


Chapter 6

Making Success: Researching a School District's Integration of the Maker Movement Into Its Middle and High School

Keith W. Trahan

University of Pittsburgh, USA


Renata de Almeida Ramos

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5649-9061>
University of Pittsburgh, USA

Jeffrey Zollars

University of Pittsburgh, USA

Wei Tang

 <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9981-4594>
University of Pittsburgh, USA

Stephanie Maietta Romero

University of Pittsburgh, USA

Cynthia A. Tananis

University of Pittsburgh, USA

ABSTRACT

Increasingly, the maker movement has been pointed to as a means of bringing more innovation and creativity into education. As an educational program, making has pressed educators to question entrenched beliefs and assumptions about the structure of activities, lessons, and classes, pushing them to embrace a more student and experience driven learning environment. “Making Success” was a two-year research project to investigate and describe the integration of making into one school district’s middle and high school. The starting point of the research was to learn and

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-7998-2517-3.ch006

Making Success

describe the critical characteristics and capacities that allowed TRSD to integrate making so deeply into its secondary schools. A key lesson of the project was that many interconnected ideas and people played important roles in the initiative to bring about success.

INTRODUCTION

Making is one of the more recent initiatives espoused as a potential remedy for the persisting challenges of the United States of America (U.S) schools. Educators, researchers, and policy makers have initiated countless efforts to improve U.S. education and workforce development in Science Technology Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) (Cuban, 2018; Elmore, 2000). Increasingly, the maker movement has been implemented in U.S. schools to provide learning opportunities that spark student interest in STEM. As a teaching practice, *making* is a set of activities that uses high and low technologies to design, construct, test, and revise objects while integrating a range of disciplines (Bevan, 2017; Halverson & Sheridan, 2014; Peppler & Bender, 2013). Making has pressed educators to question entrenched beliefs and assumptions about the structure of activities, lessons, and classes, pushing them to embrace a more student and experience driven learning environment.

Found in both formal and informal education settings, makerspaces are the material representation of the Maker Movement. Makerspaces house the resources used to foster and support making. The Fabrication Laboratory (Fab Lab), from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), is perhaps the most often cited example of a makespace, particularly regarding the promotion of high end technology (e.g., 3D printers, laser cutters, etc.). Nonetheless, a makespace can be any space where making is promoted.

Although making has become well accepted in U.S. education, the maker movement is not without critics. In the U.S, making is often depicted in its most narrow and branded version as mostly a pursuit of white, middle-class, male hobbyists with an abundance of technical knowhow, experience, and resources to create an array of artifacts (Barton, Tan, & Greenberg, 2017; Vossoughi, Hooper, & Escude, 2016). Critics have also cited a number of difficulties and challenges related to making: the considerable time it takes for educators to learn how to use the technology in the makerspace; how to connect making to the curriculum in a meaningful way; how to address constant battles with technology (e.g. troubleshooting) and students' misuses of technology; the transdisciplinary nature of making (e.g. some teachers may feel comfortable with some overlapping of crafting and computing, but others don't); and questions about how to assess making, especially for projects that are not finished within timeframes that work for the school or that fail altogether (Stevenson et al., 2019; Fields et al, 2017; Resnick & Rosenbaum, 2013).

32 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/making-success/248251

Related Content

Motivating Inquiry-Based Learning Through a Combination of Physical and Virtual Computer-Based Laboratory Experiments in High School Science

Niwat Srisawasdi (2018). *K-12 STEM Education: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 704-730).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/motivating-inquiry-based-learning-through-a-combination-of-physical-and-virtual-computer-based-laboratory-experiments-in-high-school-science/190127

Use of STEM Intervention Teaching Scenarios to Investigate Students' Attitudes Toward STEM Professions and Their Self-Evaluation of STEM Subjects

Georgios Kalemis, Sarantos Psycharis and Georgios K. Zacharis (2022). *Handbook of Research on Integrating ICTs in STEAM Education* (pp. 344-360).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/use-of-stem-intervention-teaching-scenarios-to-investigate-students-attitudes-toward-stem-professions-and-their-self-evaluation-of-stem-subjects/304854

A Novel Strategy to Improve STEM Education: The E-Science Approach

Samar I. Swaid (2015). *STEM Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1215-1226).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-novel-strategy-to-improve-stem-education/121898

A Comparative Study on Undergraduate Computer Science Education between China and the United States

Eric P. Jiang (2015). *STEM Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 918-933).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-comparative-study-on-undergraduate-computer-science-education-between-china-and-the-united-states/121881

A Theoretical and Methodological Approach to Examine Young Learners' Cognitive Engagement in Science Learning

Meng-Fang Tsai and Syh-Jong Jang (2018). *K-12 STEM Education: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice* (pp. 763-784).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-theoretical-and-methodological-approach-to-examine-young-learners-cognitive-engagement-in-science-learning/190129