

Chapter 3.23

Knowledge Management in Safety–Critical Systems Analysis

Guy Boy

EURISCO International, France

Yvonne Barnard

EURISCO International, France

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge management in the design of safety-critical systems addresses the question of how designers can share, capitalize, and reuse knowledge in an effective and reliable way. Knowledge management is situated in groups, organizations, and communities, playing different roles in the design process. Design of safety-critical systems has specific properties, such as dealing with complexity, traceability, maturity of knowledge, interaction between experts, awareness of the status of information, and trust in knowledge. Documentation is of crucial importance in design processes, ensuring that these properties are taken care of in a proper and reliable way. However, writing is not an easy task for engineers, and support is needed. Several knowledge management solutions, both tools and organizational setups, are available to support design work, such as active notification of changes, personal

and team workspaces, active design documents and knowledge portal solutions.

SITUATING KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

Knowledge management (KM) has become an important research topic, as well as a crucial issue in industry today. People have always tried to organize themselves in order to capitalize, reuse, and transfer knowledge and skills among each other within groups. Poltrock and Grudin (2001) propose the triple distinction team-organization-community for groups. KM tools and organizational setups usually emerge from the requirements of one of these kinds of groups. Note that we do not dissociate a KM tool from the group that is likely to use it.

A team is a small group of persons that work closely with each other, but not necessarily in

the same location. A leader often coordinates its work. Team participants typically fulfill different roles. They strongly need to communicate. The following groups are examples of teams: software development teams, proposal writing teams, conference program committees, and small operational groups such as customer support or research project teams. Support technologies include: buddy lists, instant messaging, chat, Groove (a peer-to-peer team collaboration environment), Quickplace (provides an instant virtual team room where information is managed), BSCW (both a product and a free service for managing information for self-organizing groups, Bentley, Horstmann, & Trevor, 1997), video conferencing, data conferencing, and eRoom (team workspaces with shared workspaces, calendars, and discussions through a Web browser).

The structure of an organization is typically hierarchical. Modern organizations are usually geographically distributed. They strongly need to be coordinated. The following groups are examples of organizations: companies, governments or government agencies, and non-profit organizations. Support technologies include: e-mail, calendars, workflow, Lotus Notes (an integrated collaboration environment), intranet applications and webs, document management systems, and broadcast video.

Communities share a common interest but no structure. They are usually geographically distributed and provide services to people (e.g., the European KM Forum, Amazon.com). The following groups are examples of communities: citizens of a city or neighborhood, special-purpose chat groups, virtual world citizens, auction participants, stamp collectors, and retired people. Support technologies include: Web sites, chat rooms, and virtual worlds.


In the field of safety-critical systems, teams, organizations, and communities inter-relate in order to insure quality on both products and processes. They are highly constrained. Usually teams are

made to carry out projects and programs; they may be multi-national for example. Organizations are made to manage people within a consistent space, such as a national company that is more appropriate to handle social laws and customs of the country where it is chartered. Communities are made to help people who share the same kind of work practice to refer among each other, such as a community of electrical engineers. We summarize these distinctions in Figure 1.

A project team exists only during the time of the related project. A company may have several projects or programs that themselves may involve people from others companies. A company may become obsolete when the type of products it produces is no longer appropriate with the current market. Professional communities survive the obsolescence of both projects and companies. They actually may also become obsolete when either technology and/or the social world change.

In this article, we will present specific issues brought by the design of safety-critical systems, and human factors related to documentation generated and used in design processes. We will also focus on related current design issues. The specificity of safety-critical design knowledge will be presented. Several KM management solutions

Figure 1. An individual may belong to a project team, a company, and a professional association at the same time.



9 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-management-safety-critical-systems/25179

Related Content

Civic Education and Citizen Science: Definitions, Categories, Knowledge Representation

Luigi Ceccaroni, Anne Bowser and Peter Brenton (2017). *Analyzing the Role of Citizen Science in Modern Research* (pp. 1-23).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/civic-education-and-citizen-science/170182

Business Processes and Knowledge Management

John S. Edwards (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2538-2545).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/business-processes-knowledge-management/25277

Video Entity Segmentation Technology in Promoting the Efficiency of Using Sports Public Resources

Yi Zheng (2026). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-20).

www.irma-international.org/article/video-entity-segmentation-technology-in-promoting-the-efficiency-of-using-sports-public-resources/409366

Ontology Frames for IT Courseware Representation

Witold Abramowicz, Marek Kowalkiewicz and Piotr Zawadzki (2003). *Knowledge Management: Current Issues and Challenges* (pp. 1-11).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ontology-frames-courseware-representation/25354

A Structural Analysis of Users and Management in a Knowledge Management System Project Implementation

Charlie C. Chen, Rong-An Shang, Albert Harris and Zai-Kai Chen (2007). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 18-36).

www.irma-international.org/article/structural-analysis-users-management-knowledge/2712