Chapter 2.11 Interesting Knowledge Patterns in Databases

Rajesh Natarajan

Indian Institute of Management Lucknow (IIMB), India

B. Shekar

Indian Institute of Management Bangalore (IIMB), India

INTRODUCTION

Knowledge management (KM) transforms a firm's knowledge-based resources into a source of competitive advantage. Knowledge creation, a KM process, deals with the conversion of tacit knowledge to explicit knowledge and moving knowledge from the individual level to the group, organizational, and interorganizational levels (Alavi & Leidner, 2001). Four modes—namely, socialization, externalization, combination, and internalization—create knowledge through the interaction and interplay between tacit and explicit knowledge. The "combination" mode consists of combining or reconfiguring disparate bodies of existing explicit knowledge (like documents) that lead to the production of new explicit knowledge (Choo, 1998). Transactional databases are a source of rich information about a firm's processes and its business environment. Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD), or data mining, aims at uncovering trends and patterns that would otherwise remain buried in a firm's operational databases. KDD is "the non-trivial process of identifying valid, novel, potentially useful, and ultimately understandable patterns in data." (Fayyad, Piatetsky-Shapiro, & Smyth, 1996). KDD is a typical example of IT-enabled combination mode of knowledge creation (Alavi & Leidner, 2001).

An important issue in KDD concerns the glut of patterns generated by any knowledge discovery system. The sheer number of these patterns makes manual inspection infeasible. In addition, one cannot obtain a good overview of the domain. Most of the discovered patterns are uninteresting since they represent well-known domain facts. The two problems—namely, rule quality and rule quantity—are interdependent. Knowledge of a rule's quality can help in reducing the number of rules. End-users of data mining outputs are typically managers, hard pressed for time. Hence, the need for automated methods to identify interesting, relevant, and significant pat-

terns. This article discusses the interestingness of KDD patterns. We use the association rule (AR) (Agrawal, Imielinski, & Swami, 1993) in a market-basket context as an example of a typical KDD pattern. However, the discussions are also applicable to patterns like classification rules.

BACKGROUND

The Rule Quantity Problem: Solution Perspectives

The rule quantity problem may be a result of the automated nature of many KDD methods, such as AR mining methods. In one study, Brin, Motwani, Ullman, and Tsur (1997) discovered 23,712 rules on mining a census database. Approaches to alleviate this problem aim at reducing the number of rules required for examination while preserving relevant information present in the original set. Redundancy reduction, rule templates, incorporation of additional constraints, ranking, grouping, and visualization are some of the techniques that address the rule quantity problem.

In AR mining, additional constraints in conjunction with support and confidence thresholds can reveal specific relationships between items. These constraints reduce the search space and bring out fewer, relevant, and focused rules. Rule templates (Klemettinen, Mannila, Ronkainen, Toivonen, & Verkamo, 1994) help in selecting interesting rules by allowing a user to pre-specify the structure of interesting and uninteresting class of rules in inclusive and restrictive templates, respectively. Rules matching an inclusive template are interesting. Such templates are typical post-processing filters. Constraint-based mining (Bayardo, Agrawal, & Gunopulos, 2000) embeds user-specified rule constraints in the mining process. These constraints eliminate any rule that can be simplified to yield a rule of equal or higher predictive ability. Association patterns like negative ARs (Savasere, Omiecinski, & Navathe, 1998; Subramanian, Ananthanarayana, & Narasimha Murty, 2003), cyclic ARs (Ozden, Sridhar, & Silberschatz, 1998), inter-transactional ARs (Lu, Feng, & Han, 2000), ratio rules (Korn, Labrinidis, Kotidis, & Faloutos, 1998), and substitution rules (Teng, Hsieh, & Chen, 2002) bring out particular relationships between items. In the market-basket context, negative ARs reveal the set of items a customer is unlikely to purchase with another set. Cyclic association rules reveal purchases that display periodicity over time. Thus, imposition of additional constraints offers insight into the domain by discovering focused and tighter relationships. However, each method discovers a specific kind of behaviour. A large number of mined patterns might necessitate the use of other pruning methods. Except for rule templates, methods that enforce constraints are characterized by low user-involvement.

Redundancy reduction methods remove rules that do not convey new information. If many rules refer to the same feature of the data, then the most general rule may be retained. "Rule covers" (Toivonen, Klemettinen, Ronkainen, Hatonen, & Mannila, 1995) is a method that retains a subset of the original set of rules. This subset refers to all rows (in a relational database) that the original ruleset covered. Another strategy in AR mining (Zaki, 2000) is to determine a subset of frequently occurring closed item sets from their supersets. The magnitude of cardinality of the subset is several orders less than that of the superset. This implies fewer rules. This is done without any loss of information. Sometimes, one rule can be generated from another using a certain inference system. Retaining the basic rules may reduce the cardinality of the original rule set (Cristofor & Simovici, 2002). This process being reversible can generate the original ruleset if required. Care is taken to retain the information content of the basic unpruned set. Redundancy reduction methods may not provide a holistic picture 8 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/interesting-knowledge-patterns-databases/25121

Related Content

From Creative Ideas Generation to Real World Solutions: Analysis of the Initial Situation for Inventive Design

François Rousselot, Cecilia Zanni-Merkand Françoi de Bertrand de Beuvron (2013). *Multidisciplinary Studies in Knowledge and Systems Science (pp. 95-112).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/creative-ideas-generation-real-world/76224

An Intellectual Capital-Based View of Technological Innovation

Miriam Delgado-Verdeand Jorge Cruz-González (2010). *Intellectual Capital and Technological Innovation: Knowledge-Based Theory and Practice (pp. 166-193).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/intellectual-capital-based-view-technological/44322

Knowledge Sharing in the Context of Information Technology Projects: The Case of a Higher Education Institution

C. Mussi, M. Angeloniand F. Serra (2007). *Strategies for Information Technology and Intellectual Capital: Challenges and Opportunities (pp. 188-200).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/knowledge-sharing-context-information-technology/29893

Tapping Diverse Experiences: Toward Articulating Knowledge Creation Theory

Hammad Akbarand Shah Faisal Khan (2016). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 48-67)*. www.irma-international.org/article/tapping-diverse-experiences/172493

Incremental Experts: How Much Knowledge Does a Team Need?

Ronald D. Freeze, Sharath Sasidharanand Peggy L. Lane (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 62-82).*

www.irma-international.org/article/incremental-experts-much-knowledge-does/69173