

# Chapter 14

## Using Knowledge Management and Machine Learning to Identify Victims of Human Sex Trafficking


**Jessica Whitney**

*San Diego State University, USA*

**Marisa Hultgren**

*San Diego State University, USA*

**Murray Eugene Jennex**

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4332-1886>  
*San Diego State University, USA*

**Aaron Elkins**

*San Diego State University, USA*

**Eric Frost**

*San Diego State University, USA*

### ABSTRACT

*Social media and the interactive Web have enabled human traffickers to lure victims and then sell them faster and in greater safety than ever before. However, these same tools have also enabled investigators in their search for victims and criminals. Authors used system development action research methodology to create and apply a prototype designed to identify victims of human sex trafficking by analyzing online ads. The prototype used a knowledge management approach of generating actionable intelligence by applying a set of strong filters based on an ontology to identify potential victims. Authors used the prototype to analyze a dataset generated from online ads*

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-2355-1.ch014

*from southern California and used the results of this process to generate a revised prototype that included the use of machine learning and text mining enhancements. An unexpected outcome of the second dataset was the discovery of the use of emojis in an expanded ontology.*

## **INTRODUCTION**

Trafficking humans for sexual exploitation is a fast-growing criminal enterprise even though international law and the laws of 158 countries criminalize sex trafficking (Equality Now, 2017). The Equality Now (2017) *Sex Trafficking Fact Sheet* lists these statistics:

- Sex trafficking is a lucrative industry that makes an estimated US\$99 billion a year.
- About two million children are exploited every year in the global commercial sex trade.
- Women and girls make up 96 percent of victims of trafficking for sexual exploitation.

Further, human trafficking is not just a third or developing world problem. The National Human Trafficking Resource Center hotline lists 5784 human sex trafficking cases reported in the United States during 2016 (NHTRC, 2018a). Additionally, the National Human Trafficking Resource Center has reported that California had 1050 of these cases (NHTRC, 2018). (Note that this chapter and research uses a sample set from California, United States and so statistics, policies, and laws used in this chapter are focused on this region)

The U.S. Government defines human trafficking as inducing others to perform a commercial sex act by force, fraud, or coercion; as inducing a person under 18 years of age for such an act; and/or as recruiting, harboring, transporting, providing, obtaining a person for labor or services through the use of force, fraud, or coercion in order to subject them to involuntary servitude, peonage, debt bondage, or slavery (National Institute of Justice, 2012). However, the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) has more recently shortened the definition of human trafficking to a contemporary form of slavery that involves the illegal trade of people for exploitation or commercial gain (Department of Homeland Security, 2014). Further clarifying this definition, California's Department of Justice (DOJ) has stated that human trafficking is a contemporary form of slavery that involves controlling a person through force, fraud, or coercion to exploit the victim for forced labor, sexual exploitation, or both (Harris, 2012). While slightly different, all three definitions

28 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-knowledge-management-and-machine-learning-to-identify-victims-of-human-sex-trafficking/250981](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/using-knowledge-management-and-machine-learning-to-identify-victims-of-human-sex-trafficking/250981)

## Related Content

---

### Management of Learning Space

Susan M. Powers and Christine Salmon (2010). *Temporal Structures in Individual Time Management: Practices to Enhance Calendar Tool Design* (pp. 210-219). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/management-learning-space/36657](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/management-learning-space/36657)

### ERP-Based Knowledge Transfer

Zoonky Lee, Jinyoul Lee and Tim Sieber (2002). *Knowledge Mapping and Management* (pp. 79-87). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/erp-based-knowledge-transfer/25382](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/erp-based-knowledge-transfer/25382)

### Measuring Knowledge Management/Knowledge Sharing (KM/KS) Efficiency and Effectiveness in Enterprise Networks

Anirban Ganguly, Ali Mostashari and Mo Mansouri (2011). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 37-54). [www.irma-international.org/article/measuring-knowledge-management-knowledge-sharing/59908](http://www.irma-international.org/article/measuring-knowledge-management-knowledge-sharing/59908)

### Personalized Information Retrieval in a Semantic-Based Learning Environment

Antonella Carbonaro and Rodolfo Ferrini (2009). *Semantic Knowledge Management: An Ontology-Based Framework* (pp. 370-389). [www.irma-international.org/chapter/personalized-information-retrieval-semantic-based/28826](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/personalized-information-retrieval-semantic-based/28826)

### Culture and Knowledge Transfer Capacity: A Cross-National Study

Omar E. M. Khalil and Ahmed Seleim (2010). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 60-86). [www.irma-international.org/article/culture-knowledge-transfer-capacity/47390](http://www.irma-international.org/article/culture-knowledge-transfer-capacity/47390)