Chapter 6.29 Linking Small Business Networks with Innovation

Patrice Braun

University of Ballarat, Australia

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Today, with an economy enabled and driven by connectivity, a fundamental shift in business models is occurring whereby information, knowledge, and relationships underpin competitive advantage. In order to compete in what some refer to as the networked economy, companies across the globe must use technology-mediated channels, create internal and external value, formulate technology convergent strategies, and organize resources around knowledge and relationships (Scott & Storper, 2003).

The rise of information and communication technologies (ICT) and electronic information networks has led firms of all sizes to implement more technology driven solutions for improved productivity and information flow. Malhotra (2000) identified three general information management (IM) developments that have revolution-

ized company information processes over the last 40 years. The first phase, the automation phase, increased company efficiency of operations. The second phase, the rationalization phase, streamlined those procedures by eliminating bottlenecks made apparent by the automation. The third phase, the business reengineering phase, radically redesigned information and knowledge management processes through technology-intensive implementation of procedures in workflows and work processes (Malhotra, 2000). Now we have reached a fourth phase, the knowledge creation and knowledge transfer phase, that, if possible, is even more closely associated with technology than business process reengineering.

With embedded knowledge flows and innovation linked to communities of practice as well as through linkages using technology, companies of all sizes have the potential to both collaborate and compete by taking advantage of connectivity and new relationships founded on the exchange

and sharing of embedded knowledge. This article discusses how knowledge sharing environments such as communities of practice and virtual business communities can be important determinants of commercial viability and business success for small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs), provided that both the (virtual) environment and inter-firm relationships are conducive to information sharing and knowledge flows.

COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE

Once the domain of special business units and cross-functional teams to perpetuate ideas and embed core competencies, a new form of collective community building has emerged through a spontaneous new knowledge exchange trend known as communities of practice, or CoPs. Burk (2000) simply calls CoPs expansions of oneon-one knowledge sharing. Theorists, Wenger, Snyder, and William (2000) describe them as informal groups of people who regularly share their expertise and experiences; are not formulated or controlled by management; set their own leadership; and follow their own agenda. Lave and Wenger (1991) were among the first to introduce CoPs as context-bound groups of workers who share knowledge around a particular practice.

In many ways, communities of practice are the Western adoption of the holistic Japanese approach outlined by Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) in acknowledging the importance of tacit company knowledge and transforming it into explicit company assets. However, one of the central benefits of these self-constituting communities is that they sidestep the "ossifying tendencies of large companies and develop rich, fluid non-canonical worldviews to bridge the gap between their organisation's static canonical view and the challenge of changing practice" (Brown & Duguid, 1991, p. 50). This spontaneous thinktank mode of team building through face to face

meetings, e-mail, knowledge sharing networks, intranets, and technology-mediated conferencing is an inherently innovative process and is proving to be a crucial aspect of organizational learning and innovation.

Initially, most communities of practice were internal company networking groups to foster shared learning and practices to encourage team-based incentives that directly influenced company profits (McDermott, 2004). Showing great promise in driving organizational learning and innovation, this form of knowledge creation is being adopted in both the public and private sectors, as it is considered the key to survival in the knowledge economy. Communities of practice have also flourished with members from different companies, as exemplified by the chief executive officers (CEOs) of different US companies who make up the Business Roundtable (Wenger et al., 2000).

The application of CoPs to an inter-firm context is in line with the new business models that are favored in the networked economy, in which connectivity, relationships, and knowledge sharing are key assets for competitive advantage (Soekijad, Huis in 't Veld & Enserink, 2004). Within the networked environment, the relationship between connectivity and companies should be seen as reciprocal, whereby ICT and related capabilities - such as virtual community environments like chat rooms and e-mail forums used for product development, product review, and other business information exchange—have a significant impact on how inter-organizational relationships are developed. Examples of new economy inter-firm knowledge sharing may be found in Internetbased companies such as Amazon and e-Toys, which have successfully redefined the value of knowledge assets by fostering information flows between organizations and industries in virtual community environments (Malhotra, 2000). It should be noted that, contrary to the latter example, there are many different forms of sociocultural

6 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/linking-small-business-networks-innovation/25304

Related Content

When Emotions Rule Knowledge: A Text-Mining Study of Emotions in Knowledge Management Research

Nora Fteimi, Olivia Hornungand Stefan Smolnik (2021). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-16).*

www.irma-international.org/article/when-emotions-rule-knowledge/281617

Context-Aware Creativity Support for Corporate Open Innovation

Marc René Frieß, Georg Groh, Michael Reinhardt, Florian Forsterand Johann Schlichter (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (pp. 38-55).*

www.irma-international.org/article/context-aware-creativity-support-corporate/61427

Sharing Knowledge When it Cannot be Made Explicit: The Case of Product Lifecycle Management Systems

Pierre-Emmanuel Arduin, Julien Le Duigou, Marie-Hélène Abeland Benoît Eynard (2018). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (pp. 14-28)*.

www.irma-international.org/article/sharing-knowledge-when-it-cannot-be-made-explicit/212566

Literature Review

(2015). Information Acquisitions and Sharing through Inter-Organizational Collaboration: Impacts of Business Performance in China (pp. 76-139).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/literature-review/134158

Knowledge Management and the Leading Information Systems Journals: An Analysis of Trends and Gaps in Published Research

Todd Peachey, Dianne J. Halland Casey Cegielski (2005). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 55-69).

www.irma-international.org/article/knowledge-management-leading-information-systems/2668