

INFORMATION SCIENCE PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.idea-group.com

This chapter appears in the book, *Knowledge Management and Higher Education: A Critical Analysis* edited by Amy Scott Metcalfe © 2006, Idea Group Inc.

Chapter II

Knowledge Management Trends: Challenges and Opportunities for Educational Institutions

Lisa A. Petrides Institute for the Study of Knowledge Management in Education, USA

Lilly Nguyen Institute for the Study of Knowledge Management in Education, USA

Abstract

While the pressure of public accountability has placed increasing pressure on higher education institutions to provide information regarding critical outcomes, this chapter describes how knowledge management (KM) can be used by educational institutions to gain a more comprehensive, integrative, and reflexive understanding of the impact of information on their organizations. The practice of KM, initially derived from theory and practice in the business sector, has typically been used to address isolated data and information transfer, rather than actual systemwide change. However, higher education institutions should not simply appropriate KM strategies and practices as they have appeared in the business sector. Instead, higher education institutions should use KM to focus on longterm, organization-wide strategies.

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

Introduction

Knowledge management (KM) can be used by educational institutions to gain a more comprehensive, integrative, and reflexive understanding of the impact of information on their organizations. Specifically, the practice of KM, initially derived from theory and practice in the business sector as described in the previous chapter, provides a framework to illuminate and address organizational obstacles around issues of information use and access (Davenport, 1997; Friedman & Hoffman, 2001). Yet introducing the concept of KM into the educational arena from the business sector has been a slow and often underutilized process. This is partially due to the fact that KM is a multi-layered and systemsoriented process that requires organizations to rethink what they do and how they do it (Brown & Duguid, 2000; Senge, 1990). Additionally, educational institutions are traditionally hierarchical with silo-like functions, making crossfunctional initiatives difficult to implement (Friedman & Hoffman, 2001; Petrides, McClelland, & Nodine, 2004).

However, educational institutions can perhaps learn from KM efforts in the business sector, in terms of the limitations and drawbacks associated with KM. In fact, there are several compelling reasons why educational institutions have not, and perhaps should not, simply re-appropriate KM, as popularized by the business sector, into their own organizations. For example, in the business sector, there has been an appeal to focus on information technology and systems as solutions to problems of knowledge transfer and knowledge sharing (Hovland, 2003; Huysman & de Wit, 2004). Coupled with a profit motive, KM as it exists in the business sector is often limited in its ability to create farreaching organizational change (Hammer, Leonard, & Davenport 2004). Furthermore, recent trends in the field also fail to fully distinguish between data, information, and knowledge (Huysman & de Wit, 2002). Consequently, organizations merely address singular and isolated data and information transfer, rather than actual systemwide and organization-wide change.

These particular limitations are especially salient now as higher-education institutions face an increasing number of challenges that have forced them to rethink how they are accountable to external demands, as well as how to improve internal accountability. Rather than focus on micro-level information-sharing activities, implementing KM strategies and practices requires these educational institutions to examine the larger context of information sharing within the organization, specifically how their people, processes, and technol-

Copyright © 2006, Idea Group Inc. Copying or distributing in print or electronic forms without written permission of Idea Group Inc. is prohibited.

11 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: <u>www.igi-global.com/chapter/knowledge-</u> management-trends/24966

Related Content

Informed Design of Educational Activities in Online Learning Communities

Urban Carlénand Berner Lindström (2012). *Informed Design of Educational Technologies in Higher Education: Enhanced Learning and Teaching (pp. 118-134).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/informed-design-educational-activities-online/58383

Professional Development for the Online Teacher: An Authentic Approach

Jan Herringtonand Ron Oliver (2006). *Authentic Learning Environments in Higher Education (pp. 283-295).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/professional-development-online-teacher/5438

Community-University Engagement in an Electronically-Defined Era

Lois Ganderand Diane Rhyason (2011). *Higher Education, Emerging Technologies, and Community Partnerships: Concepts, Models and Practices (pp. 365-373).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/community-university-engagement-electronically-defined/54326

A Review of Literature and a Model for Scaffolding Asynchronous Student-Student Interaction in Online Discussion Forums

Kristin L. K. Koskeyand Susan N. Kushner Benson (2017). *Handbook of Research on Innovative Pedagogies and Technologies for Online Learning in Higher Education (pp. 263-280).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-review-of-literature-and-a-model-for-scaffolding-asynchronous-student-student-interaction-in-online-discussion-forums/174575

Using Multipoint Audio-Conferencing with Teaching Students: Balancing Technological Potential with Practical Challenges

Nick Pratt (2009). *Applied E-Learning and E-Teaching in Higher Education (pp. 290-309).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/using-multipoint-audio-conferencing-teaching/5166