

Chapter 30

Defining and Characterizing the Landscape of E-Health

Yvonne O'Connor

University College Cork, Ireland

Ciara Heavin

University College Cork, Ireland

ABSTRACT

There has been a surge of electronic health (e-health) technologies encompassing a range of services available to various stakeholders within the healthcare system in both developed and developing countries. As technology has evolved, the features and functionalities offered by e-health technologies have grown dramatically, leading to a proliferation of advanced technical solutions. As a result of this increased focus, various definitions for what constitutes the term e-health have emerged in the literature. This lack of consensus is further inhibited by a dearth of research documenting the characteristics (i.e., features and functionalities) of such e-health technologies. The authors propose to define and characterize the landscape of e-health technologies from an information systems (IS) perspective in this chapter. By examining existing literature and reviewing the market place, this study reveals that there is a need to integrate the various features and functions of e-health technologies, thereby arguing that integration and interoperability is important for the growth of e-health.

INTRODUCTION

The need for reforming the delivery of healthcare services to accommodate the needs of modern societies is being experienced on a global scale. Government expenditure for the healthcare domain is increasing on a yearly basis (Bergamo, 2015). Population figures are growing with a reported increase of one billion people within the next 15 years, reaching 8.5 billion in 2030 (UN, 2015). Such a rapid increase in population is placing pressure on existing resources for healthcare (Keehan et al., 2015), thereby stressing the need for healthcare services to be reformed. Within the rapid rates of population growth, the fastest growing segment in the European population is the over 65 age group (Coale and Hoover, 2015), a group which utilises three to five times more healthcare services than their younger counterparts. Additionally,

DOI: 10.4018/978-1-5225-7489-7.ch030

disease growth is on the increase as population rates incline. Despite the major progress in reducing death rates from various diseases, dramatic changes in global diseases have occurred whereby diseases have shifted to more lifestyle-related diseases (e.g. obesity, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, type 2 diabetes and heart disease). Issues such as expenditure, population and disease growth enhance the need to reform the delivery of healthcare services. It is recognised that Information Systems (IS) is a critical success factor in the achievement of health care reform goals (Heeks, 2006) as the general use of IS globally is a powerful driver for change across the healthcare industry. Advantages of successfully implementing IS within the healthcare domain are reported in literature. These include reduced time to diagnosis, improved access for patients to care, quality of life and patient satisfaction, enhanced healthcare provider decision making, increased accuracy in medical treatment and cost-savings (Bergamo, 2015).

Hospital Information Systems (HIS) were the main and earliest use of IS in healthcare (Reichert, 1975). Prior to 1960, electronic computers were not in general use in hospitals (Austin and Greene, 1978). From these early days HIS were defined as systems for “transmitting data among various personnel in different support units of the hospital” which ultimately seeks to “integrate all elements of information processing related to patient care into one system with a shared database” (Barnett and Zielstorff, 1974, p. 158). This definition of HIS has been used as a guide to defining the various eHealth technologies which subsequently emerged. However, since the 1970s HIS have evolved by incorporating other types of eHealth technologies, e.g. electronic medical records or electronic health records (EHR), electronic clinical decision support systems (CDSS), which has resulted in the varying definitions we observe in literature today.

Building from this, the objective of this paper is to define and characterise the landscape of eHealth technologies from an Information Systems (IS) perspective. The next section defines and characterises the concept of electronic Health (eHealth). In doing so, various features and functionalities of eHealth technologies are reported. It is argued that the evolving nature of technological artefacts influence how the term eHealth is defined. In light of this, the concepts of eHealth integration and ontologies are subsequently discussed. Concluding this chapter is an overview of both academic and practitioner-orientated contributions and future eHealth research directions.

BACKGROUND

Although the term electronic health or ‘eHealth’ first emerged in the literature circa 1999-2000 (Eysenbach, 2001; Ahern et al. 2006) the use of IS within the healthcare system occurred well before then (Blum, 1984). According to Goldschmidt (2005) the first electronic health record was designed and deployed in the late 1960s-1970s, thirty to forty years before the term eHealth was coined. Since the turn of the 21st century the term eHealth has received attention in both academia and practice. Subsequently, eHealth has been defined by many people but a lack of evidence as to the existence of a comprehensive definition has emerged (Della Mea, 2001; Oh et al., 2005; Pagliari et al., 2005; Boogerd et al., 2015). To address this issue, journals such as “Journal of Medical Internet Research” published papers which explicitly sought to clarify what is meant by eHealth (cf. Oh et al., 2005; Pagliari et al., 2005) as part of its “What is eHealth” series leading to various reports about definitions concerning eHealth. For example for Ontario Hospital eHealth Council, eHealth is a consumer-centred model of health care where stakeholders collaborate, utilising ISs, including Internet technologies to manage health, arrange, deliver and account for care, and manage the health care system (Alvarez, 2002). The Internet clearly drives the

12 more pages are available in the full version of this document, which may be purchased using the "Add to Cart" button on the publisher's webpage:
www.igi-global.com/chapter/defining-and-characterizing-the-landscape-of-e-health/213614

Related Content

Analysis of Color Image Encryption Using Multidimensional Bogdanov Map

R. N. Ramakant Parida, Swapnil Singhand Chittaranjan Pradhan (2019). *Histopathological Image Analysis in Medical Decision Making* (pp. 202-225).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/analysis-of-color-image-encryption-using-multidimensional-bogdanov-map/212545

Deep Learning and Machine Learning Algorithms With Ocular Disease Intelligent Recognition

A. Ibrahim Kaleel and S. Brintha Rajakumari (2024). *Advancements in Clinical Medicine* (pp. 243-259).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/deep-learning-and-machine-learning-algorithms-with-ocular-disease-intelligent-recognition/346204

Fundus Examination in Pediatric Patients Using Indirect Ophthalmoscopy: Binocular Indirect Ophthalmoscopy (BIO), BIO With Scleral Indentation, BIO in Premature Infants

Danielle M. Ledoux, Brandon Johnson, Issac Moradian and Lily Zhu-Tam (2022). *The Pediatric Eye Exam Quick Reference Guide: Office and Emergency Room Procedures* (pp. 281-305).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/fundus-examination-in-pediatric-patients-using-indirect-ophthalmoscopy/296170

Testing Visual Fields in Children

Jacky K. W. Kong (2022). *The Pediatric Eye Exam Quick Reference Guide: Office and Emergency Room Procedures* (pp. 67-85).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/testing-visual-fields-in-children/296161

Comparing Ketamine-Dexmedetomidine vs. Low-Dose Ketamine-Dexmedetomidine-Propofol for Sedation in Short Surgeries

Shraddha Naik, N. V. Kanase, R. M. Mulla and Wafiya Mahdy (2024). *Advancements in Clinical Medicine* (pp. 106-120).

www.irma-international.org/chapter/comparing-ketamine-dexmedetomidine-vs-low-dose-ketamine-dexmedetomidine-propofol-for-sedation-in-short-surgeries/346194