

IGI PUBLISHING

701 E. Chocolate Avenue, Suite 200, Hershey PA 17033-1240, USA Tel: 717/533-8845; Fax 717/533-8661; URL-http://www.igi-global.com

This paper appears in the publication, Intelligent Complex Adaptive Systems edited by A. Yang and Y. Shan © 2008, IGI Global

Chapter IV

Concept and Definition of Complexity

Russell K. Standish, UNSW, Australia

Abstract

The term complexity is used informally both as a quality and as a quantity. As a quality, complexity has something to do with our ability to understand a system or object—we understand simple systems, but not complex ones. On another level, complexity is used as a quantity when we talk about something being more complicated than another. In this chapter, we explore the formalisation of both meanings of complexity, which happened during the latter half of the twentieth century.

Introduction: Is Complexity a Quality or a Quantity?

The term *complexity* has two distinct usages, which may be categorised simply as either a quality or a quantity. We often speak of complex systems as being a particular class of systems that are difficult to study using traditional analytic techniques. We have in mind that biological organisms and ecosystems are *complex*, yet systems like a pendulum, or a lever are simple. Complexity as a *quality* is therefore what makes the systems complex.

However, we may also speak of complexity as a quantity—with statements like a human being being more complex than a nematode worm, for example. Under such usage, complex and simple systems form a continuum, characterised by the chosen complexity measure.

Edmonds (1999) performed a comprehensive survey of complexity measures as part of his PhD thesis, however it has not been updated to include measures proposed since that time. However, it remains the most comprehensive resource of complexity measures available to date.

The aim of this chapter is not to provide a catalogue of complexity measures, but rather to select key measures and show how they interrelate with each other within an overarching information theoretic framework.

Complexity as a Quantity

We have an intuitive notion of complexity as a quantity; we often speak of something being more or less complex than something else. However, capturing what we mean by complexity in a formal way has proved far more difficult, than other more familiar quantities we use, such as length, area, and mass.

In these more conventional cases, the quantities in question prove to be decomposable in a linear way (i.e., a 5 cm length can be broken into 5 equal parts 1 cm long) and they can also be directly compared—a mass can be compared with a standard mass by comparing the weights of the two objects on a balance. 18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/conceptdefinition-complexity/24185

Related Content

A Conceptual Framework Enabling Assessment of Software Flexibility in Business

Stephen L. Dyer (2003). *Adaptive Evolutionary Information Systems (pp. 287-305).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/conceptual-framework-enabling-assessment-software/4224

Systems of Communication: Information, Explanation, and Imagination

Peter Murphy (2011). International Journal of Knowledge and Systems Science (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/systems-communication-information-explanationimagination/54734

Exploring the Enterprise Value of Wikis through Media Choice Theories

Christian Wagner, Andreas Schroeder, Wing Wongand Anna Shum (2012). *Systems Approaches to Knowledge Management, Transfer, and Resource Development (pp. 216-227).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/exploring-enterprise-value-wikis-through/68220

Implementation of FastSLAM2.0 on an Embedded System and HIL Validation using Different Sensors Data

Abouzahir Mohamed, Elouardi Abdelhafid, Bouaziz Samir, Latif Rachidand Tajer Abdelouahed (2015). *International Journal of Adaptive, Resilient and Autonomic Systems* (pp. 88-116).

www.irma-international.org/article/implementation-of-fastslam20-on-an-embedded-system-and-hilvalidation-using-different-sensors-data/167723

A Lyapunov Theory-Based Neural Network Approach for Face Recognition

Li-Minn Ang, King Hann Lim, Kah Phooi Sengand Siew Wen Chin (2010). *Intelligent Systems for Automated Learning and Adaptation: Emerging Trends and Applications (pp. 23-48).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/lyapunov-theory-based-neural-network/38449