



Chapter XI

The Current Bottleneck of Knowledge Management and How Information Technology can be Successfully Used to Reduce It

Ricardo Salim, Cautus Networks Corporation, USA, &
Software de Venezuela, S.A., Venezuela

Carlos Ferran, Pennsylvania State University, USA

Abstract

Knowledge is generated and propagated by cultural selection, a process that—like its genetic counterpart, natural selection—consumes much time and resources in contrasting every new (or mutated) information with reality. However, if we hasten to minimize the field tests or marketing tests—forms of cultural selection—we run into the risk of not testing the knowledge sufficiently and make a deficient contrast

with reality. In this chapter we present the concept of pragmatic minimization as the compromise of minimizing the amount of resources invested in contrasting the newly acquired knowledge with reality, while not falling into a lack of realism—blind idealism—or a combinatorial explosion of mental possibilities. Then, we advocate “simulated praxis” and a “more pragmatic artificial intelligence” as new avenues to optimally solve the problem of pragmatic minimization.

Introduction

Prior research¹ spanning several centuries allowed us to identify the knowledge management (KM) bottleneck as the increased demand for knowledge over the current limits in pragmatic minimization.

The chapter develops the concept of pragmatic minimization using three observations:

1. Just as the genetic code evolves by natural selection of random mutations of macromolecular information, knowledge is generated and propagated by cultural selection of essentially random changes in neurological information (innovations, inventions). This process occurs in three phases: (a) a syntactic phase in which the information is altered and exclusively kept in the syntactic or formal dimension of information; (b) a pragmatic phase in which the new (or altered) information is contrasted with reality (field test, marketing test) and is culturally accepted or discarded (forgotten or placed in an archive for future potential retesting); and (c) a semantic phase in which the new information is incorporated and diffused into the relational information network that we call culture, in particular, that which we call knowledge.
2. The phase of knowledge generation and transfer that consumes more time and resources is its pragmatic phase; the phase in which we bring it to praxis. In other words, the phase in which we contrast the newly generated information with reality. This is the phase that constitutes today’s knowledge management bottleneck and therefore the one that needs to be minimized if we want to satisfy the ever increasing demand for knowledge. We call this need pragmatic minimization.
3. In our rush to minimize the pragmatic phase we run into the risk of not testing the knowledge fully and making a deficient contrast with reality that weakens its pragmatic anchors by (a) falling into voluntarism or idealistic belief that the facts will conform or must conform to our idea, or (b) letting our imagination fly into a prolific explosion of syntactic-semantic combinations, where each

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/current-bottleneck-knowledge-management-information/25027

Related Content

Big Data-Driven Knowledge Management in English Vocabulary Teaching

Jiling Shang (2026). *International Journal of Knowledge Management* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/big-data-driven-knowledge-management-in-english-vocabulary-teaching/408113

Lightweight Interacting Patient Treatment Processes

Ronny Mans, Wil van der Aalst, Nick Russell, Piet Bakker and Arnold Moleman (2012).

International Journal of Knowledge-Based Organizations (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/article/lightweight-interacting-patient-treatment-processes/72337

A System Method to Elicit Innovative Knowledge based on Chance Discovery for Innovative Product Design

Hao Wang, Yukio Ohsawa and Yoko Nishihara (2013). *Multidisciplinary Studies in Knowledge and Systems Science* (pp. 153-166).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/system-method-elicite-innovative-knowledge/76227

Biological and Information Systems Approaches

Barry E. Atkinson and Frada Burstein (2008). *Knowledge Management: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 336-344).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/biological-information-systems-approaches/25102

Attracting and Retaining Knowledge Workers: The Impact of Quality of Place in the Case of Montreal

Sébastien Darchen and Diane-Gabrielle Tremblay (2010). *Knowledge-Based Development for Cities and Societies: Integrated Multi-Level Approaches* (pp. 42-58).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/attracting-retaining-knowledge-workers/41684