



Chapter II

Organizational Models for Faculty Support: The Response of Canadian Universities

Margaret Haughey, University of Alberta, Canada

Abstract

This chapter delineates changing organizational responses to the provision of faculty support for teaching and learning in six large Canadian universities since 1997. Various models from centralized to decentralized and from integrated to parallel units are described and their advantages and disadvantages identified. From the analysis, several recommendations pertinent to senior administrators involved in the enhancement of teaching and learning through the integration of digital technologies are provided. In particular, issues concerning the goals and culture of the institution, the integration of pedagogical and technological approaches, as well as involvement of faculty and the role of policy are reviewed.

Organizational Models for Faculty Support: The Response of Canadian Universities

As large Canadian universities moved to deal with the emergence of e-learning and to encourage the integration of digital technologies in teaching and learning, what structures did they put in place to provide support? What was the focus of these organizational units? What was the rationale for their placement? How were they positioned within the institution? How did they link with other units? How have these units changed over time? These questions are the focus of this chapter.

In investigating these questions I have used a time series model, comparing faculty support structures in place in six large universities across Canada in 1997 and then in 2005. From this exploration comes guiding questions for any institution involved in developing faculty support for the use of digital technologies in teaching and learning.

Models for Teaching, Learning, and Technology Support, 2005

Traditionally, universities have had a large service architecture targeted at the provision of support for teaching. These services range from scheduling and timetabling for the allocation of rooms and bookstores principally for the sale of textbooks, to technical services for the maintenance of appropriate instructional equipment and janitorial services for the servicing of classrooms. There was often a media unit where actual production of instructional materials could occur. Depending on the services provided by the institution, there might be a separate unit for the provision of distance education, and most institutions had a small office whose mandate was the enhancement of instructional services (Cuneo et al., 1997). The large-scale introduction of computing technologies in the mid 1990s, coupled with the economic downturn that brought increasing pressure on university budgets, transformed this situation.

Bates (1995) encapsulated these pressures in his exploration of the future of learning, noting government pressure on universities and colleges for greater efficiencies, requiring them to increase enrollments while also reducing funding; government use of earmarked funds for targeted innovations; increases in

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/organizational-models-faculty-support/25611

Related Content

Inspiring Personal and Social Transformation through Avatar Role Play in an Online Immersive Virtual Environment

Kay Kyeongju Seo and Dana A. Tindall (2011). *Handbook of Research on Transformative Online Education and Liberation: Models for Social Equality* (pp. 384-394).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/inspiring-personal-social-transformation-through/48882

Applying Semantic Web in Competence Management

Mikko Maukkanen and Heikki Helin (2007). *Competencies in Organizational E-Learning: Concepts and Tools* (pp. 333-257).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/applying-semantic-web-competence-management/6761

Collaborative Geographic Information Systems: Origins, Boundaries, and Structures

Shivanand Balram and Suzana Dragicevic (2008). *E-Learning Methodologies and Computer Applications in Archaeology* (pp. 325-341).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaborative-geographic-information-systems/9131

Multi-Cultural E-Learning Teamwork: Social and Cultural Characteristics and Influence

Datta Kaur Khalsa (2007). *Globalized E-Learning Cultural Challenges* (pp. 307-326).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/multi-cultural-learning-teamwork/19308

Chinese Postgraduate Students Learning Online in New Zealand: Perceptions of Cultural Impact

Yan Cong and Kerry Earl (2013). *Cases on Cultural Implications and Considerations in Online Learning* (pp. 48-70).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/chinese-postgraduate-students-learning-online/68058