Chapter 109 Achievable or Ambitious? A Comparative and Critical View of Government 3.0 in Korea

Taewoo Nam

Sungkyunkwan University, South Korea

ABSTRACT

Government 3.0 emerged as a new paradigm of the government workings in Korea. The previous administration's (2013–2017) strong pledge for public sector reform through the Government 3.0 initiative envisions a transparent, competent, and service-oriented government. The paper, with comparison of the Government 3.0 initiative with Government 2.0 as a precedent paradigm and national initiatives of other countries, discusses what kind of challenges the initiative faces and how the government could overcome the challenges. Government 3.0 seems like a policy package of diverse programs. Novel is how the policy package is labeled rather than what the substance is. The initiative delivers normative messages to public employees. Prioritizing quantitative transparency may cause such a side effect as extra tasks of public employees and failure in guaranteeing information security and accuracy. Since a policy package differs and varies with the administration and political parties, what the initiative sheds light on may not last long after the presidential term.

INTRODUCTION

Government 3.0 emerged as a new paradigm of the government workings in Korea. The previous administration's (2013–2017) strong pledge for public sector reform through the Government 3.0 initiative envisions a transparent, competent, and service-oriented government. The initiative underpins four core values for better government and administration: openness, sharing, communication, and collaboration. These values are of growing importance to the global society such as Open Government Partnership (see http://www.opengovpartnership.org/), and thus Government 3.0 in Korea deserves keen attention from the global society.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-9860-2.ch109

The attention includes both hopeful expectations and also concerns. In particular, concerns of academics, journalists, ordinary citizens, and even public employees have generated harsh critiques on real effects of the Government 3.0 initiative. Its deliverables are not actually feasible, since it puts too much into a single five-year term of the Korean presidency. One may say that Government 3.0 at best is a symbol of the prime national policy directive overarching many public programs. The rhetorical use of Government 3.0 as a magic bullet merits discussion with the global audience, who is interested in opportunities and challenges that this kind of government reform makes. With regard to this national initiative, there are an increasing number of commentaries but only few pieces of academic research readable to the global audience. This article takes a close and deep look at what concerns inherited in the national initiative are and how the global society could learn from the Korean case.

The paper is structured into six sections, including the foregoing introduction. The next section discusses how the Korean government describes Government 3.0. The subsequent three sections compare the Government 3.0 initiative with Government 2.0 as a precedence of Government 3.0 and similar national initiatives (Digital Europe 2030 and the U.S. Open Government Initiative). Each comparative study addresses what concerns of the Government 3.0 initiative are and how the concerns could be overcome in a comparative standpoint. The final section concludes this comparative and critical study.

GOVERNMENT 3.0 IN KOREA

According to the National Information Society Agency of Korea (2013), future governments are expected to advance toward Government 3.0 as a customized and intelligent government using Semantic Web technology (a smart web that thinks for itself), which "enables computers to define, understand, and logically deduct the meaning of information, further to help better search of requested information" (National Information Society Agency, 2008). The Korean government defined Government 3.0 as a "Semantic Web-based government that personalizes all government services according to the conditions and preferences of each individual" (National Information Society Agency, 2008). This earlier definition constrained Government 3.0 to adoption of new technological potentials, but the Park Geun-Hye administration of Korea (2013–2017) embraces it as a new paradigm and umbrella initiative for public sector reform, as addressed in her speech: "Government 3.0 is a new paradigm for government operation to promote active sharing of public information and removal of barriers existing among government ministries for better collaboration" (see http://www.gov30.go.kr).

As shown in Table 1, the Park administration envisioned Government 3.0 as a paradigmatic transition. The Government 3.0 initiative aims at two goals: providing services customized for and tailored

Table 1. Korean e-government paradigms

Government 1.0 (1995–2000)	Government 2.0 (2005–2010)	Government 3.0 (2015–2020)
World Wide Web	• Web 2.0	Real-World Web
• First stop	One stop	Customized portal
One-way service	Bilateral interaction	Customized intelligent service
• Time and place restrictions for services	Mobile services	Seamless services anytime and anywhere

Note: Adapted from the homepage of Government $3.0\ (http://www.gov30.go.kr)$.

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/achievable-or-ambitious/235284

Related Content

Refoulement and Refugees

Jasper MacLennan Sugars (2017). Defending Human Rights and Democracy in the Era of Globalization (pp. 181-197).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/refoulement-and-refugees/166649

Overcoming Obstacles: The Creation of the Very First Art Museum in Portugal in the 19th Century

Emília Ferreira (2017). Handbook of Research on the Facilitation of Civic Engagement through Community Art (pp. 412-433).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/overcoming-obstacles/172994

Look for the Helpers: Engaging College Men Through Productive Masculinity

Kyle Carpenter Ashlee, Keenan Colquittand Joshua Quinn (2021). *Handbook of Research on Leading Higher Education Transformation With Social Justice, Equity, and Inclusion (pp. 156-169).*www.irma-international.org/chapter/look-for-the-helpers/282831

The Pervasive and the Digital: Immersive Worlds in Four Interactive Artworks

Daniel Paul O'Brien (2020). Handbook of Research on Recent Developments in Internet Activism and Political Participation (pp. 69-85).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-pervasive-and-the-digital/257816

The Development of the Teacher Social Justice Advocacy for Children Scale

Danah Barazanji, Johanna E. Nilssonand Anum Khalid (2023). *Handbook of Research on Solutions for Equity and Social Justice in Education (pp. 107-122).*

www.irma-international.org/chapter/the-development-of-the-teacher-social-justice-advocacy-for-children-scale/319765