

Chapter 2

Aerial and Remote Sensing Archaeology

Dimitris Kaimaris

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Charalampos Georgiadis

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Petros Patias

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Vasilis Tsioukas

Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

ABSTRACT

New techniques and methodological procedures, which would allow at a short time and at low cost for the identification of a new archaeological sites, were always in the interest of archaeologists. In addition, fast and accurate 3D modelling and documentation techniques were also always a hot research topic because they can help archeologists to document active excavation sites fast, thus helping them to advance the excavation in a timely manner. In this chapter, aerial and remote sensing archaeology issues will be analyzed, both as measuring tools for the documentation of existing archaeological structures as well as tools of archaeology prospection, which are based on the appearance of the reflection of covered structures in images (i.e., the so-called marks).

INTRODUCTION

Aerial and remote sensing imagery is being used for the documentation and 3d modelling of archaeological sites all over the world for the past decades. Furthermore, scientists are also using aerial and remote sensing imagery for the identification of potential buried archeological sites. The objective of this chapter is to familiarize the reader with the data, techniques and methodologies used for the 3d modelling, documentation and identification of archaeological sites using aerial and remote sensing imagery.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-2871-6.ch002

Aerial and Remote Sensing Archaeology

This objective is achieved by presenting an overview of the existing methodologies and by providing application examples that demonstrate the effectiveness of using aerial and remote sensing imagery in archaeology applications.

BACKGROUND

The first aerial photographs of known archaeological sites were made in the early 20th century by a balloon, with the photographs of the Roman Market excavations in Rome in 1899 by the archaeologist Giacomo Boni, and of the Stonehenge in southern England by Captain Sharpe (Guerra & Pilot, 2000; Driver, 2004; Bewley, 2003). Aerial photographs with a camera tied on the belly of a dove (Renfrew, 1996) took place in 1913 during excavations in Sudan by Sir Henry Wellcome.

The First World War marked the beginning of aerial archaeology, using the aircraft as a platform for air photographing of archaeological remains at Mount Sinai by German aviators.

During the 1920s the English archaeologist O.G.S. Crawford, founder of Aerial Archaeology, accidentally observed the formations of covered Celtic constructions in black and white aerial photographs of the Windmill Hill area (England). He was the first to suggest that the covered structures can be observed on aerial photographs, when the conditions permit it. In 1928, he conducted the first, extensive at that time, systematic aerial photography in the Wessex region, emphasizing on the ability of air identification and mapping of covered archaeological remains (Doneus, 1996; Bewley, 2003).

A covered monument can be a ‘compact structure’, e.g. the foundation of a building, or an ‘open structure’, for example an ancient trench. The interaction of covered monuments with the soil, or vegetation results in the appearance of traces. The types of traces and their intensity depend on the size, depth, and type of the covered monument, the air temperature, the soil, the amount of soil moisture, the type of soil coverage, the type of vegetation, the period and intensity of rainfall, etc. Therefore, different soil moisture and temperature, and, also, qualitative (height, density, color) and temperature difference in the vegetation are caused between the material covering the monument and the material on either side. These differences are recorded on aerial photographs, essentially revealing traces of covered structures (Figure 1).

In 1925, Antoine Poidebard, while presenting the identification of the ancient port in Lebanon, claimed that monuments at shallow depth beneath the sea can be detected in aerial photographs (Renfrew, 1996). In 1921-1922, Wells and McKinley mapped with the help of aerial photographs the monumental mounds of Cahokia near St. Louis (Illinois, USA). Reeves followed with the capture of vertical stereoscopic images in the same area. In 1930 new archaeological sites of the Mayas were identified from aerial photographs taken in Mexico by the Lindberghs (American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 1997).

After the Second World War systematic aerial archaeological researches were conducted both in Europe (mainly Italy, France, Austria, United Kingdom, Netherlands, Germany, Denmark, Sweden) and America (USA, Mexico, Canada) (American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing, 1997; Alvisi, 1963; American Society of Photogrammetry, 1983; Chevallier, 1963; Jalmain, 1963; Agache, 1963; Scollar, 1963; Brongers, 1963; Alfieri, 1963; Dassié, 1978). Consequently, the numerous detections of monuments, which were held in parallel with the evolution of imaging systems, led to the global acceptance of aerial archaeology in the early 1980s, as a basic archaeology prospection tool. The prolonged dry summers of the 1990s in England, allowed for the emergence of a large number of covered structures in Cherwell Valley Trail (England), North Oxford (Bewley, 2003; Featherstone, 1999).

23 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/aerial-and-remote-sensing-archaeology/248596

Related Content

Heritage Storytelling

Paola Falcone (2020). *Examining a New Paradigm of Heritage With Philosophy, Economy, and Education* (pp. 142-156).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/heritage-storytelling/257442

The Decreasing Fortune of the Drawing in the Architectural Processes: From Control and Development to Verification

Marco Carpiceciand Fabio Colonnese (2017). *Handbook of Research on Emerging Technologies for Digital Preservation and Information Modeling* (pp. 150-174).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-decreasing-fortune-of-the-drawing-in-the-architectural-processes/165620

Soundscaping

Michael Johansson (2018). *Enhancing Art, Culture, and Design With Technological Integration* (pp. 169-182).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/soundscaping/201642

Curating the Public Self: Helping Students Present an Authentic, Professional Persona via LinkedIn

Erin Trauth (2017). *Engaging 21st Century Writers with Social Media* (pp. 221-230).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/curating-the-public-self/163798

Anxiety of the Avatar: Relation of Character Design to Reception in Transmediatic Games

Tülin Sepetci, Tülin Candemirand Tülay Candemir (2019). *Handbook of Research on Transmedia Storytelling and Narrative Strategies* (pp. 109-147).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/anxiety-of-the-avatar/207426