

# Chapter 37

## Bipolar Model in Collective Choice

Ayeley P. Tchangani

Université Fédérale Toulouse Midi-Pyrénées, France

### ABSTRACT

*A collective choice problem is a decision problem where a certain number (possibly reduced to one) of agents, stakeholders, or decision makers must select alternative(s) from a possibly large set or universe of alternatives in order to satisfy some collective as well as individual objectives. The purpose of this chapter is to consider the modeling process of collective choice problem when coping with human attitude in terms of social influence, indecision, uncertainty, etc. Using bipolar analysis that consist in evaluating alternatives by two opposite measures (a measure taking into account positive aspect of the alternative and that resuming its negative aspects) at individual level as well as community level permit to some extent embedding human attitudes in the decision process.*

### INTRODUCTION

Collective choice is a sub-domain of decision analysis (a discipline comprising the philosophy, theory, methodology, and professional practice necessary to address important decisions in formal manner according to Wikipedia) that addresses decision making problems where a certain number of decision makers must select a subset (possibly reduced to a singleton) of alternatives from a large set of potential alternatives in order to achieve some collective as well as individual objectives, preferences, or desires. Such decision making problems are encountered in many practical situations such as management, engineering, economics, social, politics etc., see for instance (Bouyssou *et al.*, 2000), Steuer (1986), and references therein for some real world applications even though in these references the problems are most of the time treated as a single decision maker problems. The existence of many decision makers necessitates to have a *coordination mechanism* (how to aggregate the view points of all decision makers) to address collective choice problems. The purpose of this chapter is to address such coordination mechanism. Using bipolar analysis that consists in evaluating alternatives by two opposite measures (a measure gathering positive aspects of the alternative and that resuming its negative aspects) with regard to pursued objectives at individual level as well as at community level permits in some extent to embed human attitude into the decision process.

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7661-7.ch037

The remainder of this chapter is organized as follows. In the second section a background on (collective) choice problems resolution methods will be recalled; then the main focus of this chapter will be presented in third section; section four will be dedicated to the main contribution of this chapter: bipolar framework for modeling and solving collective choice problem; section five presents a sketch of future directions researches; a conclusion is presented in section six and finally references, additional reading, and some keys terms and definitions end the chapter.

## **BACKGROUND**

In political science, methods for realizing a collective choice (mapping individual preferences onto collective preferences) are dominated since the advent of democracy by simple majority voting process (Picavet, 1996). But many theoretical results such as that of Borda, see (Borda, 1781), Arrow impossibility theorem (Arrow, 1951) show that this way of aggregating individuals preferences can lead to inconsistency. In decision analysis, that actually does have many steps such as formulating decision goal or objectives, identifying attributes that characterize potential alternatives that can respond to the decision goal and making recommendation regarding these alternatives given the decision goal, choice is the final step. But to choose, one must evaluate first; the construction of an evaluation procedure, often carried up by an expert known in the literature as the analyst (Bouyssou *et al.*, 2000) is an important step in the decision process; this step is the main purpose of this chapter. This construction consists in aggregating individual preferences, understood in a broad sense to obtain a way that permits to rank, at least partially, different potential alternatives. Classically, two main approaches have dominated evaluation process in modern decision analysis: value or utility type approach (a value function or an utility measure is derived for each alternative to represent its adequacy with decision goal), see for instance Steuer (1986) and Saaty (1980); outranking methods (a pair comparison of alternatives is carried up under each attribute or criteria to derive a pre-order over the alternatives set), see (Bouyssou *et al.*, 2000), (Brans *et al.*, 1986, 1986a). The approach that will be described in this chapter can be considered as an intermediate one compared to those two approaches evoked previously; indeed by using numerical values to evaluate alternatives look like utility type approach, but as two “opposite” measures are used, it permits incomparability as it is the case in outranking approaches.

## **MAIN FOCUS OF THE ARTICLE**

In many situations, collective decision making is made through a majority voting process where each decision maker casts a ballot for only one alternative and the alternative that obtains the maximum of voices is considered as the community choice. But voting process does not capture in our opinion all attitudes of human beings such as ambiguity, indecision, social values consideration, etc. Indeed, decision makers often face uncertainties (impossibility of decision makers to clearly express their objectives, to elicit and assess attributes, etc.) and interactions (a decision maker may be influenced by other decision makers when expressing his or her judgment). Furthermore, French mathematician Jean-Charles de Borda and other have noticed since 18<sup>th</sup> century that in an election where the winner is the candidate who got the majority of votes and where there are more than 3 candidates, candidate who obtains the majority of voices is not necessarily the preferred one by the majority of voters. In this chapter we adopt an approach

10 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

[www.igi-global.com/chapter/bipolar-model-in-collective-choice/215886](http://www.igi-global.com/chapter/bipolar-model-in-collective-choice/215886)

## Related Content

---

### Publicness, Organisational Characteristics and Performance: An Investigation of Public and Private Hospitals in England

Stuart Anderson (2013). *International Journal of Public and Private Healthcare Management and Economics* (pp. 33-50).

[www.irma-international.org/article/publicness-organisational-characteristics-and-performance/79242](http://www.irma-international.org/article/publicness-organisational-characteristics-and-performance/79242)

### Walk to Health: The Transtheoretical Model for Behavior Change Applied to Exercise

Paula Millerand James O. Connelly (2020). *International Journal of Public and Private Perspectives on Healthcare, Culture, and the Environment* (pp. 1-11).

[www.irma-international.org/article/walk-to-health/258278](http://www.irma-international.org/article/walk-to-health/258278)

### Artificial Reef Applications for Diving Tourism: Artificial Wreck Reefs in Turkey

Aytaç Özgüland Altan Lök (2022). *Impact of Artificial Reefs on the Environment and Communities* (pp. 151-168).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/artificial-reef-applications-for-diving-tourism/303904](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/artificial-reef-applications-for-diving-tourism/303904)

### Neighbourhood Social Sustainability, Urban Renewal, and Health Inequalities: A Viewpoint

Gloria Macassa (2022). *International Journal of Urban Planning and Smart Cities* (pp. 1-18).

[www.irma-international.org/article/neighbourhood-social-sustainability-urban-renewal-and-health-inequalities/301552](http://www.irma-international.org/article/neighbourhood-social-sustainability-urban-renewal-and-health-inequalities/301552)

### Labor Protection in Mexico: Recent Reforms and Future Challenges

Jose Ernesto Rangel Delgadoand Antonina Ivanova Boncheva (2018). *Employment Protection Legislation in Emerging Economies* (pp. 99-122).

[www.irma-international.org/chapter/labor-protection-in-mexico/198001](http://www.irma-international.org/chapter/labor-protection-in-mexico/198001)