

Chapter 10

Conclusions and Recommendations

ABSTRACT

But having said all that, we are not there yet, and 36 detailed recommendations follow. The authors believe there is something in these recommendations for many interest groups and individuals: feminists, gender theorists, and researchers; governments, policymakers, and taxpayers; parents, educators (primary, secondary, trade, and tertiary), the media and content developers; individual activists and advocates, traditional women in IT associations and their sponsors and supporters, and new social media-style women in tech associations and specialist groups; employers and recruiters; and above all, the individual women and men in technology. The recommendations are structured into logical groupings that work within the STEMcell model: hackathons and code camps, crowdsourcing and crowd funding, social media and join the conversation, and hangout and collaborate, all framed by the changing tectonic shift environment and with the overall goal to foster a curious, creative, and clever culture. The recommendations are also summarized in the Appendix.

Yes! We are all individuals! – Monty Python's Life of Brian

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7975-5.ch010

CONCLUSIONS

For decades, we have been worried about how few women there are in IT and other technical fields. Based at least partly on the historical bias against women having any career at all, we have generated theories and interventions relating to surmised barriers that keep women out of IT or drive them from it after they enter it. We have achieved nothing.

But instead of questioning our assumptions, we have held them inviolable and linked them to increasingly subtle and insidious barriers. It gives the impression of women as a herd of frightened deer, ready to run at the mere sniff of a man.

Yet that is not how women in technology or girls interested in STEM see themselves: they see themselves as curious, competent and above all as people who regard obstacles as a challenge to be met and overcome.

That there are statistical differences between men and women is certainly considered controversial in some contexts. For example, when Google employee James Damore claimed that “the differences in the number of men and women in tech companies such as Google can be largely explained by biological differences, rather than sexism” (Ghose, 2017), this exploded virally and stirred furious debate, with the end result being that Damore was fired for perpetuating gender stereotypes.

But in fact statistical and biological differences are widely accepted implicitly or explicitly in less ‘hot-button’ contexts. Thus Cave (2013) noted, “It is widely acknowledged that women bring a different mix of skills to the workplace – the area of contention seems to be whether these differences are good or bad.” For example, “Both women and men believed that women affected the organizational culture in a positive manner: by encouraging more collaboration, more participative decision-making and hence more collegial workplaces” (Soe & Yakura, 2008). Similarly, “A wealth of research in the past decade shows that diversity improves problem-solving, productivity, innovation, and ultimately, the bottom line” (Ashcraft, Eger & Friend, 2012).

Ghose, (2017) provides a quote from Margaret McCarthy, a neuroscientist at the University of Maryland who studies gender differences in the brain: “It would be foolish to say there are no biological differences between men and women...we are biologically different. It would be crazy to say that difference in biology doesn’t to some degree extend to our brains. To think

31 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage: www.igi-global.com/chapter/conclusions-and-recommendations/218468

Related Content

Women Entrepreneurs in Finnish ICT Industry

Tarja Pietilainen, Hanna Lehtimäki and Heidi Keso (2006). *Encyclopedia of Gender and Information Technology* (pp. 1267-1272).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/women-entrepreneurs-finnish-ict-industry/12904

Female Perceptions of the Information Technology Culture

Indira R. Guzman, Jeffrey M. Stanton and Debra Eischen (2006). *Encyclopedia of Gender and Information Technology* (pp. 304-309).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/female-perceptions-information-technology-culture/12752

Reflections for the Future

(2014). *Gender Divide and the Computer Game Industry* (pp. 193-215).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/reflections-for-the-future/95707

Women Empowerment Through Technology in India

Darshankumar Patel (2023). *ICT as a Driver of Women's Social and Economic Empowerment* (pp. 229-243).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/women-empowerment-through-technology-in-india/321580

Gender Inequalities for Use and Access of ICTs in Developing Countries

Sushil K. Sharma (2006). *Encyclopedia of Gender and Information Technology* (pp. 643-648).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/gender-inequalities-use-access-icts/12804