow-method/238191](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/challenges-in-valuation-by-using-discounted-free-cash-flow-method/238191)

### Risk Management Future Directions

(2018). *Novel Six Sigma Approaches to Risk Assessment and Management* (pp. 233-238).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/risk-management-future-directions/185966](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/risk-management-future-directions/185966)

### Socio-Political Risk-Contingency-Management Framework for Practitioners and Researchers

Kenneth David Strang (2018). *Research, Practices, and Innovations in Global Risk and Contingency Management* (pp. 116-133).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/socio-political-risk-contingency-management-framework-for-practitioners-and-researchers/196069](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/socio-political-risk-contingency-management-framework-for-practitioners-and-researchers/196069)

### Strategic Management of the COVID-19 Crisis in Rural Tourism Settings: Lessons Learned From SMEs

Alfonso Vargas-Sánchez (2021). *Risk, Crisis, and Disaster Management in Small and Medium-Sized Tourism Enterprises* (pp. 1-26).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategic-management-of-the-covid-19-crisis-in-rural-tourism-settings/280888](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategic-management-of-the-covid-19-crisis-in-rural-tourism-settings/280888)