## Chapter IV

# Knowledge Technology Stages

## Introduction

Knowledge management systems refer to a class of information systems applied to manage organizational knowledge. These systems are IT applications to support and enhance the organizational processes of knowledge creation, storage and retrieval, transfer and application (Alavi & Leidner, 2001).

The knowledge management technology stage model presented in this chapter is a multistage model proposed for organizational evolution over time. Stages of knowledge management technology is a relative concept concerned with IT's ability to process information for knowledge work. The knowledge management technology stage model consists of four stages (Gottschalk, 2005). When applied to law enforcement in the following chapters, the stages are labeled officer-to-technology, officer-to-officer, officer-to-information and officer-to-application.

## Knowledge Technology Stages

Stages of growth models have been used widely in both organizational research and information technology management research. According to King and Teo (1997), these models describe a wide variety of phenomena — the organizational life cycle, product life cycle, biological growth, and so forth . These models assume that predictable patterns (conceptualized in terms of stages) exist in the growth of

organizations, the sales levels of products and the growth of living organisms. These stages are: (1) sequential in nature; (2) occur as a hierarchical progression that is not easily reversed; and (3) involve a broad range of organizational activities and structures.

Benchmark variables are often used to indicate characteristics in each stage of growth. A one-dimensional continuum is established for each benchmark variable. The measurement of benchmark variables can be carried out using Guttman scales (Frankfort-Nachmias & Nachmias, 2002). Guttman scaling is a cumulative scaling technique based on ordering theory that suggests a linear relationship between the elements of a domain and the items on a test.

In the following main part of this chapter, a four-stage model for the evolution of information technology support for knowledge management is proposed and empirically tested. The purpose of the model is both to understand the current situation in an organization in terms of a specific stage and to develop strategies for moving to a higher stage in the future. We are concerned with the following question: Do organizations move through various stages of growth in their application of knowledge management technology over time, and is each theoretical stage regarded as an actual stage in an organization?

# Stages of Growth Models

Various multistage models have been proposed for organizational evolution over time. These models differ in the number of stages. For example, Nolan (1979) introduced a model with six stages for IT maturity in organizations, which later was expanded to nine stages. Earl (2000) suggested a stages of growth model for evolving the e-business, consisting of the following six stages: external communication, internal communication, e-commerce, e-business, e-enterprise, and transformation. Each of these models identifies certain characteristics that typify firms in different stages of growth. Among these multistage models, models with four stages seem to have been proposed and tested most frequently (King & Teo, 1997).

In the area of knowledge management, Housel and Bell (2001) described a knowledge management maturity model. The knowledge management maturity (KMM) model is used to assess the relative maturity of a company's knowledge management efforts. The KMM model defines the following five levels (Housel & Bell 2001, p. 136):

1.   *Level one* is the default stage in which there is low commitment to managing anything other than essential, necessary survival-level tasks. At level one formal training is the main mechanism for learning, and all learning is taken

## Related Content

Utilizing the Rasch Model to Develop and Evaluate Items for the Tacit Knowledge Inventory for Superintendents (TKIS)
Christian E. Muellerand Kelly D. Bradley (2011). *Global Aspects and Cultural Perspectives on Knowledge Management: Emerging Dimensions  (pp. 264-284).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/utilizing-rasch-model-develop-evaluate/54094

Calendar Tools: Current Practices, New Prototypes and Proposed Designs
Dezhi Wu (2010). *Temporal Structures in Individual Time Management: Practices to Enhance Calendar Tool Design  (pp. 38-50).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/calendar-tools-current-practices-new/36646

IT-Based Knowledge Capability and Commercialization of Innovations: Modeling the Impacts of Ambidexterity and Absorptive Capacity
Avimanyu Datta (2012). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 83-97).*
www.irma-international.org/article/based-knowledge-capability-commercialization-innovations/69174

Leadership Social Power as a Component of KMS Success
Vincent Scovettaand Timothy J. Ellis (2015). *International Journal of Knowledge Management (pp. 1-14).*
www.irma-international.org/article/leadership-social-power-as-a-component-of-kms-success/142974

An Exploratory Analysis of Information and Knowledge Management Enablers in Business Contexts
Karen Nelsonand Michael Middleton (2003). *Knowledge Management: Current Issues and Challenges  (pp. 104-115).*
www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploratory-analysis-information-knowledge-management/25362