

Chapter 19

Interactive Whiteboards: Preparing Secondary Mathematics Teachers to Avoid Catch-22

Tracy Goodson-Espy
Appalachian State University, USA

Lisa Poling
Appalachian State University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter examines the literature on the use of Interactive Whiteboards (IWBs) in secondary mathematics instruction and notes barriers and achievements. The chapter links the use of IWBs to models for teaching Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). Specifically, it proposes ways in which pre-service secondary mathematics teachers can be prepared to use IWBs to help their students develop understanding of critical mathematics ideas while engaging with worthwhile mathematical tasks and engaging in meaningful discourse.

INTRODUCTION

In Joseph Heller's (1961) novel, *Catch-22*, World War II pilots were confronted with a paradoxical problem:

There was only one catch and that was Catch-22, which specified that a concern for one's own safety in the face of dangers that were real and immediate was the process of a rational mind. Orr was crazy and could be grounded. All he had to do was ask; and as soon as he did, he would no longer be crazy and would have to fly more missions. Orr would be crazy to fly more missions and sane if he didn't, but if he was sane, he had to fly them. If he flew them, he was crazy and didn't have to; but if he didn't want to, he was sane and had to. (p. 52)

The paradox in *Catch-22* appears to be equally applicable in modern educational technology. A new technology appears and early adopters promise wonderful changes for those who engage with the new tool, including improvements in student achievement. In order for the new tool to work as advertised,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7918-2.ch019

however, the adopters need professional development on how to use the tool properly, and new curriculum materials that take advantage of its capabilities. Unfortunately, funding for such professional development and for new curriculum materials requires demonstrating that the use of the new tool yields measurable gains in student achievement—Catch-22. Thus, a challenge in secondary mathematics teacher education is how to maintain focus on educating teachers to use any technological tools long enough, and with careful enough attention to proper implementation, that any possible benefits can be measured and attributed to use of the tools. How can we develop a measurable framework for evaluating teachers' abilities to use a particular technology for pedagogical purposes before the educational establishment's attention is drawn elsewhere? As Chamblee (2013) points out, implementation of any new technology in the mathematics classroom may be subject to troublesome patterns of implementation and use that have been observed with earlier technologies.

Preparing secondary mathematics teachers requires a long process. In addition to mastering a large volume of mathematical content, usually accomplished by completing a bachelor's degree in mathematics or mathematics education, candidates must also learn a sizeable amount of material pertaining to general and subject-specific pedagogy and must also learn how to use technology effectively in teaching. Each of these topics has spawned exceedingly large areas of educational research: mathematical knowledge for teaching; pedagogical content knowledge; technological pedagogical content knowledge, and others. The dilemma for teachers of pre-service secondary mathematics methods courses is that there is a very limited amount of instructional time to initiate candidates into the profession, especially when one considers the amount of time dedicated within methods courses for internships and field experiences, while there is a vast amount of information to be shared and many professional skills to develop. The challenge becomes how to decide what topics can best be conveyed to novice teachers within the limited timeframes and how to model the types of instructional decisions and actions that one wishes to see teacher candidates enact in their future classrooms. This chapter will: 1) review relevant literature concerning the use of IWBs in teaching secondary mathematics; 2) make connections to the literature concerning Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK); and 3) make recommendations for specific instructional strategies to be used with IWBs and related technologies in secondary mathematics methods courses to better prepare secondary mathematics teacher candidates to use IWBs to teach mathematics more effectively and with the aim of increasing student engagement with the mathematics content. These recommendations can be categorized by focusing on critical ideas and skills, focusing on constructivist interpretations of technological pedagogical content knowledge, and focusing on specific examples of high-quality technology-based, or IWB mathematics lessons.

LITERATURE CONCERNING THE USE OF IWBS IN TEACHING SECONDARY MATHEMATICS

Interactive whiteboards (IWBs), or SMART Boards™, are electronic whiteboards that allow computer images to be displayed on the board using a digital projector. IWBs allow the user to manipulate images and elements on the board by using a finger or stylus. IWBs come with software that allows the instructor to develop lessons that have capabilities such as hiding or revealing content, dragging and dropping lesson elements, manipulation of objects created in other software, animations, converting handwritten notes into text that can be saved, and various multimedia features (De Vita, Verschaffel, & Elen, 2014). In the United States, the National Center for Education Statistics reported that teachers reported having

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/interactive-whiteboards/220854

Related Content

Emerging Technologies in Education: Artificial Intelligence, Machine Learning, Virtual Reality, and IoT in Educational Environment

Alejandro Fuentes-Penna, Raúl Gómez-Cárdenas, Anayeli Silva Aguilar, Ricardo A. Barrera-Cámara, Aristeo Castro-Rascón and Oscar D. Hernández González (2025). *Revolutionizing Pedagogy Through Smart Education* (pp. 63-78).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/emerging-technologies-in-education/369445

Antecedents of Instructor Intention to Continue Using E-Learning Systems in Higher Learning Institutions in Tanzania: The Influence of System Quality and Service Quality

Deogratius Mathew Lashayo and Julius Raphael Athman Mhina (2021). *International Journal of Technology-Enabled Student Support Services* (pp. 1-16).

www.irma-international.org/article/antecedents-of-instructor-intention-to-continue-using-e-learning-systems-in-higher-learning-institutions-in-tanzania/308461

An Integrated Model to Assess EFL Learners' Online Learning Behaviour

Tiantian Wu (2023). *International Journal of Technology-Enhanced Education* (pp. 1-17).

www.irma-international.org/article/an-integrated-model-to-assess-efl-learners-online-learning-behaviour/323453

PAUSE: Putting It All Together

(2024). *Providing Writing Feedback in Online Teaching and Learning: The PAUSE Framework* (pp. 148-159).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/pause/338238

Edu-ACoCM: Automatic Co-existing Concept Mining from Educational Content

Maitri Maulik Jhaveri and Jyoti Pareek (2019). *International Journal of Technology-Enabled Student Support Services* (pp. 16-40).

www.irma-international.org/article/edu-acocm/236072