

Chapter VI

A Successive Decision Tree Approach to Mining Remotely Sensed Image Data

Jianting Zhang

University of New Mexico, USA

Wieguo Liu

University of Toledo, USA

Le Gruenwald

University of Oklahoma, USA

ABSTRACT

Decision trees (DT) has been widely used for training and classification of remotely sensed image data due to its capability to generate human interpretable decision rules and its relatively fast speed in training and classification. This chapter proposes a successive decision tree (SDT) approach where the samples in the ill-classified branches of a previous resulting decision tree are used to construct a successive decision tree. The decision trees are chained together through pointers and used for classification. SDT aims at constructing more interpretable decision trees while attempting to improve classification accuracies. The proposed approach is applied to two real remotely sensed image datasets for evaluations in terms of classification accuracy and interpretability of the resulting decision rules.

INTRODUCTION

Compared with statistical and neural/connectionist approaches to classification of remotely sensed image data, decision trees (DT) have several advantages. First of all, there is no presumption

of data distribution in DT. Second, since DT adopts a divide-and-conquer strategy, it is fast in training and execution. Most importantly, the resulting classification rules are presented in a tree form. Paths from the root to leaf nodes can easily be transformed into decision rules (such as

if $a > 10$ and $b < 20$ then Class 3), which is suitable for human interpretation and evaluation. In the past years, DT has gained considerable research interests in analysis of remotely sensed image data, such as automated knowledge-base building from remote sensing and GIS data (Huang & Jensen, 1997), land cover classification (Friedl & Brodley, 1997), soil salinity analysis (Eklund, Kirkby, & Salim, 1998), change detection in an urban environment (Chan, Chan, & Yeh, 2001), building rule-based classification systems for remotely sensed images (Lawrence & Wright, 2001) and knowledge discovery from soil maps (Qi & Zhu, 2003). In particular, DT has been employed for global land cover classifications at 8km spatial resolution (De Fries, Hansen, Townshend, & Sohlberg, 1998) using NOAA AVHRR data. Interestingly, DT has also been adopted as the primary classification algorithm to generate global land cover maps from NASA MODIS data (Friedl et al., 2002) where spatial and radiometric attributes have been significantly improved.

In ideal situations, each leaf node contains a large number of samples, the majority of which belongs to one particular class called the dominating class of that leaf node. All samples to be classified that fall into a leaf node will be labeled as the dominating class of that leaf node. Thus the classification accuracy of a leaf node can be measured by the number of the actual samples of the dominating class over all the samples in its leaf node. However, when there are no dominating classes in the leaf nodes, class labels are assigned based on simple majority vote and, hence, the decision tree nodes have low classification accuracy.

While DT has gained considerable applications, the resulting decision trees from training datasets could be complex due to the complex relationship between features and classes. They are often the mixtures of the branches with high and low classification accuracies in an arbitrary manner and are difficult for human interpretation. In this study, we propose to apply DT multiple

times to a training dataset to construct more interpretable decision trees while attempting to improve classification accuracy. The basic idea is to keep classification branches of a resulting decision tree that have high classification accuracy while combining samples that are classified under branches with low classification accuracy into a new training dataset for further classifications. The process is carried out in a successive manner and we term our approach as successive decision tree (SDT). For notation purposes, we also term classic DT as CDT.

The heuristics behind the expectation that SDT can increase classification accuracy are based on the following observation. There are samples in a multi-class training dataset, although their patterns may be well perceived by human, they are small in sizes and are often assigned to various branches during the classification processes according to information entropy gain or gain ratio criteria. At some particular classification levels, the numbers of the samples may be below predefined thresholds in decision tree branches to be qualified as a decision tree leaf node with high classification accuracy, thus the splitting processes stop and they are treated as noises. On the other hand, if we combine these samples into a new dataset, since the distribution of the new dataset may be significantly different from the original one, meaningful classification rules may be derived in a new decision tree from the new dataset. By giving some samples a second chance to be correctly classified, the overall accuracy may be improved. The heuristics will be further illustrated through an example in “The Method” section.

The proposed SDT method is different from existing meta-learning approaches that are applied to DT, such as the boosting (Freund & Schapire, 1997) DT approach (Friedl, Brodley, & Strahler, 1999; Pal & Mather, 2003). Boosting DT gives higher weights to the samples that have been misclassified in a previous process but uses all the samples in all the classification processes. Boost-

13 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/successive-decision-tree-approach-mining/24903

Related Content

Dynamic Workload for Schema Evolution in Data Warehouses: A Performance Issue

Fadila Bentayeb, Cécile Favre and Omar Boussaid (2010). *Complex Data Warehousing and Knowledge Discovery for Advanced Retrieval Development: Innovative Methods and Applications* (pp. 28-46).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/dynamic-workload-schema-evolution-data/39586

Developing a Framework of Human Resource Management, Organizational Learning, Knowledge Management Capability, and Organizational Performance

Kijpokin Kasemsap (2015). *Knowledge Management for Competitive Advantage During Economic Crisis* (pp. 164-193).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/developing-a-framework-of-human-resource-management-organizational-learning-knowledge-management-capability-and-organizational-performance/117848

Ontology-Based Knowledge Capture and Sharing in Enterprise Organisations

Aba-Sah Dadzie, Victoria Uren and Fabio Ciravegna (2011). *Ontology Learning and Knowledge Discovery Using the Web: Challenges and Recent Advances* (pp. 200-225).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/ontology-based-knowledge-capture-sharing/53888

Built-In Indicators to Support Business Intelligence in OLAP Databases

Jérôme Cubillé, Christian Derquenne, Sabine Goutier, Françoise Guisnel, Henri Klajnmic and Véronique Cariou (2010). *Complex Data Warehousing and Knowledge Discovery for Advanced Retrieval Development: Innovative Methods and Applications* (pp. 108-127).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/built-indicators-support-business-intelligence/39590

Approaches to Sentiment Analysis on Product Reviews

Vishal Vyas and V. Uma (2019). *Sentiment Analysis and Knowledge Discovery in Contemporary Business* (pp. 15-30).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/approaches-to-sentiment-analysis-on-product-reviews/210960