Chapter 15 Modeling the Ranking of Evaluation Criteria for Cloud Services: The Government Organization Perspective in India

Kshitij Kushagra Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, India

Sanjay Dhingra Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, India

ABSTRACT

The cloud market has become increasingly dense as vendors of all size compete for customers who have gravitated to technology as a way to run their operations. Today traditional players, as well as new vendors, are showing greater agility in the cloud business of India. At present, there are limited industry standards for the cloud-related businesses to assess their services. End-user government departments are puzzled as to which CSP is best suited for their requirements. An evaluation of cloud service providers should not only be driven by cost, but importance should also be given towards service provider ability and commitment to deliver the services. The absence of a common framework to assess the matter of CSP selection. This article proposes a framework for ranking of evaluation criteria for CSPs. The framework leverages the capability analysis of CSPs, measurable metrics and ranks the evaluation criteria for assessing the best CSP suitable for end-user government organizations.

INTRODUCTION

At present in India where the government wants everything to be digital by default in its ambitious 'Digital India' programme, there is drive in the government organizations to utilize and harness the benefits of cloud. A favorable cloud first policy, digital savvy government, huge IT talent pool can drive India to

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-8176-5.ch015

Modeling the Ranking of Evaluation Criteria for Cloud Services

the hot seat of cloud. The cloud landscape in India has a promising future. The Figure 1 illustrates the forecast for India public cloud services.

However, the journey to the cloud is not straightforward. With many dissimilarities and variants of cloud services, procurement of cloud is a confusing task. The cloud computing presents a complex scenario to the end user departments with the issues related to technology, security issues, data hosting, business models and pricing mechanism making it even more complicated. Cloud service providers have large number of baffling attributes and characteristics which is difficult for the end user departments to assess and evaluate.

Also, as the user base for the cloud adoption is increasing in the government departments, therefore to meet the requirements newer CSPs are making entry into the cloud market. The concern is that not all of them are first hand owners of cloud infrastructure and lacks inherent capability of a true CSP. In such cases it becomes difficult for the end user departments to gauze the capabilities of these new middleman or distributor who are selling the customized cloud services. Also, many organizations are seeking the validation of their strategy and need assistance in finalizing the evaluation criteria for CSPs. End user departments tend to struggle with this dilemma while finalizing the procurement. As per Bardsiri and Hashemi (2014) cloud providers offers similar products at different cost and different capabilities making it difficult for end user to make proper cloud selection. Duan (2017) stated that different cloud services are offered with different terminologies resulting in opacity and blurred picture for cloud services. As per Liu et al. (2016) the criteria parameters for cloud services are complex in nature which results in digression of cloud services. Therefore, in the absence of a common framework to assess the capabilities of CSPs, it becomes difficult for the end user departments to select the right CSP meeting their requirements with the underlying fact that no two CSPs are same. Even though the end user department is aware of the service characteristics, but is not confident of final checklist of evaluation criteria and which criteria are the most important ones. It is the need of the hour that a comprehensive framework for identification and ranking of evaluation criteria for CSPs, may be devised which an end user department can utilize to assess the right CSP suitable for its cloud requirements.

With this objective, this paper tries to identify and rank the evaluation criteria on which a CSP can be assessed with respect to the services offered, inherent capabilities and services metrics measurement.



Figure 1. Public cloud services market value in India (millions of USD) (Source: Statista 2018)

18 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/modeling-the-ranking-of-evaluation-criteria-forcloud-services/224580

Related Content

On Polyglot Programming in the Web

Juhana Harmanenand Tommi Mikkonen (2016). *Modern Software Engineering Methodologies for Mobile and Cloud Environments (pp. 102-119).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/on-polyglot-programming-in-the-web/144467

Fog Computing Quality of Experience: Review and Open Challenges

William Tichaona Vambe (2023). *International Journal of Fog Computing (pp. 1-16).* www.irma-international.org/article/fog-computing-quality-of-experience/317110

A Holistic View on Blockchain and Its Issues

Mohd Azeem Faizi Noor, Saba Khanum, Taushif Anwarand Manzoor Ansari (2021). *Blockchain Applications in IoT Security (pp. 21-44).* www.irma-international.org/chapter/a-holistic-view-on-blockchain-and-its-issues/261878

Chemometrics: From Data Preprocessing to Fog Computing

Gerard G. Dumancas, Ghalib Bello, Jeff Hughes, Renita Murimi, Lakshmi Viswanath, Casey O. Orndorff, Glenda Fe G. Dumancas, Jacy O'Dell, Prakash Ghimireand Catherine Setijadi (2019). *International Journal of Fog Computing (pp. 1-42).*

www.irma-international.org/article/chemometrics/219359

Feedback-Based Fuzzy Resource Management in IoT-Based-Cloud

Basetty Mallikarjuna (2020). International Journal of Fog Computing (pp. 1-21). www.irma-international.org/article/feedback-based-fuzzy-resource-management-in-iot-based-cloud/245707