

Chapter 101

Technology and Learning: Preparing Teachers for the Future

Pamela Lemoine

Columbus State University, USA

Marguerite Yates

Columbus State University, USA

Michael D. Richardson

Columbus State University, USA

ABSTRACT

This chapter presents innovation and change in university preparation of teachers requires acquisition of technological pedagogy in response to the demands of a knowledge economy where students are engaged in technology implementation in a constantly changing world. Teacher preparation programs historically have been contained on campus using face-to-face instruction. As the second decade of the twenty-first century unfolds, universities have adopted online learning in teacher preparation to accommodate the needs of a more diverse clientele. Educator preparation now faces two major challenges: a critical mass generated by Net Generation students who have increased demands for online access to learning and a teaching force without prerequisite skills and dispositions to dramatically alter modes of instruction.

INTRODUCTION

Technology is having a significant effect on how people live, work together, and communicate, how people lead organizations, but not so much on how adults teach and students learn (Bass, 2012; Bush & Hall, 2011). Historically, teaching involved imparting knowledge and teaching students to think (Bjerede, Atkins & Dede, 2012). Teachers still teach students to think, but instead of just imparting knowledge, teachers are teaching students to understand where and how to find information through the use of technological resources (Hemmi, Bayne, & Land, 2009; Norton & Hathaway, 2008). Adams and Eveland (2007) suggest educator preparation and technology use is not a new concept; however technological

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7305-0.ch101

advancements have extended opportunities for teacher preparation coursework to move beyond traditional brick and mortar buildings to “click and brick” delivery methods (Kennedy, Tysinger, Bailey & LaFrance, 2013; Terry, 2001).

The use of technology means that time and geography, traditional barriers for face-to-face learning, are not issues as students take online teacher preparation classes (Balotsky & Christensen, 2004). Vast and diverse multimedia resources now make it possible to engage learners in interactive and community-based online learning similar to traditional face-to-face settings (Balotsky & Christensen; Mense, Fulwiler, Richardson & Lane, 2011; Rovai & Barnum, 2003). Given this availability, higher education institutions are creating and offering online courses and program providing education preparation programs to geographically and culturally diverse audiences nationally and internationally (Allen & Seaman, 2011, 2013; Blacher-Wilson, Mense & Richardson, 2011). Teacher preparation programs, historically contained on campus using face-to-face instruction, are changing to meet the demands of a knowledge economy where students expect to have access to technology (Baker & LeTendre, 2005). Online enrollments, in the past several years, have been growing substantially faster than overall higher education enrollments (Parsad & Lewis, 2008; Schofer & Meyer, 2006). Academic leaders expect enrollments to continue their substantial growth in the future (Allen & Seaman, 2007).

As the second decade of the twenty-first century unfolds, university leaders are adopting online learning for teacher preparation in order to accommodate the needs of a more diverse clientele (Esprivalo-Harrell & Harris, 2006). It is not necessary for students to ever enter a physical campus (Antonelli, Geuna, & Steinmueller, 2000; Blacher-Wilson, Mense, & Richardson, 2011). E-learning environments provided by technology enhancements provide university faculty the capability to offer educator preparation courses in e-learning environments via the World Wide Web (Alvarez, Guasch, & Espasa, 2009; Rovai & Barnum, 2003). Despite the opportunity, online educator preparation faces two major challenges: (1) a critical mass generated by students who increasingly demand access to online learning and (2) a teaching force without prerequisite skills and dispositions to dramatically alter modes of instruction (Cavanaugh, Barbour, & Clark, 2009).

Online Education

Technology has been present in higher education for several decades, but changes in virtual education access have made online instruction a necessity for colleges and universities (Berge & Muilenburg, 2001). Online education is no longer a trend in U.S. higher education; it is a fact (Parsad & Lewis, 2008) and the true impact of technology is that it is forcing educator preparation programs to change (Norton & Hathaway, 2008). Over 90 percent of universities and colleges offer online options to 6.7 million students, indicating that online education is a critical long-term strategy (Allen & Seaman, 2011; Heafner, Hartshorne & Petty, 2013; Oblinger & Oblinger, 2005).

It is realistic to recognize that educator preparation programs that are only face-to-face will likely face tough scrutiny from higher education administrators with the demands for increased offerings and revenue generation (Samuels, 2004). Additionally, there is a necessity to model appropriate use of technological pedagogical instruction with preservice educators who will be expected to be knowledgeable and able to implement technology in K-12 instruction (Frydenberg, 2002; Knapczyk & Hew, 2007).

Traditionally, there are two dimensions to any innovation that determine the quality and extent of the innovation: *symbolic dimension* and *technological dimension* (Pituch & Lee, 2006). The symbolic dimension refers to changing social meanings (Oblinger, 2004). In this case, changing the social inter-

17 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/technology-and-learning/215662

Related Content

Mental Health and Addiction Workforce Development in Australia: Never the Twain to Meet?

Roderick McKay, Tim Coombs and Julie M. Anderson (2017). *Workforce Development Theory and Practice in the Mental Health Sector* (pp. 90-105).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/mental-health-and-addiction-workforce-development-in-australia/171506

Strengthening Internationalisation of the Curriculum in Higher Education: A Case Study

Lawrence Meda (2019). *Handbook of Research on Promoting Higher-Order Skills and Global Competencies in Life and Work* (pp. 281-294).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/strengthening-internationalisation-of-the-curriculum-in-higher-education/208604

Career Development in Higher Education: Best Practices and Innovations

Mustafa Kayyali (2024). *Advancing Student Employability Through Higher Education* (pp. 1-19).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/career-development-in-higher-education/338010

Students as Designers of Virtual World Learning Environments

Lisa Jacka and Kate Booth (2019). *Pre-Service and In-Service Teacher Education: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications* (pp. 1697-1712).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/students-as-designers-of-virtual-world-learning-environments/215637

Hellison's Teaching Personal and Social Responsibility: A Model for Teaching for Student Success

Ryan Essery (2020). *Handbook of Research on Leadership Experience for Academic Direction (LEAD) Programs for Student Success* (pp. 173-195).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/hellisons-teaching-personal-and-social-responsibility/250788