Chapter 72
Explore the Use of Handwriting Information and Machine Learning Techniques in Evaluating Mental Workload

Zhiming Wu

College of Computer Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Tao Lin

College of Computer Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

Ningjiu Tang

College of Computer Science, Sichuan University, Chengdu, China

ABSTRACT

Mental workload is considered one of the most important factors in interaction design and how to detect a user's mental workload during tasks is still an open research question. Psychological evidence has already attributed a certain amount of variability and "drift" in an individual's handwriting pattern to mental stress, but this phenomenon has not been explored adequately. The intention of this paper is to explore the possibility of evaluating mental workload with handwriting information by machine learning techniques. Machine learning techniques such as decision trees, support vector machine (SVM), and artificial neural network were used to predict mental workload levels in the authors' research. Results showed that it was possible to make prediction of mental workload levels automatically based on handwriting patterns with relatively high accuracy, especially on patterns of children. In addition, the proposed approach is attractive because it requires no additional hardware, is unobtrusive, is adaptable to individual users, and is of very low cost.

INTRODUCTION

Mental workload is conceptualized as the processing costs incurred in task performance (Kramer, 1991). Understanding users' mental workload during tasks has important implications for developing adaptive systems and optimizing interface design. For example, adaptive systems can provide adaptive aiding or adaptive task allocation based on their users' current mental workload; the interaction design patterns or elements resulting in high mental workload can also be identified then get improved. However, mental workload added to human is different among individuals and their levels of expertise, which makes it difficult to evaluate (Kalyuga, Ayres, Chandler, & Sweller, 2003).

Current evaluation methods for mental workload can be generally divided into four main categories: subjective measures, performance measures, behavioral measures and physiological measures. These methods have been adopted with some success, but they still suffer from one or more of the following problems: post-hoc evaluation, obtrusiveness, inconvenience, insufficient granularity, and the need for additional equipment(see literature review for details). The current challenges researcher face in mental workload evaluation (Lin, Imamiya, & Mao, 2008; Vizer, Zhou, & Sears, 2009) is to develop a method that: (1) unobtrusively and continuously gathers data without extra equipment; (2) evaluates mental workload objectively, quantitatively and in real-time; and (3) is suitable for automatic evaluation and deployment in real-life scenarios.

Our research interest is to use hand handwriting features of free text to detect mental workload changes. Handwriting is a typical dynamic motor skill that requires the integration of cognitive and biomechanical systems to generate an output that is stable and reproducible. A few studies (G. Luria & Rosenblum, 2010; Gil Luria & Rosenblum, 2012; Tucha, Mecklinger, Walitza, & Lange, 2006; Werner, Rosenblum, Bar-On, Heinik, & Korczyn, 2006), mostly in the clinical and psychology field, have provided empirical evidence that measures of handwriting process can capture dis-automatization in handwriting as a result of mental stress with descriptive or linear statistical analyses and this dis-automatization may cause changes in handwriting behavior. These findings shed light on the way to detect mental workload changes. However, there remain two main challenges to develop an automated evaluation method for mental workload based on this empirical evidence. The first challenge is to determine which handwriting features are most predictive of mental workload. Although various handwriting features (e.g., temporal, spatial, pressure and dynamics measures) have been explored, there is not a clear and consistent answer to the question. We try to solve the problem using wrapper-based feature selection approach (Kohavi & John, 1997). This approach performs a combinatoric optimization to find the subset of possible features which produce the best accuracy. The second challenge is choosing a proper model to represent relationships between handwriting features and mental workload. Most previous studies (Gil Luria & Rosenblum, 2012; Ruiz, Taib, & Chen, 2011) focused on providing empirical evidence for the effects of mental workload on handwriting behavior using descriptive and linear statistical techniques. But these techniques seemed to have limitations due to the inability of capturing non-linearity of handwriting behavior changes(Gil Luria & Rosenblum, 2012). As a result, only a few handwriting features (e.g., the mean and standard deviation (SD) for segment duration) were reported to show significant differences across different three mental workload conditions and change with mental workload manipulation in a linear and synchronized way (Gil Luria & Rosenblum, 2012). Luria and Rosenblum have also emphasized that not all handwriting measures relate linearly to mental workload, and further suggested that non-linear models and extensive handwriting features should be explored to reveal the relationships between handwriting behavior and mental workload(Gil Luria & Rosenblum, 2012). By using machine learning algorithms, we built up

Related Content

Interdisciplinary Contributions to ACTA
 (2025). *Qualitative Insights Through Applied Cognitive Task Analysis (pp. 251-284).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/interdisciplinary-contributions-to-acta/370588

Creating and Implementing a Mixed Method Research Study
Mette L. Baranand Janice E. Jones (2020). *Cognitive Analytics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 604-613).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/creating-and-implementing-a-mixed-method-research-study/252046

Comparison of Several Acoustic Modeling Techniques for Speech Emotion Recognition
Imen Trabelsiand Med Salim Bouhlel (2020). *Cognitive Analytics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 283-293).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/comparison-of-several-acoustic-modeling-techniques-for-speech-emotion-recognition/252030

Machine Learning Classification of Tree Cover Type and Application to Forest Management
Duncan MacMichaeland Dong Si (2020). *Cognitive Analytics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 1141-1164).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/machine-learning-classification-of-tree-cover-type-and-application-to-forest-management/252075

Classification of Sentiment of Reviews using Supervised Machine Learning Techniques
Abinash Tripathyand Santanu Kumar Rath (2020). *Cognitive Analytics: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications (pp. 143-163).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/classification-of-sentiment-of-reviews-using-supervised-machine-learning-techniques/252024