

Chapter 6.4

Integrated Modeling

Thomas Hädrich

Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

Ronald Maier

Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

INTRODUCTION

Modeling is a key task in order to analyze, understand, and improve business processes and organizational structures, and to support the design, implementation, and management of information and communication technologies in general and knowledge management systems (KMSs) in particular. Process-oriented knowledge management (Maier, 2004; Maier & Remus, 2003) is a promising approach to provide the missing link between knowledge management (KM) and business strategy, and to bridge the gap between the human-oriented and technology-oriented views (e.g., Hansen, Nohria, & Tierney, 1999; Zack, 1999). However, existing modeling approaches for business processes, including their extensions for KM, still lack concepts to support knowledge work, which is often unstructured, creative, and learning and communication intensive. Recently,

the activity theory has been proposed to provide concepts to analyze knowledge work (e.g., Blackler, 1995), but it has not yet been integrated with business process modeling for designing KM initiatives and KMSs. The following sections analyze the characteristics of knowledge work, distinguish important perspectives for modeling in KM, and discuss extensions of process modeling approaches including activity modeling. Then, the process-oriented and the activity-oriented perspectives on knowledge work are compared and connected by means of the concept of knowledge stance.

BACKGROUND

Knowledge work can be characterized by a high degree of variety and exceptions, strong communication needs, weakly structured processes,

an increasing importance on teamwork in the form of project teams, networks, and communities, and it requires a high level of skill and expertise. Inputs and outputs of knowledge work are primarily data, information, or knowledge. Knowledge comprises observations that have been meaningfully organized and embedded in a context through experience, communication, or inference that an actor uses to interpret situations and to accomplish tasks (based on Maier, 2004). Knowledge work consists of a number of specific practices, for example, expressing or extracting experiences, monitoring, translating, and networking (Schulze, 2003).

From an ICT perspective, the main changes in the requirements posed by knowledge work occur due to the considerably higher complexity of data and the focus on organization-wide and interorganizational communication, cooperation, and mobility of knowledge workers. The storage, handling, and sharing of semistructured data require additional ICT systems, such as document, content, and knowledge management systems. Modeling has focused largely on data (entity relationship modeling), objects and classes (object-oriented modeling), and business processes (business process modeling). Knowledge work requires content-, user-, and communication-oriented modeling techniques that show what persons, communities, topics, tools, rules, and other activities and processes are involved, and thus demands concepts and modeling techniques that extend business process modeling to cover these aspects.

PERSPECTIVES FOR MODELING IN KM

Models are representations of a selected portion of the perceived reality of an individual or a group of observers. The design of KM initiatives requires modeling concepts for (a) processes that describe

the organizational design, that is, knowledge tasks, flows, roles, and resources, (b) persons by capturing facts about people, that is, their skills, communication, and cooperation in networks and communities, (c) products, that is, the type of knowledge, structures, taxonomies, ontologies, and metadata, and (d) productivity tools, that is, the architecture, functions, and interaction of ICT tools in support of KM (see Figure 1).

A large number of modeling approaches, methods, and techniques have been developed in the literature (e.g., Balzert, 2001). Each of these approaches predominantly focuses on one of the dimensions in Figure 1. For process-oriented KM, concepts are needed that combine process modeling with the other perspectives, especially with knowledge products and the knowledge-intensive tasks being part of knowledge work.

In recent years, many organizations have applied concepts of business process reengineering (e.g., Davenport, 1993; Hammer & Champy, 1993), and a number of methods and techniques to support business process modeling have been proposed in the literature. Approaches for process modeling distinguish between three levels of granularity that are interconnected: (a) Value chains (Porter, 1985) arrange value-adding activities, (b) business processes connect functions, and (c) work flows orchestrate tasks. As process modeling is a complex task that requires computer support in order to be an economically feasible approach, most methods are applied with the help of a corresponding tool. Examples are ADONIS (Junginger, Kühn, Strobl, & Karagiannis, 2000), ARIS (Scheer, 2001), IEM (Heisig, 2002; Spur, Mertins, & Jochem, 1996), MEMO (Frank, 2002), PROMET (Österle, 1995), SOM (Ferstl & Sinz, 1994), UML-based process modeling (Oesterreich, Weiss, Schröder, Weillkiens, & Lenhard, 2003), and the IDEF family of modeling methods (<http://www.idef.com>). Moreover, there is a number of frameworks and reference models for the definition of work flows that implement business

9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/integrated-modeling/25279

Related Content

IS/IT in Knowledge Management

Petter Gottschalk (2005). *Strategic Knowledge Management Technology* (pp. 87-144).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-management/29797

Improving KMS Acceptance: The Role Of Organizational And Individuals' Influence

Claudio Vitari, Jennifer Moro, Aurelio Ravariniand I. Bourdon (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 68-90).

www.irma-international.org/article/improving-kms-acceptance/2702

The Quality of Knowledge: Knowledge Patterns and Knowledge Refactorings

Jörg Rech, Björn Decker, Eric Ras, Andreas Jedlitschkaand Raimund L. Feldmann (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 74-103).

www.irma-international.org/article/quality-knowledge-knowledge-patterns-knowledge/2709

The Quality of Knowledge: Knowledge Patterns and Knowledge Refactorings

Jörg Rech, Björn Decker, Eric Ras, Andreas Jedlitschkaand Raimund L. Feldmann (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 74-103).

www.irma-international.org/article/quality-knowledge-knowledge-patterns-knowledge/2709

The Complex Issue of Measuring KM Performance: Lessons from the Practice

Enrico Scarso, Ettore Bolisaniand Antonella Padova (2011). *Identifying, Measuring, and Valuing Knowledge-Based Intangible Assets: New Perspectives* (pp. 208-230).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/complex-issue-measuring-performance/48945