

## **Chapter VI**

# **Institutional Research (IR) Meets Knowledge Management (KM)**

José L. Santos  
University of California–Los Angeles, USA

### **Abstract**

---

*In this study, a selected university's capacity to provide necessary and meaningful information under a KM framework in order to guide it through its current and new and sweeping initiatives was examined. Specifically, information generated from a university-created Study Committee charged with studying the IR function and key units that perform this function were analyzed. A critical analysis of the committee, its methodological approach to studying the IR function, the IR units, and the findings of the committee was conducted. It was found that KM principles were employed in a limited fashion, and that no knowledge creation was taking place. Another key finding was that the primary focus of the committee and a key unit in the IR function were much more concerned about the decision support systems and their ability to provide good data that, in turn, they believed would lead to excellent decision-making.*

## Introduction

---

Universities and colleges across the United States have an inherent desire and need to establish data/information systems in order to support and, purportedly, to optimize decision-making. In a changing higher education marketplace, this could not be any more central to universities' ability to compete and self-direct in ways that afford them comparative advantages in such a competitive marketplace. As a result of increasing competition and the creation of the field of knowledge management (KM) in the early 1990s, universities have moved in a direction that captures the cumulative endowment of knowledge that universities hold. In order to remain competitive and strategically contend with market forces, universities are engaged in this fast-moving field of knowledge management in several areas: human resources, organizational development, change management, information technology, brand and reputation management, performance measurement, and evaluation (Bukowitz & Williams, 1999). As the young and popular field of knowledge management continues to emerge, some universities will succeed in aligning their organizational activities with KM principles while others will not; others will only adopt parts of a KM framework. For example, some universities may only develop a capacity for data/information systems but fail to develop capacities in other critical areas that are necessary to interpret information that is created from such systems. That is, they will spend large sums of money building system-wide database warehouses and investing in the people that support such systems but will fail to invest in a commensurate fashion in the human capital needed to interpret the information generated from these systems in order to advise decision makers. Such is the case of Western University, a research extensive university and the subject of analysis for this chapter.

## Literature Review

---

Knowledge Management (KM), a term and movement that was coined by the corporate world (Serban & Luan, 2002), is a fairly young field, yet it has gained momentum in both the public and private sectors. In fact, it is becoming a standard in universities whereby they can harness their cumulative knowledge in order to make informed decision-making by taking data in its raw form and create knowledge for decision-making consumption. KM principles are usually

19 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: [www.igi-global.com/chapter/institutional-research-meets-knowledge-management/24970](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/institutional-research-meets-knowledge-management/24970)

## Related Content

---

### Getting Time to Teach: The Adoption of Online Courses by University Professors

Scott Reid (2014). *Cases on Critical and Qualitative Perspectives in Online Higher Education* (pp. 79-97).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/getting-time-teach/96106](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/getting-time-teach/96106)

### Reaching Them Where They Live: Effectively Utilizing the Social Media Literacy of C-Borgs in Higher Education and Beyond

Danielle Lawson (2014). *Cutting-Edge Technologies and Social Media Use in Higher Education* (pp. 131-155).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/reaching-them-where-they-live/101171](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/reaching-them-where-they-live/101171)

### Discussion Questions for the Case Studies

Amy Scott Metcalfe (2006). *Knowledge Management and Higher Education: A Critical Analysis* (pp. 310-311).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/discussion-questions-case-studies/145429](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/discussion-questions-case-studies/145429)

### A Place for Culture in Instructional Design

Shabana Figueroa and Wanjira Kinuthia (2014). *Cross-Cultural Online Learning in Higher Education and Corporate Training* (pp. 200-215).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-place-for-culture-in-instructional-design/92446](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-place-for-culture-in-instructional-design/92446)

### Hard-Learned Lessons: Online Course Development in the Years Following Hurricane Katrina

Sandra E. Weissinger and Ashraf Esmail (2014). *Cases on Critical and Qualitative Perspectives in Online Higher Education* (pp. 246-264).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/hard-learned-lessons/96115](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/hard-learned-lessons/96115)