

Chapter 114

A Study of Contemporary System Performance Testing Framework

Alex Ng

Federation University, Australia

Shiping Chen

CSIRO Data61, Australia

ABSTRACT

Performance testing is one of the vital activities spanning the whole life cycle of software engineering. As a result, there are a considerable number of performance testing products and open source tools available. It has been observed that most of the existing performance testing products and tools are either too expensive and complicated for small projects or too specific and simple for diverse performance tests. In this chapter, the authors present an overview of existing performance test products/tools, provide a summary of some of the contemporary system performance testing frameworks, and capture the key requirements for a general-purpose performance testing framework. Based on previous works, the authors propose a system performance testing framework that is suitable for both simple and small as well as complicated and large-scale performance testing projects. The core of the framework contains an abstraction to facilitate performance testing by separating the application logic from the common performance testing functionality and a general-purpose data model.

INTRODUCTION

System Performance Testing is a vital activity spanning the Software Development Life Cycle (Siva & Sharma, 2006). There are different types of test: Functionality, Graphics, Collision, Performance, Gaming, User Interface, Progression, Correlation, Numerical, Conformance, Interoperability and many more. In this chapter, we find special interest in System Performance Testing. The purpose of system performance testing is to identify bottlenecks by measuring a system's responsiveness and scalability under a certain load (Sarojadevi, 2011). Load testing provides a means for assessing the behavior of a

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7598-6.ch114

system under varying traffic burdens. Performance testing is able to provide simulation and modeling help enterprises experiment not just with load but also with specific situations, such as large numbers of users performing the same activity conducting a search or completing an online transaction simultaneously (Menascé, 2002). Performance tests conducted over time provide a good landscape trend which helps companies plan and test for future needs. Such testing can help determine what hardware resources need to be purchased to achieve desired future capabilities (Jain, 1991).

In this chapter, we discuss some of the contemporary system performance testing frameworks and present a general-purpose testing framework for both simple and complicated performance testing. We observed that few of the system performance testing frameworks available have been widely used in industry or the research community (Yigitbasi, Iosup, Epema, & Ostermann, 2009). Our framework proposes an abstraction to facilitate performance testing by separating the application logic from the common performance testing functionalities.

The rest of this chapter is organized as follows. We first provide a definition of system performance and the requirements for system performance testing framework. Afterward, we present a discussion of some of the contemporary system performance testing frameworks. Lastly, we propose a system performance framework with a sample implementation to demonstrate the applicability of this framework.

BACKGROUND

According to (Meier, Farre, Bansode, Barber, & Rea, 2007), Performance Testing is defined as the technical investigation done to determine or validate the speed, scalability, and/or stability characteristics of the product under test. Performance-related activities, such as testing and tuning, are concerned with achieving response times, throughput, and resource-utilization levels that meet the performance objectives for the application under test.

(Meier et al., 2007) classify performance metrics into the following categories:

- **Network-Specific Metrics:** A set of metrics about the overall behavior of the network used to support the system.
- **System-Related Metrics:** A set of metrics helps identify the resource utilization of the system.
- **Platform-Specific Metrics:** A set of metrics related to software that is used to host the application system, such as the Microsoft .NET Framework common language runtime (CLR) and ASP.NET-related metrics.
- **Application-Specific Metrics:** These include custom performance counters inserted into the application code to monitor application health and identify performance issues.
- **Service-Level Metrics:** A set of metrics help measure overall application throughput and latency, or they might be tied to specific business scenarios.
- **Business Metrics:** These metrics are indicators of business-related information, such as the number of orders placed in a given timeframe for a particular department.

There are some common system performance metrics for enterprise systems, such as: *Response Time*, *Latency*, and *Throughput*. In some contexts it's customary to call these things by different names: *Throughput and Response Time*, or *Capacity and Delay*, or *Bandwidth and Latency*. We provide the following definitions to avoid ambiguity:

14 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:

www.igi-global.com/chapter/a-study-of-contemporary-system-performance-testing-framework/214720

Related Content

Software Literacy

Elaine Khoo and Craig Hight (2019). *Advanced Methodologies and Technologies in Network Architecture, Mobile Computing, and Data Analytics* (pp. 1521-1531).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/software-literacy/214718

Secure Deployment with Optimal Connectivity in Wireless Sensor Networks

Anju Sangwan and Rishpal Singh (2016). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 1-21).

www.irma-international.org/article/secure-deployment-with-optimal-connectivity-in-wireless-sensor-networks/161753

Know Your World Better: Cloud Based Augmented Reality Android Application

Srinivasa K. G., Satvik Jagannathan and Aakash Nidhi (2016). *International Journal of Handheld Computing Research* (pp. 1-15).

www.irma-international.org/article/know-your-world-better/167831

Buffer Management in Cellular IP Network using PSO

Mohammad Anbar and Deo Prakash Vidyarthi (2009). *International Journal of Mobile Computing and Multimedia Communications* (pp. 78-93).

www.irma-international.org/article/buffer-management-cellular-network-using/34071

Collaboration via Technology as a Means for Social and Cognitive Development within the K-12 Classroom

N. Leigh Boyd (2016). *Handbook of Research on Mobile Learning in Contemporary Classrooms* (pp. 181-203).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/collaboration-via-technology-as-a-means-for-social-and-cognitive-development-within-the-k-12-classroom/157980