

## Chapter 2.30

# Identifying Knowledge Flows in Communities of Practice

**Oscar M. Rodríguez-Elias**  
*CICESE, Mexico*

**Ana I. Martínez-García**  
*CICESE, Mexico*

**Aurora Vizcaíno**  
*University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain*

**Jesús Favela**  
*CICESE, Mexico*

**Mario Piattini**  
*University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain*

### INTRODUCTION

Knowledge sharing is a collective process where the people involved collaborate with others in order to learn from them (Huysman & de Wit, 2000). This kind of collaboration creates groups of people with common interest called communities of practice where each member contributes knowledge about a common domain (Wenger, 1998).

Communities of practice enable its members to benefit from the knowledge of each other (Fontaine & Millen, 2004). To achieve this, different techniques and technologies can be used, such

as shared documentation, groupware tools, lessons learned systems, and so forth. Therefore, to increase and improve knowledge sharing in communities of practice, it is important to study the mechanisms used by a particular community and understand how the knowledge flows through its members (Guizzardi, Perini & Dignum, 2003).

This article presents a qualitative approach for studying and understanding how knowledge flows in communities of practice within organizations. The goal is to provide a methodological guide for obtaining useful information for the development of knowledge management tools for supporting knowledge flows in these communities.

The content of the article is organized as follows. First the importance of supporting knowledge flows in communities of practice is highlighted. Then, a qualitative methodology for identifying knowledge flows in communities of practice is described, followed by some examples from a study conducted in the field of software maintenance. Finally, we present our conclusions of this work and future research.

## **MAIN BODY: KNOWLEDGE FLOWS IN COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE**

In a knowledge-intensive organization, employees constantly have to deal with a changing environment where knowledge is crucial to make decisions and adapt to these changes. To obtain the required knowledge for making those decisions, employees generate communities where each member collaborates with the others sharing knowledge about a common domain. On the other hand, to facilitate their adaptation, the organization's processes must become dynamic, that is, they must be designed to change based on the knowledge involved and on the activities performed by the members of the organization. Knowledge management (KM) can help address this issue, since it provides methods, techniques, and tools for facilitating organizations to become adaptable to these changing environments (Davenport & Prusak, 2000; Tiwana, 2000).

One of the main objectives of KM is to make available the appropriate knowledge, in the right place, at the right moment, to whoever needs it; therefore the flow of knowledge is very important for managing the knowledge of an organization (Nissen & Levitt, 2002). In fact, it has been considered the central component of a KM system (Borghoff & Pareschi, 1998). Communities of practice stimulate this flow of knowledge through organizations, since knowledge flows easily in these communities because they enable face-to-face interaction between their members (Brown,

2002; Fontaine & Millen, 2004). Even though direct interaction between members of the community is very important for sharing their tacit knowledge, other kinds of knowledge transfer must be considered such as documents sharing. Hence, provision of mechanisms that facilitate, increment, and improve the transfers of both tacit and explicit knowledge into communities of practice it is required. Therefore, knowledge flow must be one of the most important issues for supporting KM in these communities, since the goal is that the knowledge of each member can be used by the others (Borghoff & Pareschi, 1998; Guizzardi et al., 2003).

To provide support to the knowledge flow of a community, it is important to identify specific issues of the dynamics of knowledge flows in the processes and activities performed by the members of that community, as well as the social, cultural, and technological aspects which can affect those flows, in order to provide useful insights for the definition of requirements for designing KM systems that support the flow of knowledge in the community (Rodríguez, Martínez, Favela, Vizcaíno & Piattini, 2004a). A process modeling approach, as used in business processes reengineering (Curtis, Kellner & Over, 1992), can be appropriate for this purpose, since it provides techniques for analyzing technological and social aspects in organizations, as well as for modeling the dynamics of their processes. Once identified and understood how the knowledge flows through the community and which are the main elements that affect that flow, other approaches can be used for implementing the support systems—for example, an agent-oriented approach such as the proposed by Guizzardi et al. (2003, 2004).

In the following section we present a qualitative methodology for identifying knowledge flows in communities of practice; this is a methodology that we have defined and followed to obtain requirements for the design of a KM support system for a software maintenance group.

7 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/identifying-knowledge-flows-communities-practice/25140](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/identifying-knowledge-flows-communities-practice/25140)

## Related Content

---

### Human Resources and Knowledge Management Based on E-Democracy

Niki Lambropoulos (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2285-2291).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/human-resources-knowledge-management-based/25259](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/human-resources-knowledge-management-based/25259)

### The Role of Supportive Leadership and Job Design for Proactive Behavior and Self-Organization in Work Groups

Annika Lantz (2013). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 19-35).

[www.irma-international.org/article/role-supportive-leadership-job-design/77882](http://www.irma-international.org/article/role-supportive-leadership-job-design/77882)

### OUIP: Ontology Based User Profile for Impairment Person in Dynamic Situation Aware Social Networks

Ali Kourtiche, Sidi mohamed Benslimane and Sofiane Boukli Hacene (2020). *International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations* (pp. 12-34).

[www.irma-international.org/article/ouip/248508](http://www.irma-international.org/article/ouip/248508)

### The Political Economy of Knowledge Management in Higher Education

Amy Scott Metcalfe (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 2301-2313).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/political-economy-knowledge-management-higher/25261](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/political-economy-knowledge-management-higher/25261)

### Mobilizing Knowledge in the UK Public Sector: Current Issues and Discourse

David Pullinger (2011). *Ethical Issues and Social Dilemmas in Knowledge Management: Organizational Innovation* (pp. 232-249).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobilizing-knowledge-public-sector/48236](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/mobilizing-knowledge-public-sector/48236)