

Chapter 5

How Communication Technologies Function as Platforms and Pathways to Civic and Political Engagement: An Agenda for Research and Public Policy

Christopher McCarthy-Latimer
Framingham State University, USA

J. Richard Kendrick, Jr.
State University of New York College at Cortland, USA

ABSTRACT

This paper is an assessment of what we know empirically about how communication technologies are being used by young people (typically defined as those between the ages of 18 and 29) as both platforms and pathways for civic and political engagement. An overview of existing research is utilized as the basis for this investigation. Research on this topic is limited in several ways, including its failure to acknowledge the distinction between individuals who are engaged merely by using communication technologies (technology as a platform for participation) versus those who are engaged beyond the exclusive use of communication technologies (technology as a pathway for participation). Understanding this distinction can better enable scholars, policy-makers, and practitioners to develop inclusive strategies for engaging young people. The authors' analysis reveals that recent research demonstrates that new technologies can serve as both platforms and pathways for civic and political engagement, and, with this distinction in mind, they provide recommendations to policy- and decision-makers and scholars interested in this issue.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7669-3.ch005

INTRODUCTION

Scholars continue to examine how communication technologies such as the telephone, television, and the Internet, including social media, influence the ways citizens interact with each other, civic organizations and the government. Each new invention raises the same question in the extant literatures: are the latest communication technologies complementing, enhancing or detracting from civic and political activities? The Internet has facilitated protests, such as Occupy Wall Street and the Arab Spring, as individuals participating have relied on technology-based social media to connect with one another, develop strategies and tactics, and mobilize. The study of the *potential* impact of communication technologies on levels of engagement has often predicted falling rates of political participation and declining involvement in civic organizations, especially among young people (Flanagan and Levine 2010; Levine, 2007; Putnam, 2000, 2004). Robert Putnam (2000, 2004) argues that this occurrence is associated with a decline of social capital, which he defines as the structures of social composition such as the networks, standards, and trust that make possible coordination and teamwork for mutual value. He discovered that involvement in civic associations, local affairs, churches, schools and unions, time being with family, friends and neighbors, and charitable donating have all declined. Underlying his analysis is the assumption that engagement, whether civic or political, will enhance levels of social trust and efficacy in citizenship, thereby strengthening our democracy.

Since the arrival of the World Wide Web in the late 1990s, the “Millennial Generation” or “Generation Z” (Campione, 2014; Linden, 2015; Turner, 2015) has been at the center of the technological landscape. This generation of young people has been at the ground floor of new technology such as instant messaging, peer-to-peer file swapping, and social networking. As increasing numbers of young people use communication technologies to express themselves creatively and to connect with others, it would seem that the potential for increasing their levels of engagement strengthens (Bess and Bartolini, 2011; Ignatius and Hechanova, 2014; Young and Hinesly, 2012). These activities are part of what is called “Web 2.0,” and include online applications that facilitate interactive information sharing and user-centered design such as blogs and social networking sites such as Facebook and Twitter (Harrison and Barthel, 2009). Some recent evidence from the 2008 and 2012 Presidential campaigns suggests that youth engagement may be improving (Brewer, 2015; Brubaker, Horning, and Toula, 2015; Marcelo and Kirby, 2008). The potential of communication technologies to affect the civic and political engagement of young people may be at a tipping point and is ready for closer examination. The time is right to see if Putnam, and others, are correct – are new technologies drawing young people away from engagement, or are those technologies serving to connect young people and draw them into civic and political participation?

BACKGROUND

There is general agreement that the unprecedented growth in society’s use of communication technologies has the potential to transform our civic and political existence (Kruikemeier et al., 2014; Lynch, 2011; Polat, 2005), but few researchers agree about the nature of this change (Davis, 2005; Kruikemeier, 2014; Towner, 2013).

One group believes that communication technologies have a positive impact on civic engagement in general (Farnham et al., 2013; Tseng and Hsieh, 2015; Warren, Sulaiman, and Jaafar, 2014) and political engagement (Shogan, 2010; Mossberger, and Tolbert, 2010; Mossberger, Tolbert, and McNeal, 2008;

25 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/how-communication-technologies-function-as-platforms-and-pathways-to-civic-and-political-engagement/220202

Related Content

What Does E- add to Democracy?: Designing an Agenda for Democracy Theory in the Information Age

Patricia Mindus (2016). *Politics and Social Activism: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1408-1429).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/what-does-e--add-to-democracy/140035

From PWI to HBCU: When the Oppressed Takes on the Characteristics of the Oppressor

Karen H. Brown (2022). *Research Anthology on Racial Equity, Identity, and Privilege* (pp. 90-104).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/from-pwi-to-hbcu/296936

Capital Account Liberalization and Capital Movement in China

Badar Alam Iqbal, Nida Rahman and Mohd Nayyer Rahman (2021). *Journal of Comparative Asian Development* (pp. 63-78).

www.irma-international.org/article/capital-account-liberalization-and-capital-movement-in-china/272584

Engaging Social Movements in Developing Innovative Retail Business Models

Roberta Sebastiani and Francesca Montagnini (2016). *Politics and Social Activism: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1116-1130).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/engaging-social-movements-in-developing-innovative-retail-business-models/140018

Operational Risk Disclosure Practices in Emerging Economies: A Study of Indian Banks

Harshmeeta Kaur Soni and Muneesh Kumar (2020). *Civic Engagement in Social and Political Constructs* (pp. 172-195).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/operational-risk-disclosure-practices-in-emerging-economies/247631