#### INFORMATION SCIENCE PUBLISHING



701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

ITB11545

This chapter appears in the book, Knowledge Management and Higher Education: A Critical Analysis edited by Amy Scott Metcalfe © 2006, Idea Group Inc.

#### **Chapter VII**

## Revealing Unseen Organizations in Higher Education:

**A Study Framework and Application Example** 

Lucie Sommer
The University of Colorado, USA

#### **Abstract**

Structuration theory, which examines the relationship between local and institutional structures, and organizational theory specific to the higher education setting, are utilized to formulate an analytical framework appropriate for "reading" educational technologies as social phenomena. Wanda Orlikowski's (2000) technology-in-use model, (a contemporary revision of Poole and DeSanctis' [1990] adaptive structuration model) and Burton Clark's (1984) taxonomy of higher education organization are applied as the foundation for the inquiry.

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

#### Introduction

In the higher education setting, changes in management practices, organizational structure, and communication technologies have provoked considerable speculation about the future of academic institutions. Many experts are asking if the centuries-old traditions of the university system will survive the information age, and if not, what the new paradigms for higher learning will be (Brown & Duguid, 1996; Duderstadt, 2000; Frye, 2002; Johnstone, 2002; Katz, 2002; Strauss, 2002; Wulf, 2003). Though often presented as vague forms that we will discover far out into some distant future, 21st century higher learning organizations already exist. Discerning their forms, however, can be challenging. Adopting a new conception of technology—one that highlights its dynamic relationship with social interaction—may help to reveal largely "unseen" higher education organizations.

Course management (CM) systems are a prime example an emerging form of higher education that has not yet been recognized as such. Generally, those who have adopted these technologies understand them as tools that facilitate communication within and between existing organizations, rather than as new forms of HE enterprise. If we consider the possibility that these technologies may represent not only new technologies but also new systems of social organization, then the dramatic increase in adoption of CM systems warrants close attention.

CM uses crosses the boundaries of diverse academic disciplines, widely divergent service sectors, and international borders. Over 50 different CM systems are currently being employed in colleges and universities—the leading system in the industry serving over 5.6 million users (Rosen, 2002)—and more systems are on the way. For instance, MIT and a select group of partners have been hard at work developing the next generation CM system: the Open Knowledge Initiative (OKI) and the companion Open Courseware (OCW) project. If we are to understand these new technologies as emerging organizational systems, rather than simply as technologies that are "external" to existing systems, we can understand MIT's work as a potentially critical influence in the current restructuring of higher education. Indeed, the group has openly stated their intention for these systems to have both a profound and far-reaching effect on the future of HE (OKI Web site, 2003). Given this goal, the development of these technologies should be accompanied by careful analysis. This paper aims to contribute to this imperative by proposing a study framework for

# 30 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <a href="www.igi-global.com/chapter/revealing-unseen-organizations-higher-education/24971">www.igi-global.com/chapter/revealing-unseen-organizations-higher-education/24971</a>

#### Related Content

#### Birds, Bands and Beyond

Jill Russell, Karen Glum, Jennifer Licata, David Russelland Jenny Wohlfarth (2011). *Higher Education, Emerging Technologies, and Community Partnerships: Concepts, Models and Practices (pp. 29-39).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/birds-bands-beyond/54295

## Librarian without Building in an E-Learning Environment: Needed Skills, Challenges, and Solutions

Jerome Idiegbeyan-Oseand Ugwunwa Chinyere Esse (2014). *E-Learning 2.0 Technologies and Web Applications in Higher Education (pp. 45-64).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/librarian-without-building-in-an-e-learning-environment/92381

#### Excellence in Virtual Education: The Tutor Online Approach

Ángeles Bosom, Elisa Fernández, María José Hernández, Francisco José Garcíaand Antonio Seoane (2012). Cases on Technologies for Educational Leadership and Administration in Higher Education (pp. 509-524).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/excellence-virtual-education/65921

#### Evaluating a Learning Management System to Support Classroom Teaching

Tiago França Melo de Limaand Thiago Nazareth dos Passos (2014). *Multicultural Awareness and Technology in Higher Education: Global Perspectives (pp. 176-196).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/evaluating-a-learning-management-system-to-support-classroom-teaching/103761

### iClassroom : Opportunities for Touch Screen Handheld Technologies in Learning and Teaching

Eugene F. M. O'Loughlin (2010). *Critical Design and Effective Tools for E-Learning in Higher Education: Theory into Practice (pp. 141-156).* 

www.irma-international.org/chapter/iclassroom-opportunities-touch-screen-handheld/44465