

Chapter 2

Dynamic Modeling and Control Techniques for a Quadrotor

Heba Elkholy

American University in Cairo, Egypt

Maki K. Habib

American University in Cairo, Egypt

ABSTRACT

This chapter presents the detailed dynamic model of a Vertical Take-Off and Landing (VTOL) type Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV) known as the quadrotor. The mathematical model is derived based on Newton Euler formalism. This is followed by the development of a simulation environment on which the developed model is verified. Four control algorithms are developed to control the quadrotor's degrees of freedom: a linear PID controller, Gain Scheduling-based PID controller, nonlinear Sliding Mode, and Backstepping controllers. The performances of these controllers are compared through the developed simulation environment in terms of their dynamic performance, stability, and the effect of possible disturbances.

INTRODUCTION

In the past decade, a lot of researchers are now focusing on developing miniature flying objects due to the recent advances in technologies and the emergence of miniature sensors and actuators depending on MEMS and NEMS. The developed miniature flying objects can be used in a broad set of applications ranging from military to civil ones. The reason for choosing quadrotors over other UAVs to be the focus of our work is their advantages over their counterparts due to the presence of four separately powered propellers thus giving the quadrotors a higher payload and better maneuverability. Also, their VTOL and hovering capabilities make them good candidates for surveillance and monitoring tasks and for the use in small spaces. The quadrotors control research field is still facing a lot of challenges; this is due to the fact that the quadrotor is a highly nonlinear, multivariable and underactuated system [Hou et al. (2010)]. Underactuated systems are those having a less number of control inputs compared to the system's degrees of freedom. They are very difficult to control due to the nonlinear coupling between

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-8365-3.ch002

the actuators and the degrees of freedom [Kim et al. (2010)]. Although the most common flight control algorithms found in literature are linear flight controllers, these controllers can only perform when the quadrotor is flying around hover, they suffer from huge performance degradation whenever the quadrotor leaves the nominal conditions or performs aggressive maneuvers [Kendoul (2012)].

STATE OF THE ART

Controlling the degrees of freedom of the quadrotor can be done through various control algorithms which vary from the classical linear Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) or Proportional-Derivative (PD) controller to more complex nonlinear schemes such as backstepping or sliding mode controllers. Starting with the linear control algorithms; Bouabdallah et al. applied a PID and LQ controllers on an indoor micro quadrotor. The performance of the two controllers was comparable in stabilizing the attitude of the quadrotor around its hover position and under the effect of little disturbances [Bouabdallah et al. (2004)]. Li and Li used the classical PID to control the position and orientation of a quadrotor and it was able to stabilize in a low speed wind environment [Li & Li (2011)]. Simulation based results showed that Yang et al. were able to control the attitude and heading of a quadrotor using a self-tuning PID controller based on adaptive pole placement [Yang et al. (2013)]. Raffo et al. used an H_∞ controller to stabilize the rotational angles and a Model Predictive Controller (MPC) to track the desired position of a quadrotor. The effect of wind and model uncertainties was added to the simulated model and it performed robustly with a zero steady-state error [Raffo et al. (2010)].

In order to employ a linear controller to control a nonlinear system like that of the quadrotor, the system's nonlinearities can be modeled as a collection of simplified linear systems and for each system a separate controller can be designed, this is the concept of gain scheduling and it is commonly used in flight controllers. Gillula et al. divided the state space model of a STARMAC quadrotor to a set of simple hybrid modes and this approach enabled the quadrotor to carry out aerobatic maneuvers [Gillula et al. (2011)]. Ataka et al. used gain scheduling on a linearized model of the quadrotor around some equilibrium points and tested the controllability and observability of the resulting system [Ataka et al. (2013)]. Amoozgar et al. compared the performance of a conventional PID controller to that of a gain scheduled PID controller with its parameters tuned using a fuzzy logic based inference scheme. The gain scheduled PID controller outperformed the conventional PID controller when the system was tested under actuator fault conditions [Amoozgar et al. (2012)]. In load dropping applications, Sadeghzadeh et al. found that a gain scheduled PID controller was able to stabilize the system during the dropping operation [Sadeghzadeh et al. (2012)].

Moving to the nonlinear flight control algorithms, Bouabdallah and Siegwart compared the performance of a backstepping and sliding mode control algorithms to the performance of that of linear PID and LQ controllers in their prior work. They found that nonlinear controllers gave better performance in the presence of disturbances [Bouabdallah & Siegwart (2005)]. Waslander et al. compared the performance of an integral sliding mode controller to that of a reinforcement learning controller to stabilize a quadrotor in an outdoors environment. It was found that, both of the implemented control techniques were able to stabilize the quadrotor and gave a better performance over the classical control algorithms [Waslander et al. (2005)]. Madani and Benallegue used a backstepping controller based on Lyapunov stability theory to track desired values for the quadrotor's position and orientation. They divided the quadrotor model into 3 subsystems: underactuated, fully-actuated and propeller subsystems. Their proposed algorithm

45 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/dynamic-modeling-and-control-techniques-for-a-quadrotor/226824

Related Content

Airline Hangars Balanced Manpower Utilization: A Simulation Approach

Bazargan Massoud and Xiaoxu Chen (2016). *International Journal of Aviation Systems, Operations and Training* (pp. 49-58).

www.irma-international.org/article/airline-hangars-balanced-manpower-utilization/176724

Report and Commentary on the Go-Around Safety Forum

Kevin M. Smith (2014). *International Journal of Aviation Systems, Operations and Training* (pp. 60-65).

www.irma-international.org/article/report-and-commentary-on-the-go-around-safety-forum/111992

Online Engagement: From Public Relations Perspectives

Sevilay Ula (2024). *Harnessing Digital Innovation for Air Transportation* (pp. 62-85).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/online-engagement-from-public-relations-perspectives/340955

A Simulation Approach to Enhancing Aircraft Availability

Massoud Bazargan, Ken Byrnes, Ali Mazhar, Adedoyin Adewumi and Qing Liu (2014). *International Journal of Aviation Systems, Operations and Training* (pp. 44-50).

www.irma-international.org/article/a-simulation-approach-to-enhancing-aircraft-availability/111990

Electronic Countermeasures in the British Air War over Europe during World War II

Stephen John Curran (2014). *International Journal of Aviation Systems, Operations and Training* (pp. 55-63).

www.irma-international.org/article/electronic-countermeasures-in-the-british-air-war-over-europe-during-world-war-ii/138609