

Chapter VIII

Distributed Learning Objects: An Open Knowledge Management Model

Veronica Diaz
The University of Arizona, USA

Patricia McGee
The University of Texas at San Antonio, USA

Abstract

This chapter analyzes the emergence of learning objects as a dynamic and interactive relationship between technology and the organization. We examine the way that organizational objectives are embedded within selected technologies. In other words, how is the selected technology addressing the organization's needs? Further, we argue for a socially-constructed model of knowledge management. Specifically, we utilize Demarest's (1997) four-step process of the construction of a knowledge economy. From these processes, via a constructed technological system, a learning object economy emerges, which includes various constituents: the 21st century learner, the subject matter expert (university professor),

vendors who support or enable knowledge management, and populaces that harvest and benefit from the collection of knowledge.

Introduction

As state and federal funds diminish and as higher education resources and university budgets become more restricted, postsecondary institutions are becoming increasingly entrepreneurial in pursuing and developing technological solutions. Meyer (2002) describes a changing marketplace, increasingly global in orientation, where technology enables the provision of adult education, executive training/retraining, competency-based programs, and education to remote geographical areas. Knowledge management,¹ in higher education, is a way to retain and manage knowledge products. As higher education organizations increasingly interact with other organizational types, such as corporations, consortia, and other educational institutions, knowledge products become critical in the exchange process. Technological systems are designed to manage knowledge and are situated in social systems with corresponding cultures, values, and beliefs. As such, higher education, as an organizational structure and a social system, must consider processes, policies, and embedded assumptions about technology, teaching, and learning, not only within their own institution, but also across those with which they interact.

The trend toward knowledge management is evidenced in the myriad of technological artifacts that have emerged to capture, categorize, and manage learning objects. During their evolution, learning objects have come to be defined in a number of ways, depending on the context and culture from which they emerge, for example, computer science, education, instructional technology, and so on. For our purposes, we define a learning object as any digital asset that is intended to be used to achieve a learning objective and can be re-used in different contexts. Learning objects may be data or data sets, texts, images or image collections, audio or video materials, executable programs, courses offered through Learning/Course Management Systems (L/CMS), or other resources that can be delivered electronically. Learning objects should be re-useable and re-purposeable over time and location and interoperable across systems and software (see Downes, 2002; Robson, 2001; Wiley, 2000). Additionally, learning objects can be combined or aggregated in different ways providing the potential for individualized learning experiences for specific

30 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/distributed-learning-objects/24972

Related Content

Strategies to Reduce Attrition among First Year Computer Science Students

Juris Borzovs, Laila Niedrite and Darja Solodovnikova (2016). *Handbook of Research on Engaging Digital Natives in Higher Education Settings* (pp. 98-120).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strategies-to-reduce-attrition-among-first-year-computer-science-students/148533

Framework to Develop a Learning Analytics System for Smartphone Blended Learning Environment

Mazharuddin Syed Ahmed (2016). *Handbook of Research on Mobile Devices and Applications in Higher Education Settings* (pp. 72-91).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/framework-to-develop-a-learning-analytics-system-for-smartphone-blended-learning-environment/159371

The Case of a Curriculum-Driven Experiential Learning Lab for Business Students

Susan Schultz Kleine (2013). *Cases on Higher Education Spaces: Innovation, Collaboration, and Technology* (pp. 356-365).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/case-curriculum-driven-experiential-learning/72685

"How Do We Know What They Need?": An Analysis of How ConnectRichmond Changed Service-Learning at the University of Richmond

Theresa Dolson (2011). *Higher Education, Emerging Technologies, and Community Partnerships: Concepts, Models and Practices* (pp. 124-128).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/know-they-need/54303

A Place for Culture in Instructional Design

Shabana Figueroa and Wanjira Kinuthia (2014). *Cross-Cultural Online Learning in Higher Education and Corporate Training* (pp. 200-215).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-place-for-culture-in-instructional-design/92446